

B R E N T C. M c L A U R E N, J R

LCI - 166894 - WANDO D-182  
136 Wilborn Drive. Post Office Box 205  
RIDGEVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29427-0205

**RECEIVED**

JUL 05 2016

SC Court of Appeals

Attn:  
South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re: Your one (1) page ORDER FILED June 14, 2016 at your office post dated June 14, 2016 which is addressed to my attention which I received on or about June 16, 2016 at this institution, together with my brief response enclosed herewith;

Appellants response to ORDER of this Court of June 14, 2016

The State, Respondent

v.


Brent Christopher McLauren, Appellant.

*Notice of Intent  
TO APPEAL*

Appellate Case No. 2915-001147

On April 1, 2016, it is accurate, this court did deny Appellant's petition of April 11, 2016 seeking leave of this court to take his appeal to the South Carolina Supreme Court, and other matters at this (that) time. This court now erroneously claims that this "Appellant has 'now filed' a letter requesting this court to transfer this case to the supreme court". It is not true that this Appellant has 'NOW' 'filed some letter' requesting that the court - transfer his case to the Supreme Court. The truth here is that this Appellant perfected a timely appeal to this courts denial of Appellants Pro Se motion to relieve counsel on the basis that counsel represented a corrupt agency who this appellant believes has worked together with this court over decades to deny even the most patently unconstitutional convictions the relief these persons are entitled, and other matters not herein presented, which results in a serious conflict of interest and moreover, that attorney and his agency was never requested to assist this Appellant under any circumstances and moreover, this court is well aware this court has no authority to deny any South Carolinian the request to proceed pro se unless the South Carolina Court of Appeals in under the misplaced assumption it possesses more authority than the United States Supreme Court, and of course, it does not! Thus, we need this court to be cognizant that this Appellant has long been aware of the tenants of Miller v. State, 388 S.C. 347, 697 S.E.2d 527 (2010) and we are certainly aware of 'hybrid representation' (Sic) which this case has nothing to do with and never has. Moreover, this Appellant, contrary to this courts assertions, is not represented by any counsel at this time and this court knows the undersigned is preparing to file a law suit against Robert M. Dudek, among other reasons, for Dudeks continued refusal to furnish Appellant with copies

of this Appellant's more than three (3), upon information and belief, transcripts, including Appellants two (2) day jury trial transcript including pre trial motions transcripts, which do not characterize the total sum of all the recorded (or should we say, all the supposedly 'recorded' matters before the Pickens 'County so called 'Justice' officials, yada, yada, yada, etc, etc, etc; Thus, it is clearly error that this court has "JUST NOW" received any "JUST NOW FILED LETTER REQUESTING THIS COURT TO TRANSFER THIS CASE TO THE SUPREME COURT, as this court 'now moves forward to erroneously claim as may be seen via perusal of the June 14, 2016 'ORDER',,, recently received by me in this matter. Moreover, Robert M. Dudek couldn't represent me in a traffic-ticket-matter, let alone a court of appeals matter involving any conviction, which would be on point in the instant case here!



FOR THE APPELLANT

June 23, 2016


Ridgeville, South Carolina

Ms. Jenny Abbott Kitchings: I distinctly recall asking you quite politely in my previous correspondence addressed to this court and to yourself, that you please, very kindly timeclock everything I mail addressed to you or for the S.C. Court of Appeals so that I may maintain an accurate record of what I mail addressed to you. At this time, kindly ensure that everything I have sent addressed to you to date is forthwith timeclocked and copied and returned to me for my filed please,,,too many prisoners appear convinced the court of appeals desires to circumvent prisoners rights to possess copies of the legal materials we file! How can anyone who is educated trust the S.C. Court of appeals when they deny a petitioners motion to relieve corrupt counsel and deny requests to proceed pro se or even to access to our state courts! One would have to be crazy to trust such a court!

BRENT C, MCLAUKEN, JIZ  
LCI-166894-WARDS D-182  
136 WILBARKS DRIVE - P.O. BOX 205  
~~Ridgeville~~  
Ridgeville, SC, 29211

CHARLESTON SC 294

01 JUL 2016 PM 2 T



Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk of SC Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED

JUL 05 2016

SC Court of Appeals

2921181629

