

May 24, 2016

RECEIVED

MAY 31 2016

SC Court of Appeals

V. Clair Allen, Deputy Clerk
The South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201

RE: David Ford II v. Richard Campanaro

Dear Ms. Allen:

I am a bit confused over the attached letter dated May 18, 2016, *see EXHIBIT A* regarding the defendant's, Richard Campanaro, motion to stay being denied due to timeliness in its request.

In a letter directly from The South Carolina Court of Appeals signed on April 8, 2016 by (it appears) Jasper W. Cureton, which is also attached..*see EXHIBIT B* a ruling on the stay was already handed down.

It appears that the court and its messaging to the defendant, Richard Campanaro, is flawed and biased toward the plaintiff. On numerous occasions I have spoken directly with members of the clerk's office and each time, I get a different answer as to the status of the appeals case, I am promised return calls that never materialize and I get messaging in letter form that contradict or, duplicated weeks or months apart.

The defendant, Richard Campanaro, is respectfully asking the Court of Appeals to hear the requested appeal of the original verdict. All information on the appellant's behalf has been in since December 8, 2015, while the respondent has failed to provide same in the subsequent six months. Lack of timeliness should cut both ways and it appears there is favoritism toward the respondent.

Respectfully,



Richard Campanaro

Copies: Toby Ward

EXHIBIT A

RECEIVED

MAY 31 2016

SC Court of Appeals



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

May 18, 2016

Richard G. Campanaro
137 Scarborough Lane
Millersville PA 17551

Re: David Ford, II v. Richard Campanaro
Appellate Case No. 2015-000997

Dear Mr. Campanaro:

We received your reply to the motion to stay dated April 5, 2016. However, the Court did not consider this reply because it was not timely served.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen, Deputy

CLERK

cc: Tobias Gavin Ward, Jr., Esquire

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

David F. Ford, II, Respondent,

v.

Richard G. "Jerry" Campanaro, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2015-000997

ORDER

After careful consideration, Appellant's "motion to stay" is denied. *See* Rule 241(d)(1), SCACR ("Except where extraordinary circumstances make it impracticable, an application for an order lifting the automatic stay or for supersedeas must first be made to the lower court or administrative tribunal which entered the order or decision on appeal."); *see also* Rule 241(b), SCACR (providing money judgements are not stayed by the service and filing of a notice of appeal unless the requirements enumerated in section 18-9-130 of the South Carolina Code are met).


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Richard G. Campanaro
Tobias Gavin Ward, Jr., Esquire


FILED

4/8/16

27 SCARBOROUGH LN
MILLSVILLE PA 17551

HARRISBURG PA 171

26 MAY 2016 PM 4 L



V. CLAIR ALLEN, Deputy Clerk
The South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 SENATE ST.
COLUMBIA SC 29201

29201-376999

