RECEIVED
NOV 0 2 2012
SC Court of Appeals

To - Tanya A. Gee
From Michael Clayton Gensel #337908

This is to awnser the courts Requesting For Writen Arguable basis To peeserve my APPeal in case ~~STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA Vs. Michael Gensel~~

1. My Lawyor was in deract conFlect at The Public Defender office and The Public Defenders office Should Have granted me a Pro Bono Lawyor instead of Fireing one Lawyor and giving me a Lawyor That work's Right in The same office.
2. My Lawyor Never Tryed To get statement's made under The inFluence Throughout.
3. My Lawyor Never Argued That AT The Time of Arest a Tox screan should Have Been made BeFor quistioning.
4. My Lawyor never ASK The officer if I was drunk when Brot To The Police STation For QUISTION.
5. My Lawyor Told me He could not →

Help me And He Felt He would Lose AT Trial He should Have steped down.
6. My Laywor Never Qustion any of my witness on my Be Have.
7. The Pubic Defender should Have given me a Pro Bono Lawyor when I Told Them of a conflect of intersted occered.

The Fact are all True I sear on The Law under Pentalie of Law.

X Mike C. Lensel

NOTE - Please Grant my APPeal on The Baic of ineFeetent Accent Counsel.
Thank you For your Time...