

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Respondent,

v.

Randall S. Hiller and Janet C. Hiller, Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2015-001672

---

## ORDER

---

Because Appellants certify they did not receive the underlying order until June 2, 2015, the service of the motion to reconsider was timely served on June 12, 2015. *See* Rule 59, SCRCPP. Accordingly, the motion to dismiss for untimely service of the notice of appeal is denied. *See* Rule 203(b)(1), SCACR ("A notice of appeal shall be served on all respondents within thirty (30) days after receipt of written notice of entry of the order or judgment. When a timely motion for judgment n.o.v. (Rule 50, SCRCPP), motion to alter or amend the judgment (Rules 52 and 59, SCRCPP), or a motion for a new trial (Rule 59, SCRCPP) has been made, the time for appeal for all parties shall be stayed and shall run from receipt of written notice of entry of the order granting or denying such motion.").

  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

James W. Logan, Jr., Esquire  
Randall Scott Hiller, Esquire

