

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

City of Columbia, Respondent,


v.

Haiyan Lin, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2014-002545

ORDER

This appeal was dismissed on July 22, 2015, due to Appellant's failure to comply with this court's letter requiring Appellant to provide the court with the status of the transcript. Appellant's motion to reinstate, which we construed as a petition to rehear the dismissal of the appeal, was denied on October 22, 2015. Remittitur was sent down on December 21, 2015. Appellant has now filed a motion requesting this court to recall the remittitur and reinstate the appeal. A remittitur cannot be recalled except upon "a very strong showing . . . that remittitur was sent down through some mistake or inadvertence on the part of this Court or its officer." *State v. Keels*, 39 S.C. 553, 17 S.E. 802 (1893). Appellant has failed to make such a showing. The remittitur was properly sent, and this court no longer has jurisdiction over the case. Appellant's motion to recall the remittitur is denied.


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Haiyan Lin

Jessica Mangum, Esquire

FILED

April 4, 2016
27

Dana M. Thye, Esquire
Patrick Carpenter Sharpe, Esquire
Jeanette W. McBride