

Post Office Box 1014
Anniston, AL 36202
August 10, 2012

Joy E. Holston
118 Sandy Beach Drive
Prosperity, SC 29127

RECEIVED
AUG 13 2012
SC Court of Appeals

Re: Hill V. Norman, 2009-CP-24-180

Dear Ms. Holston:

On Thursday, August 9, 2012, I received the copy of the transcript I requested for the hearing conducted June 5, 2012, at 10:00 am at the Greenwood County Courthouse in front of the Honorable Eugene C. Griffith. My wife and I have reviewed this transcript and were awe stricken at what it contained.

This transcript is seriously flawed with errors and omissions. This transcript was the sworn statements submitted by my wife, Wynetta G. Hill. My wife is a very intelligent and educated lady who is well versed in the English language and you have transcribed her spoken words as that of an incompetent and illiterate person. My wife has a degree in Computer Information Science (cum laude) and a Paralegal Degree (magna cum laude)

On page 3 lines 11 thru 19 are not verbatim as they were spoken by my wife. She said "Your Honor, I think it would be more appropriate for me to present the things I saw, heard or read so that the court would not interpret this as hearsay if it was presented by him. On page 5 lines 1 thru 4 my wife did not utter the words, "I, think if I am reading this file correctly, we cannot guarantee the payment nor can we verify the beneficiary." My wife did not use the word "themselves" as indicated on page 5 line 4.

On Page 5 line 23 you have transcribed "sworn depositions in the case that she and Ms. Norman." The statement made by my wife was, "in her sworn deposition indicates." I could continue at great length with the grammatical errors made; however, that would be futile and moot at this point.

Beginning of page 12 line 25 and continuing on page 13 lines 1 thru 12 you have indicated statements made by Attorney McCallum which both my wife and I never heard during the course of this hearing. To our recollection there were only four times Attorney McCallum addressed the Court. The first time was as indicated on page 3 line 11 of the transcript, the second time was when Judge Griffith asked him who paid the premium' on the policy, the third time was to inform the Court of the two affidavits submitted by Priamerica Insurance Company and the last time was page 25 lines 6 thru 17 when he address his offer of settlement in way of dropping the counterclaim for his fee.

This transcript fails to include the statement made about the Court not considering the affidavit submitted by the deceased Pastor. An affidavit which states Defendant Norman asked Ms Smith for a power of attorney.

On page 19 line 14 thru 25 and page 20 line 1 thru 11 the Court (Judge Griffith) did not make these statements as you have indicated. I would have remembered if he used the word "monkeying" or made reference to a baseball game and Monday morning quarterbacking.

I don't know if there are rules governing the right to contest the contents of a court reporter's transcript; however, I am contesting this transcript because it is contrary to what my wife and I heard in the court room during the course of this hearing.

Sincerely,



Adam Hill, Jr.

Appellant/Plaintiff/Pro Se

cc: Rosalyn W. Frierson
1015 Sumter Street, Suite 200
Columbia, SC 29201

Clerk, South Carolina Court Of Appeals
P. O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

Edward S. McCallum, III
P. O. Box 148
Greenwood, SC 29648