

The South Carolina Court of Appeals. 79893

H. Thad White, Respondent

RECEIVED

JUN 13 2016

SC Court of Appeals

V.
Petrel International, LLC
and Haiyan Lin, Appellants

Appellate Case No. 2014-002764

Motion For Full Panel Reviews

The appellants, Petrel International, LLC and Haiyan Lin, did not receive the order of May 12, 2016 until June 2, 2016, when obtained the court file for review at the Court of Appeal. The appellants object the single judge's outright prejudice against the appellants. He has not only denied the appellants motion to vacate but also refused the all other filings by the appellants. The order violates Due Process Clause and Equal Protection Clause of U.S Constitution.

First, he is not the one who granted the relief, nor he is belong to the panel assigned to the case. Therefore, he shall not be one to give reconsideration of other's decisions of April 15, 2016

Second, the order granting the relief is the result of fraud upon the court. It deemed VOID. No court has jurisdiction to affirm a void order, as result of "fraud upon the court". See U.S. V. Throckmorton, 98 U.S. 61, 25 L. Ed 93 (1878), and Garcia 109 B.R. 335 (N.D. Illinois, 1989).

Third, pursuant to S.C. Code §40-5-320 (A)(1) that "It is unlawful for a corporation or voluntary association to practice or appear as an attorney at law for a person other than itself in this state or before a judicial body". And also SC code §40-5-80 further clarifies that "This chapter may not be construed so as to prevent a citizen from prosecuting or defending his own cause". In here the appellants are simply "defending his own cause". It is a constitutional right of the appellants, guaranteed by 5th and 14th amendments of U.S. Constitution.

Fourth, as to the filing fees, the appellants reserve the right to amend as deemed necessary by the court. Since the motions filed are either for

amends to the Motion For Full Panel Review filed on April 12, 2016 by Mr. Carter, or for responding to the Motion To Be Relieved As Counsel for the Appellant. The filing fees shall not be required for those motions. As to the filing fees for the Notice of Appeal and Motion to Vacate, the fees were paid but been returned by the clerk of the appellate court. The appellants are simply refiling the filings that were returned unfiled, to preserve and to protect the due process right and equal protection right of the appellant.

The appellants pray that the adjudicative power of this court with its inherent power to safeguard the rights of the litigants as guaranteed by US Constitution.

June 12, 2016

Appellants
Haiyan Lu and
Petrel International, LLC
P.O. Box 8776
Columbia SC 29202.

The South Carolina Court of Appeals
H. Thad White, Respondent

Petrel International, LLC

and
Haiyan Lin, Appellants

Appellate Case No. 2014-002764

RECEIVED

JUN 13 2016

SC Court of Appeals

Certificate of Service

I certify that I have served a copy of Motion For Full Panel Reviews to the following parties by US mail to:

Eleazer R. Carter
105 S. Brooks St.
P.O. Box 187
Manning, SC 29102

&

Bryan W. Braddock
2231 W. Palmetto St.
Florence
SC 29501

on June 12, 2016

Haiyan Lin
P.O. Box 8776
Columbia, SC 29202