

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Akeem Alim-Nafis Abdullah-Malik
Appellant, Pro-Se

CA No. 13-ALJ-04-0111AP

APR 11 2016

SC Court of Appeals

v.

South Carolina Dep't of Corrections (SCDC)

IN FORMA PAUPERIS

PURSUANT TO U.S. & S.C. CONST
INMATE LITIGATION ACT (ILA)
PRISONER PRO-SE STATUS

Appellant, Akeem Alim-Nafis Abdullah-Malik, Pro-Se,
[Hereinafter]. Appellant. Petitions to Proceed In Forma
Pauperis. Pursuant to U.S. & S.C. Constitutions.

rights due process & equal protections of laws, & restraint upon liberty. Pursuant to Inmate Litigation Act [ILA] & Prisoner Pro-Se status announced in Davis v. State 342 S.E.2d 60 (1980) & S.C. Code of 1976 § 24-27-100 & § 24-27-150 . . .

Prisoner has the right to Access the Courts even in the event he is indigent. THE [ILA] does not restrict Access to Courts. It makes a provision to have the prisoner account deductible upon a Prisoner obtaining monies to secure the debts incurred.

The fundamental right requires the Waiver of the Filing Fee "471 S.E.2d 134" Further when a certain fundamental right is involved, the Constitution requires that a indigent be allowed to access the courts Compare Boddie v. Connecticut 401 US 371, 91 S.Ct 780, 28 L.Ed.

Ex Parte John Wayne Rice Supreme Court of South Carolina (1992) Remanded with instructions Supreme Court held prisoner was denied due process by family court refusal to allow him to proceed without payment of costs. 415 S.E.2d 819.

WHEREFORE, the foregoing various Appellant Motions & Petitions to Proceed In forma Pauperis were Appellant is in fact "INDIGENT", Verifiable via "AFFIDAVIT" & Prisoner E.M. Cooper Debit Account . . . With efforts to "Access THE Courts" due to restraint upon liberty, & state created liberty, due process & equal protection of laws not being provided to Appellant.

Administrative Law Judge prematurely dropped the ball & created fundamental fairness provision violations of equal protection of laws, due process, ~~constitutional~~ falling violations. In not providing the applicant to Brief & Provide Proof & Evidence of South Carolina Dep't of Corrections repeated Mail, Grievances, Law Library Access encumbrances that prevent Prisoner the Right to Access the Courts.. 'Etc' Unsound Manifest Miscarriage of Justice & Mis-Character of Justice. In restraining liberty, & state created liberties. Total disregard for both the U.S. & S.C. Constitution. By (SCDC) repetitive Orders for Summary Judgment & Dismissal. Without allowing A Prisoner's Freedom of Speech, Political Power Invested In The People. Right To Petition Its Government, Modify Its Government, Without retaliation & reprisals..

Were. Applicant can show how redundant, & repetitive (SCDC) systematically violates ALC Rule 60 & procedurally snuff out viable Constitutional Claim & The ALC refuses to exercise its authority & abuse its discretion. Allow (SCDC) to continue to violate both U.S. & S.C. Const. As Administrative Agents, exact from the Law. Lawless Misconducts & behaviors.

To allow the applicant & prisoners to not access the courts. Clearly restrain the liberty & invalidated due process & equal protection of laws, which are not suspended at Prison Doors...

This 4th day April month
Twenty four hundred and seventy two

Respectfully submitted

Alaan Alom-Wali's Abdulkh-Malik
Richland Correctional Institution
3 Correctional Road
Richland, South Carolina 29936

AFFIDAVIT

I Am Akeem Alim-Nafis Abdullah-Malik,
A PRISONER incarcerated in (SLDC) & I was
unable to pay for these proceedings. The account
reflects \$88.08 Has been over past (1) ONE
YEAR. . . . I Affirm All Be True & Correct

This 29 day ~~March~~ month
Justin [Signature]

[Signature]
Akeem Alim-Nafis Abdullah-Malik

Notary Public

This 29 day March month 2016 Year

MY COMMISSION Expires May 20, 2021

51 Virginia Robinson

SIGNATURE

