

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NUMBER: 2014CP4007011

Crystal Faye Clark

Housing Authority Of The City Of Columbia

RECEIVED

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: _____	Attorney for : <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant or <input type="checkbox"/> Self-Represented Litigant
---------------------	--

MAR 08 2016

SC Court of Appeals

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Col. No. suit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  Other \_\_\_\_\_
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  Other \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED  
FEB 11 PM 1:48  
RICHLAND COUNTY  
CLERK OF COURT  
COURT HOUSE  
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk : \_\_\_\_\_

**INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC INDEX**

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled
		\$
		\$
		\$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

Circuit Court Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Judge Code \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was entered on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this 11 February 2016 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Johnny Elliot Watson Sr.

Kristina Jones Catoe

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

*Jeanette W. McBride*

Court Reporter \_\_\_\_\_

Clerk of Court \_\_\_\_\_

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND

Crystal Faye Clark,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Housing Authority of  
The City of Columbia,

Defendant.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

CASE NO. 2014-CP-40-0701


ORDER

RICHLAND COUNTY  
FILED  
2016 FEB 11 PM 12:40  
JEANETTE W. McBRIDE  
C.C.P. & G.S.

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRPC. This matter came before the Court on October 13, 2015, at a hearing on Defendant Housing Authority of The City of Columbia's ("Housing Authority") Motion for Summary Judgment. On October 22, 2015, the Court granted Defendant's Motion. Plaintiff filed this Motion on November 6, 2015.<sup>1</sup>

After careful consideration of the motion made, memoranda submitted, and the record in this case, this Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or facts not appropriately considered. Accordingly, this Court hereby **DENIES** Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment. Pursuant to Rule 59(f), SCRPC, the Court is of the opinion that oral argument is not necessary.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
ALISON RENEE LEE  
Presiding Judge

Columbia, South Carolina  
February 8, 2016

<sup>1</sup> The Court was not made aware of this filed Motion until February 5, 2016, when it received an email from defense counsel inquiring into the status of this Motion to Reconsider. Plaintiff failed to provide notice to the Court of this Motion as is required under Rule 59(g), SCRPC.