

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

D&C Builders, Inc., Appellant,

v.

Richard M. Buckley and Wells Fargo National
Association, Defendants,

Richard M. Buckley, Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

Scott Dodenhoff, Third-Party Defendant,

Of whom Richard M. Buckley is the Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2013-001645

ORDER

After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.

Thomas C. Huff

J.

H. B. Wain

J.

Paul C. Thomas

J.

FILED

9/15/16

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Brian A. Martin, Esquire

Harold Stewart James, Esquire

M. Stokely Holder, Esquire



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

September 15, 2016

Mr. Brian A. Martin, Esquire
212 Trade St.
Greer SC 29651

Re: D&C Builders v. Richard Buckley
Appellate Case No. 2013-001645

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is a copy of an order of the panel denying your petition for rehearing. Your petition for rehearing en banc was distributed to the judges, but it has been rejected. *See* Rule 219, SCACR.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen, Deputy

CLERK

cc: Harold Stewart James, Esquire
M. Stokely Holder, Esquire