

RECEIVED
AUG 10 2016
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM
THE SOUTH CAROLINA WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

Full Commission Decision

Case No. 1320141

Ignacio Rodriguez Respondent,

v.

Robert R. Pierson d/b/a Pierson Construction Co.,
and
ABC Care, Inc.,
and
Property and Casualty Insurance Co. of Hartford,
and the South Carolina Workers' Compensation Uninsured Employers Fund

Of whom Property and Casualty Insurance Co. of Hartford is the.....Appellant.

IGNACIO RODRIQUEZ'S RESPONSE TO APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
PRESENT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Respondent, Ignacio Rodriguez responds to Appellant's Motion for Leave to Present
Additional Evidence.

This matter is before the court upon the motion of Property and Casualty Insurance Co. of
Hartford (The Hartford). The Hartford has appealed an Order of the Full South Carolina
Workers' Compensation Commission finding them responsible for benefits to Respondent,
Ignacio Rodriguez, based on an injury which he suffered while employed by the Hartford's
insured ABC Care, Inc. The Hartford's motion to add new evidence to the record should be
denied on numerous grounds.

First, it is noted that Appellant is in violation of SCACR Rule 240. Specifically, Appellant has failed to comply with Rule 240(c)(3). Because there is no record on appeal or appendix, the documents or facts relied on in support of the Motion are not contained in the record and therefore it is incumbent on the Hartford to file affidavits and other documents in support of their position. The Motion received by Respondent, Ignacio Rodriguez, contains no documents, and on this ground alone, the Motion should be denied.

Second, the Motion in question is governed by South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission Regulation 67-707. Regulation 67-707 is the Workers' Compensation Commission Rule for admitting additional evidence and the Rule has a number of requirements that must be met *in an appeal to the Full Commission*. See Goodman v. City of Columbia, 318 SC 488 (558) SE2d 530 (1995). (Commission Regulations authorized by legislature have the full force of law).

Respondent, Ignacio Rodriguez, presumes that the Hartford's Motion on involves a document that was attempted to be submitted to the Full Commission in Hartford's brief of October 9, 2015. (Exhibits A&B). In that brief, there are two documents designation as "Owner Builder Disclosure Statements". One of these documents has the signature of the Director of Facilities at ABC Care, Inc., Robert Pierson, and the other document has a written statement "ABC Care, Inc. and Director of Facilities". Respondent, Rodriguez, concedes that the Full Commission took up Appellant's Motion prior to the oral argument and that the attempt by Appellant to enter the second document into evidence was denied for failure to comply with Regulation 67-707. However,

Respondent, Rodriguez can find no evidence that this was ever placed on a record and there is nothing in the Order of the Full Commission that specifically addresses the Motion. More importantly, Regulation 67-707 (C) (2) has not and cannot be complied with by the Hartford. Section (C)(2) requires that the evidence sought to be admitted could not have been discovered by reasonable diligence prior to the hearing in the matter. The document which we believe Appellant is seeking to have entered into evidence is date stamped as having been made a part of the public record of Pickens County on October 29, 2013 at 3:24 pm. (Exhibit A) It is noted to have been registered by Paul McGuffin, Register of Deeds of Pickens County, on October 29, 2013. This date is before the accident that gives rise to this claim and which occurred on December 23, 2013. This document is clearly a public record. However, the hearing in this matter was held on January 20, 2015, and the Order issued on July 30, 2015. There is no support, and Appellant cannot reasonably contend, that a due diligence search of Pickens County's records would not have turned up this document which was made a public record some fifteen months prior to the hearing.

Further, the difference between the two documents is completely irrelevant and insubstantial based on the entire reading of the Full Commission's Order. Although there is no record in the appeal at this time, the individual who signed the document, Robert T. Pierson, was the Director of Facilities for ABC Care, Inc. and there is essentially no difference between the two documents because the Order clearly supports that the building permit was issued to Robert Pierson and that Robert Pierson was *indeed* the Director of Facilities of ABC Care, Inc. (Order of the Single Commissioner, Findings of Fact


Nos. 5 & 6; Order of the Full Commission, Finding of Fact No.3, Conclusion of Law No.2).

Third, it is axiomatic that matters not presented below *and* ruled upon by the Commission are not preserved for appeal. Stone v. Roadway Express, 367 SC 575, 627 SE2d 695. Only issues raised and *ruled upon* by the Commissioner are preserved for appeal. See Stone, 367 SC 575. In this case there is no indication that the Commission ruled upon the issue in question. However, if Appellant was aggrieved by the lack of a ruling on the issue it was incumbent upon the Hartford to file a Motion for reconsideration. Rhame v. Charleston County School District, 412 SC 273, 772 SE2d 159 (2014). It is the responsibility and burden of the Appellant to show that the subject matter of this Motion was properly presented and *ruled upon* by the Commission. Because there is no record, there is no evidence, and there are no affidavits or other documents submitted by the Appellant, the matter is not properly before the Court.

The Motion to add additional evidence should be denied on the following grounds: (1) This matter is not properly before the Court under SCACR Rule 240; (2) That the issue is not preserved for appeal and should have been *ruled upon* by the Full Commission and/or Appellant should have filed a Motion for Reconsideration; (3) Pursuant to Regulation 67-707 the Appellant cannot meet its burden of showing that

the matter could not have been discovered upon due diligence, because the document in question is a public record.

August 8, 2016.



WILLIAM HARRY EHLIES, II, SC 18657
ATTORNEY FOR RODRIGUEZ
Building A, Suite 201
310 Mills Avenue
Greenville, South Carolina 29605
864-232-3503
hank@ehlieslaw.com

EXHIBIT A

Pickens County Building Codes
222 McDaniel Ave., B-10, Pickens SC 29671
Telephone (864) 898-5950 Fax (864) 898-5580

Owner Builder Disclosure Statement

The Building Codes Department under the authority of the Codes of Laws of the State of South Carolina, 1976, as amended Title 40, Chapter 59, Article 1, Section 40-59-260, is providing the undersigned owner builder this disclosure statement.

State law requires residential construction to be done by licensed residential builders and specialty contractors. You have applied for a permit under an exemption to that law. The exemption allows you, as the owner of your property, to act as your own builder even though you do not have a license. You must supervise the construction yourself. You may build or improve a one-family or two-family residence. The building must be for your own use and occupancy. It may not be built for the sale or rent. If you sell or rent a building you have built yourself within two years after the construction is complete, the law will presume that you built it for sale or rent, which is a violation of this exemption. You may not hire an unlicensed person as your residential builder or specialty contractor. It is your responsibility to make sure that people employed by you have licenses required by state law and by county or municipal licensing ordinances. Your construction must comply with all applicable laws, ordinances, zoning regulations and are subject to All applicable building conditions during construction as required by adopted building codes.

If the residential building or structure has been constructed by an owner under the exemption provided for in this section, the owner of the residential building or structure must promptly file, as a matter of public record, a notice with the register of deeds, indexed under the owner's name in the grantor's index, stating that the residential building or structure was constructed by the owner as an unlicensed builder. Failure to do so revokes the exemption.

Address: 149 Lake Wood Ln. Sunset, SC 29685
Tax Map Number: 10-432-01-06-8130
Owner Builder(s): [Signature]
Printed Name(s): Robert T. Person
Witness 1: [Signature] Witness 2: [Signature]

State of South Carolina
County of Pickens
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this date 29th Oct., 2013
By [Signature] (name of person acknowledged)

Sworn and subscribed before me this 29th day of Oct., 2013

[Signature] (SEAL)
Notary Public for South Carolina
My commission Expires: 1-24-23

FILING FEE: \$10.00

EXHIBIT B

Pickens County Building Codes
222 McDaniel Ave., B-10, Pickens SC 29671
Telephone (864) 898-5950 Fax (864) 898-5580

Owner, Builder Disclosure Statement

The Building Codes Department under the authority of the Codes of Laws of the State of South Carolina 1976, as amended Title 40, Chapter 59, Article 1, Section 40-59-260, is providing the undersigned owner builder this disclosure statement.

State law requires residential construction to be done by licensed residential builders and specialty contractors. You have applied for a permit under an exemption to that law. The exemption allows you, as the owner of your property, to act as your own builder even though you do not have a license. You must supervise the construction yourself. You may build or improve a one-family or two-family residence. The building must be for your own use and occupancy. It may not be built for the sale or rent. If you sell or rent a building you have built yourself within two years after the construction is complete, the law will presume that you built it for sale or rent, which is a violation of this exemption. You may not hire an unlicensed person as your residential builder or specialty contractor. It is your responsibility to make sure that people employed by you have licenses required by state law and by county or municipal licensing ordinances. Your construction must comply with all applicable laws, ordinances, zoning regulations and are subject to all applicable building inspections during construction as required by adopted building codes.

If the residential building or structure has been constructed by an owner under the exemption provided for in this section, the owner of the residential building or structure must promptly file, as a matter of public record, a notice with the register of deeds, indexed under the owner's name in the grantor's index, stating that the residential building or structure was constructed by the owner as an unlicensed builder. Failure to do so revokes the exemption.

Address: 119 L.o.ke. Wood Ln., Sunset 29685
Tax Map Number: P.f.12:.....lq
Owner Builder (S):
Printed Name
Witness 1: [Signature]
Witness 2: [Signature]

State of South Carolina
County of Pickens

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this date 29th Oct, 2013
By [Signature] (name of person acknowledged)

Sworn and subscribed before me this 29th day of Oct., 2013

[Signature] (SEAL)
Notary Public for South Carolina
My commission Expires: 1-24-23

FILING FEE: \$10.00

03:24:22 PM, Fee:\$10.00 PAUL MCGUFFIN
REGISTER OF DEEDS PICKENS CO.,SC

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM
THE SOUTH CAROLINA WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION
Full Commission Review

Case No. 1320141

Ignacio Rodriguez,.....Respondent

-vs-

Robert T. Pierson d/b/a Pierson Construction Co.,

and

ABC Care, Inc.,

and

Property and Casualty Insurance Co. of Hartford,

and

The South Carolina Workers' Compensation Uninsured Employers Fund

Of whom Property and Casualty Insurance Co. of Hartford is the..... Appellant.

RECEIVED
AUG 10 2016
SC Court of Appeals

PROOF OF SERVICE


The undersigned hereby certifies that a true copy of Respondent's Response to Appellant's Motion for Leave to Present Additional Evidence has been served on the all parties of record by mailing in the United States mail, postage prepaid on this 8th day of August, 2016, addressed as follows:

Aisha Taylor
Commissioner
South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission
PO Box 1715
Columbia, South Carolina 29202

David M. Padgett
Holder Padgett Littlejohn & Prickett
1204 A. East Washington Street
Greenville, South Carolina 29601

Randall Hiller
Attorney at Law
850-B Wade Hampton Blvd.
Greenville, South Carolina 29609

David H. Keller
Turner Padgett Graham and Laney
Post Office Box 1509
Greenville South Carolina 29602



Jenny Knight, Legal Assistant
WILLIAM H. EHLIES II
ATTORNEY AT LAW
Building A, Suite 201
310 Mills Avenue
Greenville, South Carolina 29605
Ph. 864-232-3503 fax 864-232-4854
Email: hank@ehlieslaw.com

August 8, 2016

WILLIAM H. EHLIES, P.A.
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW
BUILDING A, SUITE 201
310 MILLS AVENUE
GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29605
864-232-3503
FACSIMILE NUMBER 864-232-4854
EIN: 57-0703576

August 8 , 2016

RECEIVED
AUG 10 2016
SC Court of Appeals

Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re: Ignacio Rodriguez v Robert T. Pierson d/b/a Pierson Construction Co.,
and ABC Care, Inc. and Property and Casualty Insurance Co. of Hartford,
and the South Carolina Workers' Compensation Uninsured Employers'
Fund
Case No. 1320141


Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed please find an original and six (6) copies of Respondent's Response to Appellant's Motion for Leave to Present Additional Evidence in the above matter. By copy of this letter, we are providing a copy of the Response to all parties and have included our Proof of Service.

If you require anything further, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely yours,

WILLIAM H. EHLIES, P.A.



Jenny M. Knight
Legal Assistant
Email: jenny@ehlieslaw.com


/jmk

enclosures

cc: David M. Padgett, Attorney at Law
Randall Hiller, Attorney at Law
David H. Keller, Attorney at Law
Aisha Taylor, SC Workers' Compensation Commissioner



1000



29211

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
GREENVILLE, SC
29602
AUG 08, 16
AMOUNT


\$3.46

R2304M110652-01

RECEIVED

AUG 10 2016

SC Court of Appeals



WILLIAM H. EHLIES, P.A.

Attorney at Law

310 Mills Avenue, Suite 201

Greenville, South Carolina 29605

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings

Clerk of Court

South Carolina Court of Appeals

Post Office Box 11629

Columbia, South Carolina 29211