


a. The Court finds that there was sufficient factual evidence presented in this trial to support the jury's verdict. As such, this Court's position is that it would be inappropriate and improper to disturb the jury's verdict.

B. This Court also finds the Defendant's arguments regarding the insufficiency of the evidence presented at trial unpersuasive as there was extensive evidence of the sexual acts that occurred between the victim and the Defendant presented in this case. Further, the jury's verdict was likely the result of weighing the credibility and believability of each witness's testimony and the evidence admitted during the trial.

THEREFORE, IT IS SO ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion for a New Trial ~~Back on~~ Lack of Evidence and Motion to Reconsider are respectfully denied.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

August ¹²15, 2016



The Honorable Thomas A. Russo
Chief Administrative Judge