



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

June 29, 2016

The Honorable Jeanette W. McBride
PO Box 2766
Columbia SC 29202-2766

REMITTITUR

Re: HSBC v. Alice Lucas
Lower Court Case No. 2010CP4000657
Appellate Case No. 2014-000747

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen, Deputy

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Dwight Lucas
Rebecca Kinlein Lindahl, Esquire
Richard L Farley, Esquire

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

HSBC Mortgage Services Inc., Respondent,

v.

Alice Lucas a/k/a Alice Marie Felder-Lucas; Dwight
Lucas; Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.
(MIN #100176105012597608); Mortgage Electronic
Registration Systems, Inc. (MIN # 1002033-
0000024059-5); Windsor Lake Park Homeowners
Association, Inc.; Defendants,

Of Whom Alice Lucas and Dwight Lucas are the
Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2014-000747

Appeal From Richland County
Joseph M. Strickland, Master-in-Equity

Unpublished Opinion No. 2016-UP-262
Submitted February 1, 2016 – Filed June 8, 2016

AFFIRMED

Dwight Lucas and Alice Lucas, both of Columbia, pro se.

Rebecca Kinlein Lindahl and Richard L Farley, both of
Katten Muchin Rosenman, LLP, of Charlotte, North
Carolina, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *Bryson v. Bryson*, 378 S.C. 502, 510, 662 S.E.2d 611, 615 (Ct. App. 2008) ("An issue is deemed abandoned and will not be considered on appeal if the argument is raised in a brief but not supported by authority."); *In re McCracken*, 346 S.C. 87, 92, 551 S.E.2d 235, 238 (2001) ("A bald assertion, without supporting argument, does not preserve an issue for appeal."); *B & A Dev., Inc. v. Georgetown Cty.*, 372 S.C. 261, 271, 641 S.E.2d 888, 894 (2007) ("It is well settled that an issue . . . must have been raised to and ruled upon by the trial court to be preserved for appellate review.").

AFFIRMED.¹

HUFF, A.C.J., and KONDUROS and GEATHERS, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.