

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Oscar Lee Dantzler, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2015-000192

---

Appeal From Lexington County  
Clifton Newman, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2016-UP-241  
Submitted January 1, 2016 – Filed June 1, 2016

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Appellate Defender Robert M. Pachak, of Columbia, for  
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior  
Assistant Attorney General David A. Spencer, both of  
Columbia; and Solicitor Donald V. Myers, of Lexington,  
for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following  
authorities: *State v. Lynch*, 375 S.C. 628, 632, 654 S.E.2d 292, 294 (Ct. App.  
2007) ("In criminal cases, this [c]ourt reviews errors of law only."); *State v.*

*Bryant*, 354 S.C. 390, 395, 581 S.E.2d 157, 160 (2003) ("The Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution guarantee a defendant a fair trial by a panel of impartial and indifferent jurors."); *United States v. Coward*, 669 F.2d 180, 184 (4th Cir. 1982) (finding no error in the trial court's decision to send an unredacted copy of the indictment to the jury during deliberations, even though the court omitted references to a co-defendant when reading the indictment to the jury at the beginning of trial, because the trial court informed the jury of the omissions and instructed the jury that the indictment did not constitute evidence, thereby "effectively offset[ing] any hypothetical prejudice resulting from the jury's receipt of the unedited indictment").

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**HUFF, A.C.J., and WILLIAMS and THOMAS, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.