

J. FALKNER WILKES

Attorney at Law

114 Whitsett Street
Greenville, South Carolina 29601

Telephone: (864) 282-1292
Facsimile: (864) 271-6035

September 10, 2012

Town of Mount Pleasant
David Guy Pagliarini, Town Attorney
100 Ann Edwards Lane
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464

Ms. Frances Isaac Cantwell
Regan and Cantwell, LLC
50 Broad St
Charleston, SC 29401

RECEIVED

SEP 13 2012

SC Court of Appeals

Re: Stephen George Brock, Appellant v. Town of Mount Pleasant, Respondent
Case No.: 2008-CP-10-3308; Appellate Tracking No.: 2012-20878

Dear Counsel,

A review of the transcript in this case reveals portions that are marked inaudible. At least one of the inaudible portions of the tape included what Mr. Brock believes to be testimony that was relevant and necessary to the appeal. I was not present at the trial so I can make no representations but, I am hoping that your notes or recollection will confirm what Mr. Brock believes the missing testimony to have been.

I propose that the following be stipulated as to what the missing testimony was so that it can be designated and included in the record on appeal. Please let me know if the language below is a fair representation of the testimony at trial and if so, is it agreeable to the Respondent to have it included in the record by stipulation.


TRANSCRIPT PAGE 130 Starting on Line 11 the testimony should have read consistent with the following:

Summary of missing/inaudible testimony/ testimony of Steve Brock:

While president and general manager of Channel 4 television (WCIV-TV) from 1987 to 2001, I often sat in on the morning news department meeting. Held every weekday morning, this meeting established the stories to be covered that day assigning reporters and photographers to

that coverage. An important element of these daily meetings was what we called the "day file". There was a file for day of the month. Notices of meetings, agendas, and press releases from all manner of public bodies were kept in the file. Given finite resources in people, equipment and time, the matters shown on the agendas of public meetings were the deciding factor on which meetings would receive coverage. To the best of my knowledge, media organizations have some variation of the system to manage the large number of public meetings and other discretionary coverage.

Sincerely,


J. Walker Wilkes, 12893
144 Whitsett Street
Greenville, SC 29601
(864) 282-1292
(864) 271-6035 facsimile

Counsel for Appellant

c.

Robert Clyde Childs III
Childs Law Firm
2100 Poinsett Hwy., Ste. E
Greenville, SC 29609

Hon. Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED
SEP 13 2012
SC Court of Appeals