

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Supreme Court

-----  
Betty M Brown, Petitioner,

v.

South Carolina Department of  
Employment and Workforce and  
Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.

RECEIVED

APR 27 2012

S.C. Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

The Honorable Carolyn C Matthews

South Carolina Administrative Law Court

Case No. 2011-AL-30-00031

-----  
PETITION FOR WRIT CERTIORARI  
-----

I Betty M Brown read the Court decision of dismissal to rehear or reinstate the appeal due to lack of any material fact or principle of the law. I petition to be heard in this Court because I did not have a hearing at the Lower Court.

Respectfully Yours,  
*Betty M Brown*  
Betty M Brown

26 Malibu St

Sumter, SC 29150

803-418-0741

Check # 2250  
\$100.00

COA# 2012-205747  
11-21-11

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Supreme Court

-----  
Betty M Brown, Petitioner,

v.

South Carolina Department of  
Employment and Workforce and  
Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.

**RECEIVED**

APR 27 2012

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

The Honorable Carolyn C Matthews

**S.C. Supreme Court**

South Carolina Administrative Law Court

Case No. 2011-AL-30-00031

-----  
A CONCISE STATEMENT OF THE CASE  
-----

As of April 26, 2012, the Petitioner does not know what happened or why it happened. The Petitioner can only state the facts of this case. I, Betty M Brown, will testify to the truth of the matter.

Respectfully Yours,

*Betty M Brown*  
Betty M Brown

26 Malibu St

Sumter, SC 29150

803-418-0741

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Supreme Court

Betty M Brown, Petitioner,

-----  
v.

South Carolina Department of  
Employment and Workforce and  
Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.

**RECEIVED**

APR 27 2012

S.C. Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

The Honorable Carolyn C Matthews

South Carolina Administrative Law Court

Case No. 2011-AL-30-00031

-----  
QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW  
-----

How was the appellant represented at the hearing?

Why was the appellant not notified of the hearing?

How was it possible for appellant to work and receive partial unemployment benefits?

What is the name of the witness whose testimony affected the results of the findings was based?

When was the decision made to change the appellant's work schedule from full-time to part-time?

Respectfully Yours,

*Betty M Brown*

Betty M Brown

26 Malibu St

Sumter, SC 29150

803-418-0741

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY  
Howard S Barnes, Circuit

Betty M Brown,

Petitioner,

v

South Carolina Department of  
Employment and Workforce, and  
Wart-Mart Associates, Inc.,

Respondents.

TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I Betty M Brown certify that I have served notice of petition for writ of certiorari to Sandra B Grooms, Esquire for Respondent and Kristin Starnes Gray, Esquire Employer Attorney by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid and hand Delivery to Court of Appeals dated April 30, 2012.

Betty M Brown

26 Malibu St

Sumter, SC 29150

803-418-0741

*Betty M Brown*

RECEIVED

APR 30 2012

S.C. Supreme Court