

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Timmy Eugene Rice, Jr., Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2014-001753

---

Appeal From Newberry County  
Eugene C. Griffith, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2016-UP-157  
Submitted January 1, 2016 – Filed March 30, 2016

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Appellate Defender Tiffany Lorraine Butler, of  
Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Staff  
Attorney Susannah Rawl Cole, both of Columbia; and  
Solicitor David Matthew Stumbo, of Greenwood, for  
Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following  
authorities: *State v. Baccus*, 367 S.C. 41, 48, 625 S.E.2d 216, 220 (2006) ("In

criminal cases, the appellate court sits to review errors of law only."); *id.* ("This [c]ourt is bound by the trial court's factual findings unless they are clearly erroneous."); *State v. Pagan*, 369 S.C. 201, 208, 631 S.E.2d 262, 265 (2006) ("The admission of evidence is within the discretion of the trial court and will not be reversed absent an abuse of discretion."); S.C. Code Ann. § 17-23-175(A)(4) (2014) ("[A]n out-of-court statement of a child is admissible if . . . the court finds, in a hearing conducted outside the presence of the jury, that the totality of the circumstances surrounding the making of the statement provides particularized guarantees of trustworthiness."); S.C. Code Ann. § 17-23-175(B) (2014) ("In determining whether a statement possesses particularized guarantees of trustworthiness, the court may consider, but is not limited to, the following factors: (1) whether the statement was elicited by leading questions; (2) whether the interviewer has been trained in conducting investigative interviews of children; (3) whether the statement represents a detailed account of the alleged offense; (4) whether the statement has internal coherence; and (5) sworn testimony of any participant which may be determined as necessary by the court."); *State v. Tyner*, 273 S.C. 646, 653, 258 S.E.2d 559, 563 (1979) ("A leading question is one which suggests to the witness the desired answer.").

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**FEW, C.J., and KONDUROS and LOCKEMY, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.