

ORIGINAL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from York County
R. Scott Sprouse, Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED

JUL 15 2016

IN THE MATTER OF THE CARE AND
TREATMENT OF TIMOTHY GROVES OXENDINE, SC Court of Appeals

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2015-002241

PETITION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE
INITIAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT
AND DESIGNATION OF MATTER

The undersigned counsel respectfully requests a thirty day extension in which to file the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the above-referenced case. In support of this motion, counsel would respectfully show the Court the following extraordinary circumstances:

1. The initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in this case are due to be served and filed today.
2. Counsel filed petition for writ of certiorari and accompanying appendix in the case of State v. Michael Carter with the Supreme Court on June 30, 2016. Counsel filed petition for writ of certiorari to the Court of Appeals and accompanying appendix in the case of State v. Gerald Barrett with the Supreme Court on June 28, 2016. Counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the case of State v. Richard Tedford with the Court of Appeals on June 2, 2016. Counsel filed the brief of appellant and designation of matter in the case of State v. Robert Wazney with the Court of Appeals on May 25, 2016. Counsel filed the initial brief of


appellant and designation of matter in the case of In the Interest of Robert T., a Juvenile Under the Age of Seventeen with the Court of Appeals on May 20, 2016. Counsel had an oral argument in the case of In the Matter of the Care and Treatment of Jeffrey Chapman before the Supreme Court on May 17, 2016. Together with co-counsel Robert M. Dudek and Susan Hackett, counsel filed a petition for writ of certiorari in the case of State v. Brett Parker, in which the record exceeded 3,200 pages, with the Supreme Court on May 11, 2016.

3. This request is made in good faith, and not for purposes of delay. Counsel intends to continue to work on the cases with more than three extensions first so that the caseload will hopefully become more manageable in the near future, and less extensions will need to be requested.


4. As indicated by the consent below, counsel for the state graciously consents to or does not oppose this request.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned counsel would respectfully request a thirty day extension. Counsel respectfully requests that the time limits for filing the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter be held in abeyance pending a ruling on this motion.

Respectfully submitted,




David Alexander
Appellate Defender



Robert M. Dudek
Chief Appellate Defender

July 15, 2016

I do not oppose:

for 
Deborah Shupe, Esquire