

AS

ORIGINAL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from Lexington County  
Thomas A. Russo, Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED

JUN 17 2016

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

FILIBERTO CAMPOS,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2015-001293

PETITION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE  
INITIAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT  
AND DESIGNATION OF MATTER

The undersigned counsel respectfully requests a thirty day extension in which to file the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the above-referenced case. In support of this motion, counsel would respectfully show the Court the following extraordinary circumstances:

1. The initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in this case are due to be served and filed today.
2. Counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the case of State v. Richard Tedford with the Court of Appeals on June 2, 2016. Counsel filed the brief of appellant and designation of matter in the case of State v. Robert Wazney with the Court of Appeals on May 25, 2016. Counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the case of In the Interest of Robert T., a Juvenile Under the Age of Seventeen with the Court of Appeals on May 20, 2016. Counsel had an oral argument in the case of In the Matter of the Care


and Treatment of Jeffrey Chapman before the Supreme Court on May 17, 2016. Together with co-counsel Robert M. Dudek and Susan Hackett, counsel filed a petition for writ of certiorari in the case of State v. Brett Parker, in which the record exceeded 3,200 pages, with the Supreme Court on May 11, 2016. Counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the case of State v. Jonathan Holder with the Court of Appeals on May 6, 2016. Counsel had an oral argument in the case of Jason Kinloch v. State before the Court of Appeals on May 2, 2016.

3. This request is made in good faith, and not for purposes of delay. Counsel intends to continue to work on the cases with more than three extensions first so that the caseload will hopefully become more manageable in the near future, and less extensions will need to be requested.


4. As indicated by the consent below, counsel for the state graciously consents to or does not oppose this request.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned counsel would respectfully request a thirty day extension. Counsel respectfully requests that the time limits for filing the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter be held in abeyance pending a ruling on this motion.

Respectfully submitted,




David Alexander  
Appellate Defender



Robert M. Dudek  
Chief Appellate Defender

June 17, 2016

I do not oppose:

  
Benjamin Aplin, Esquire