

SEPTEMBER 13, 2016

ATTN: DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE, ESQUIRE
CLERK OF COURT, S. CT. OF S.C.
P.O. BOX 11330
COL., SC 29211

RECEIVED

SEP 15 2016

S.C. SUPREME COURT

FROM: BOBBY JOE BARTON, #163629

Re: BOBBY JOE BARTON V. STATE
APPELLATE CASE NO: 2016-000995

: DEAR CLERK,

I AM FILING THE LEGAL ACTION WITH YOUR CLERK'S OFFICE FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT DENIAL OF MY RIGHT TO CHALLENGE THE ACCURACY OF THE TRANSCRIPT. I VERY RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT YOU FILE IT IN YOUR COURT AND PLEASE RETURN TO ME A DATE STAMPED COPY IF YOU WOULD BE SO KIND. I THANK YOU IN ADVANCE AND I ALSO REQUEST OF YOU TO PLEASE SEND ME A COPY OF THE MAILING ADDRESS THAT YOU SERVED ON COURT REPORTER "CHERYL A. SMITH," ON SEPTEMBER 6, 2016 DENYING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE THE TRANSCRIPT.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Bobby Joe Barton Prose
BOBBY JOE BARTON, PROSE
McCORMICK CORR. INST.
386 REDEMPTION WAY
McCORMICK, SC 29899

CC: BOBBY JOE BARTON, Pro se
(SELF-REPRESENTATION)

LEGAL MAIL
MAIL ROOM

SEPTEMBER 11, 2016

ATTN: DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE, ESQUIRE
CLERK OF COURT

FROM: BOBBY JOE BARTON, #163629
PCR CASE NO: 2014-CR-23-5047
APPELLATE CASE #: 2016-000995

RE: RIGHT TO CHALLENGE ACCURACY OF TRANSCRIPT BY DUE PROCESS (4TH AMEND.
U.S.C.A.) UPON ACTUAL KNOWLEDGE OF RECEIVING THE TRANSCRIPT. (8/26/16) ART. I -
- § 38-14 SC CONST.
: DEAR CLERK,

I AM BRING TO THE ATTENTION OF THE COURT THAT I
AM CHALLENGING THE ACCURACY OF THE P.C.R. TRANSCRIPT TRANSCRIBED
BY COURT REPORTER "CHERYL A. SMITH," CVR-M, THAT WAS HELD ON FEBRUARY
18, 2016 BEFORE JUDGE DANIEL D. HALL IN GREENVILLE COUNTY COURT OF
COMMON PLEAS. THESE TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT REFLECT THE ACTUAL TESTI-
MONY THAT I THE PETITIONER GAVE ON THE WITNESS OR THE TESTIMONY
GIVEN BY OTHER WITNESSES IN PURSUANT TO RULE 601(I), SCACR AND THE
SC COURTER ^{9/11/16 REPORTER BB,} MANUAL SECTION XIII, B. I WOULD ALSO BRING TO THE ATEN-
TION OF THE HONORABLE CLERK OF COURTS OFFICE THAT CHERYL A. SMITH
HAS CONVIENTLY IS NOT MADE HERSELF AVAILABE TO SERVE HER WITH
THESE COMPLAINT.

ON SEPTEMBER 8, 2016 YOUR CLERK'S OFFICE SERVED ME WITH A NOTICE
THAT COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENSE NEEDS TO FILE THE REQUEST FOR THE CHALLENGE
OF THE TRANSCRIPT AS PER "MILLER V. STATE," 388 S.C. 347, 697 S.E.2D 527 (2010).

THE PETITIONER STATES IF IT PLEASES THE COURT AND TO INFORM THE
HONORABLE COURT THAT THE PETITIONER HAS BEEN GIVEN AUTHORITY OF SELF-

PAGE 1 of 4

REPRESENTATION, PRO SE.

ALSO YOU STATED THAT THE TRANSCRIPT WOULD DELIVERED TO COUNSEL ON JUNE 23, 2016. THE PETITIONER STATES TO THE COURT THAT THERE WERE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WERE BEYOND HIS AWARENESS AND HE BECOMING KNOWLEDGABLE OF THE TRANSCRIPTS ALREADY BEEN PREPARED AND DELIVERED TO COUNSEL.

THE PETITIONER WILL SHOW TO THE COURT JUST CAUSE WHY THE THIRTY (30) DAY DEAD-LINE SHOULD NOT APPLY AS TO THE DATE THE COURT IS HOLDING AGAINST THE PETITIONER BASED UPON THE FACTS THE EXHIBITS OF DOCUMENTS, DATES, AND THE STATEMENTS INCLUDED WITHIN THEM AS LISTED BELOW!

- EXHIBITS AND DOCUMENTS -

- 1.) A COPY OF A INTRODUCTRY LETTER FROM S.C. COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE DATED JULY 1, 2016 AND SIGNED BY TAYLOR D. GILLIAM, ESQUIRE STATING HIS APPOINTMENT TO MY CASE. (EXHIBIT #1)
- 2.) A TRUE EXACT COPY OF A LETTER PETITIONER MAILED TO TAYLOR D. GILLIAM, ESQUIRE DATED JULY 12, 2016 AND MAILED TO HIM ON JULY 13, 2016 (3 PAGES) ADDRESSING FOR HIM TO REQUEST APPEAL TO BE PLACED IN ABEYANCE WHILE MOTIONING FOR ARRESTED JUDGMENT. THE PETITIONER DIRECTS THE COURT TO PAY CLOSE ATTENTION TO PAGE NO# 3, ESPECIALLY LINE NO# 4, FIRST SENTENCE THRU - LINE 12, INSTRUCTING HIM TO SEND ME A COPY OF THE TRANSCRIPT AS SOON AS HE RECEIVE THEM AND INFORMING HIM OF MY RIGHTS TO REVIEW THEM FOR ACCURACY AND TO CHALLENGE THEM IF BE NECESSARY. (EXHIBIT #2)

THE ORIGINAL LETTER WAS MAILED TO MR. TAYLOR D. GILLIAM, AS THE DATE WILL SUBSTANTIATE ABOVE THE PETITIONERS NAME IN THE LEFT CORNER OF PAGE NO#3 SHOWING MAILED ON JULY 13, 2016.

3.) THE PETITIONER WILL INTRODUCE A COPY OF A LETTER DATED AUGUST 19, 2016 AND A COPY OF THE ENVELOPE DATE STAMPED AS BEING RECEIVED AT MCCORMICK CORRECTIONAL INST. ON AUGUST 26, 2016 FROM THE INDIGENT DEFENSE COMMISSION OF SC FROM TAYLOR D. GILLIAM, ESQUIRE TO THE PETITIONER ACKNOWLEDGING THAT HE DID RECEIVE (EXHIBIT #2) AND STATING THAT THE TRANSCRIPT ARE ENCLOSED OF THE PETITIONERS P.C.R. HEARING. (EXHIBIT #3), AND (EXHIBIT #4).

4.) THE PETITIONER ALSO ENCLOSES THAT HE HAS MADE AT LEAST TWO (2) ATTEMPTS TO SERVE THIS COMPLAINT UPON COURT REPORTER "CHERYL A. SMITH, CVR-M WITH THE ADDRESS THAT THE 13th CIRCUIT CLERK OF COURT SUPPLIED ME WITH ON AUGUST 8, 2016 BUT MS. SMITH IS REFUSING TO RECEIVE THE COMPLAINT OR THE ADDRESS IS NOT CORRECT. (SEE EXHIBIT #5 RETURN TO SENDER) AND EXHIBIT #6 THE SAME.

THE PETITIONER IN THIS ACTION STATES THAT HE OBJECTS ON THE RECORD IN THE CASE "BARTON V. STATE" WHEREAS THE CLERK OF COURT OFFICE OF THE SUPREME COURT HAS DECLARED THAT PETITIONERS THIRTY (30) DAYS TIME LIMIT HAS EXPIRED CONCERNING THE OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE THE ACCURRACY OF THE TRANSCRIPT PURSUANT TO RULE 607(1) OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA APPELLATE COURT RULES, AND SEC. XIII, C OF THE SC COURT REPORTER MANUAL.

THE PETITIONER HAS DEMONSTRATED WITH DOCUMENTATION WHEN THE P.C.R. TRANSCRIPTS BECAME KNOWLEDGEABLE TO HIM ON AUGUST 26, 2016 AND FROM THAT POINT ^{9/26/16} ~~██████~~ ^{"THIS" B.B.} RESPONSIBILITY ^{9/26/16} ~~██████~~ ^{"NOT" B.B.} CAN BE ATTRIBUTED TO HIM. THE APPOINTED COUNSEL WAS NOT AT THE HEARING, THEREFORE HE DOES NOT KNOW WHAT WAS SAID OR WAS NOT SAID. THE PETITIONER HAS SUBSTANTIATED HIS CLAIM WITH THESE DOCUMENTS WHICH ARE TRUE AND CORRECT IN WHICH I'VE SUPPLIED TO THE COURT. WITH THIS EVIDENCE BEING TRUTH, THE PETITIONER STATES THAT HE IS WELL WITHIN THE THIRTY (30) PERIOD TO CHALLENGE THE ACCURACY OF THE TRANSCRIPT.

THE PETITIONER BELIEVES THAT HE IS WITHIN HIS RIGHTS OF DUE PROCESS OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT AND ALSO BELIEVES HE HAS SHOWN TO THE COURT JUST CAUSE WHY IT SHOULD REVERSE ITS PREVIOUS RULING GRANTING THE PETITIONER THE RIGHT TO CHALLENGE THE TRANSCRIPT AND TO LOCATE THE COURT REPORTER CHERYL A. SMITH, CVR-M.

IT IS WITH MANY PRAYERS AND MUCH HOPE THE COURT WILL GRANT PETITIONER'S REQUEST.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

~~H. Bobby Joe Barton, Pro Se~~
BOBBY JOE BARTON, PRO SE
McCORMICK CORR. INST.
386 REDEMPTION WAY
McCORMICK, SC 29879

CC: KAREN CHRISTINE RATHGAM, ESQUIRE
MS. CHERYL A. SMITH, CVR-M
BOBBY JOE BARTON, PRO SE (SELF-REPRESENTATION)
PAUL B. WICKENSIMMER, CLERK OF COURT

JAN JAGEL
TECH ROOM
MCOB JAGEL

PROOF-OF-SERVICE

RECEIVED

SEP 15 2016

S.C. SUPREME COURT

THE HEREBY UNDERSIGNED STATES UNDER THE PENALTY
OF PERJURY THE HE HAS SERVED AN OBJECTION ON THE RECORD
AN ASSERT HIS RIGHT TO CHALLENGE THE ACCURACY OF TRANSCRIPT
BY DUE PROCESS OF THE 14TH AMEND. U.S.C.A. AND THE SC CONST. ALSO
ART. I § 3 & 14 CONST. OF SC AND THE LAWS OF THIS STATE AND THE 5TH
AMEND TO THE FEDERAL CONST. UPON DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE, ESQUIRE
CLERK OF THE COURT, @ P.O. BOX 11330, COLUMBIA, SC 29211
AND A TRUE EXACT COPY UPON EACH INDIVIDUALS NAMED IN
THE LOWER LEFT CORNER. THIS WAS DONE BY HAND DELIVERING
DOCUMENTS AND EXHIBITS TO MCCORMICKS' MAILROOM PERSONEL
ON THE 13TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2016 (INCLUDING EXHIBIT #1,
- #2, #3, #4, & #5.)

~~Bobby Joe Barton, Prose~~
BOBBY JOE BARTON, PROSE
MCCORMICK CORR. INST.
386 REDEMPTION WAY
MCCORMICK, SC 29899

CC: KAREN CHRISTINE PATIGAN, ESQUIRE
MS. CHERYL A. SMITH, CVR-M
BOBBY JOE BARTON, PROSE (SELF-REPRESENTATION)
PAUL B. WICKENSIMER, CLERK OF COURT

LEGAL ROOM
1100B JAGS



SCCID

SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE

Division of Appellate Defense
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-3332
Post Office Box 11589
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1589
Telephone: (803) 734-1343
Facsimile: (803) 734-1397

RECEIVED

SEP 15 2016

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Robert M. Dudek, Chief Appellate Defender
Wanda H. Carter, Deputy Chief Appellate Defender

July 01, 2016

45

Mr. Bobby Joe Barton, #163629
Perry Correctional Institution
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer, SC 29669

Re: Your case

EXHIBIT-#1

Dear Mr. Barton:

I am the lawyer who will be handling your case in the Supreme Court. I will be reviewing the record of the proceedings at the Post Conviction Relief (PCR) court to see what legal errors to present to the Supreme Court on your behalf. I will submit these in the form of a Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

When I file the petition, I will send you a copy of all relevant hearing transcripts, and material in the form of an appendix. Only information that was in front of the PCR judge can be included in the Appendix.

You must be patient while the court considers your case. The process is very slow, but there is nothing I can do to speed up the process. It may be a few months before I submit a petition to the Supreme Court and at least a year after that before the Court decides your case. Some cases take even longer. You will be notified when the Court makes a decision and letters asking the status of your case do not speed up the process.

Please keep these points in mind. The Supreme Court can only consider the information that was before the PCR court. Any new information cannot be brought to their attention at this point. In addition, the issue must have been addressed in the PCR court's order of dismissal before it can be raised to the Supreme Court.

The court decides the case based on the written material submitted by the attorney general's office and me. It very rarely sets oral arguments; which are an opportunity for me to focus its attention on the information in the petition. If you are in prison, you cannot attend.

Mr. Bobby Joe Barton, #163629

Page 2

July 01, 2016

In addition, we are not able to visit our clients personally but feel free to call collect.

Be assured that I will try my best to find reversible error. I will submit the best petition I possibly can to the Supreme Court.

I receive a tremendous amount of mail and I may not be able to respond to all letters. However, you are free to telephone me collect. An SCDC Telephone Privilege Request has already been submitted for the following number: (803) 734-1330. **Please record your full name on the recording. We cannot accept a collect call with an nickname or partial name.** This is the preferred method of us communicating. Regardless, rest assured if you write me a letter about your case, I will read it and carefully consider it as I decide which issue or issues to submit to the appellate court. Please note that the decision about which issues to submit is mine. Only if I submit a "no merits" or Johnson petition, stating that I could not find any good issues, will the court let you submit your own legal arguments.

Finally, if you are transferred to another facility or released, you must write and let me know where you are. The Department of Corrections will not notify us of your new address.

I hope this letter answers some of the questions you may have at this time. Again, please do not hesitate to contact me by letter if you have any questions or I may be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



Taylor D Gilliam

TDG/css



JULY 12, 2016

RECEIVED

SEP 15 2016

ATTN: TAYLOR D. GILLIAM,
DIVISION OF APPELLATE DEFENSE

S.C. SUPREME COURT

FROM: BOBBY JOE BARTON, #163629
CASE # 2014-CP-23-5047

EXHIBIT-#2

Re: REQUEST FOR CASE TO BE PLACED IN 'ABEYANCE' WHILE A MOTION FOR 'ARRESTED JUDGMENT' BE FILED.

DEAR ATTORNEY TAYLOR GILLIAM,


I RECEIVED YOUR LETTER ON JULY 8, 2016 NOTTING ME THAT YOU HAVE BEEN APPOINTED TO REPRESENT ME IN THE SUPREME COURT, AS YOU MAY NOTICE THAT MY P.C.R. WAS DENIED MARCH 14, 2016. IT WAS ENTERED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE ON MARCH 30, 2016. CAROLINE HORLBECK WAS OFFICIALLY TAKEN OFF MY CASE ON APRIL 4, 2016 AND NEVER FILED ANYTHING ON MY CASE AS SHE NOTIFIED ME BY LETTER THAT SHE WOULD DO. ON APRIL 7, 2016 R. MILLS ABAIL, JR RECEIVED MY CASE, HE OR HIS PARA-LEGAL CONTACTED ME ON APRIL 15, 2016 BY TELEPHONE HE AT PERRY CORRECTIONAL INST., HE WAS NOT KNOWLEDGABLE OF MY CASE AND I INSTRUCTED HIM TO FILE AN 52(b) AGAINST OMISSIONS OR ANY ERRONEOUS FINDINGS OF FACT, OR MISAPPLICATION OF LAW SHOULD HAVE BEEN BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE JUDGE BUT IT WAS NOT. IT ALSO INSTRUCTED HIM TO FILE AN 59(c) MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND, BUT HE FILED AN 59(c) MOTION TO RECONSIDER IN WHICH IS NOT WHAT I INDICATED BUT FOR SPECIFIC FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSION

PAGE 1 of 3

OF LAW, NONE OF THIS WAS DONE AS TO WHAT I INSTRUCTED CAROLINE HORUBECK OR R. MILLS PRINAIL TO DO. I WAS NEVER SERVED WITH A COPY OF THE PROPOSED ORDER WITHIN THE (10) DAYS OF JUDGE HALL'S RULING AND THE CLERK'S RECEIVING IT ON MARCH 30, 2016. ATTORNEY R. MILLS PRINAIL FILED THE NUISANCE 59(c) ON APRIL 13, 2016 WHILE NEVER SERVING ME A COPY OF THE DENIED ORDER WHICH WAS DENIED ON APRIL 28, 2016. THE FIRST TIME I WAS SERVED WITH THE DENIED ORDER WAS MAY 11, 2016. (COPY OF MAY 11, 2016 ATTACHED), THAT'S FORTY-ONE (41) DAYS AFTER THE INITIAL JUDGE'S DENIAL OF MY P.C.R., SINCE THAT TIME AND THE OPPORTUNITY REVIEW THE PROPOSED ORDER I'VE COME TO THE CONCLUSION BASED UPON THE ORDER THE P.C.R. COURT ERRORED BY GRANTING THE STATUTORY PRESUMPTION OF CORRECTNESS TO THE STATE PROPOSED ORDER AND THE COURT'S FACT FINDING PROCESS WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE EXCEPTIONS TO THAT PRESUMPTIONS OR ADDRESSING THE PETITIONER'S ARGUMENT THAT THE STATE COURT'S PROCEDURES WERE DEFICIENT, REQUIRING REMAND TO DETERMINE WHETHER STATE COURT'S FACTUAL FINDINGS WERE DRAFTED EXCLUSIVELY BY THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE PURSUANT TO THE P.C.R. COURT DIRECTING THE PREVAILING PARTY TO PREPARE THE PROPOSED ORDER AND DID NOT MAKE NO SUCH REQUEST TO THE PETITIONER COUNSEL TO DO SO. THE PROPOSED ORDER HAS MISPLACEMENTS OF FACTS AND STATEMENTS THAT WERE NEVER MENTIONED DURING THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND NO EVIDENCE IN THE ORDER THE COURT COMMENTED ON THAT THE JUDGE NEVER EXAMINED BUT RECOGNIZED IN THE ORDER. I AM REQUESTING THAT MY APPEAL BE PLACED IN "ABEYANCE" AND A MOTION BY FILED IN THE LOWER COURT

FOR A MOTION OF ARRESTED JUDGMENT FOR THE COURT
TO CORRECT ALL THE ERRORS THAT I WILL FORWARD TO YOU
WITHIN A COUPLE OF DAYS POINTING OUT ALL ERRORS AND
TO THE COURT. IN YOUR LETTER TO ME YOU STATED THAT WHEN
YOU FILE MY PETITION THAT YOU WILL SEND ME A COPY OF ALL
HEARING TRANSCRIPTS AND MATERIAL IN THE FORM OF AN MP3'S
WELL MR. GILLIAM I HAVE A RIGHT TO RECEIVE THESE TRANSCRIPTS
AS SOON AS YOU RECEIVE THEM FOR REVIEW AND TO GIVE ME A
CHANCE TO REVIEW THEM TO ENSURE THEY ARE ACCURATELY TRANS-
CRIBED. . . I AM INSTRUCTING YOU TO PLEASE SEND ME A TRUE
EXACT COPY OF THESE HEARING TRANSCRIPTS SOON AS YOU RECEIVE
THEM. I WILL CALL YOU AS SOON AS THE TELEPHONE IS APPROVED.
AS YOU MAY KNOW I'VE HAD A LOT OF PROBLEMS WITH ATTORNEYS
AND I BELIEVE THAT MY CASE HAS VERY, VERY STRONG POTENTIAL. I
SINCERELY HOPE THAT YOU AND I CAN COME TO AN AGREEMENT ON MY
CASE BEFORE A LOT OF TIME IS INVESTED IN IT.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED



BOBBY JOE BARTON, #163629

PERRY CORE DIST. (P-4A-123)

430 OAKLAWN RD.

PELZER, SC 29669-9363

MAILED ON JULY 13, 2016

CC: BOBBY JOE BARTON, APPEALING



SCCID

SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE

Division of Appellate Defense
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-3332
Post Office Box 11589
Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1589
Telephone: (803) 734-1330
Facsimile: (803) 734-1397

RECEIVED

SEP 15 2016

S.C. SUPREME COURT
Robert M. Dudek, Chief Appellate Defender
Wanda H. Carter, Deputy Chief Appellate Defender

August 19, 2016

Bobby Joe Barton, #163629
McCormick Correctional Institution
386 Redemption Way
McCormick, SC 29899

EXHIBIT-#3

Re: Your Case

Dear Mr. Barton:

I am in receipt of your letter dated July 12, 2016 and your letter dated August 10, 2016. I have enclosed a copy of the transcript from your PCR Hearing as well as the available exhibits.

As you may recall and as you mention in your Motion to Relieve Counsel, we discussed many of the issues in your case. I would like to discuss briefly your requests regarding an abeyance and a motion to arrest the judgment.

I need some more information from you regarding your request for the motion to hold your appeal in abeyance. Generally, this motion is filed when we are awaiting transcripts and/or exhibits. At this time, it appears as if we have all of those documents. You may recall from my first letter that the Supreme Court is only able to consider the information that was before the PCR Court. We are in possession of those documents.

If you have any additional information as to why more time is needed in order to file the petition for writ of certiorari, please let me know. Otherwise, I will be unable to file this motion on your behalf.

Your options are limited at this stage, and the petition for a writ of certiorari is the method for seeking judicial review of your case. An arrest of judgment is a post-trial motion made to prevent the entry of a judgment where the charging document is insufficient of the court lacked jurisdiction to try the matter. In other words, this is a motion which is reserved for the trial court, not the appellate courts. Therefore, I cannot

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401
Columbia, SC 29201

EMERGENCY MAIL
SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

F4
132

Mr. Bobby Joe Barton, #163629
McCormick Correctional Institution
386 Redemption Way
McCormick, SC 29899

EXHIBIT-#4

CONFIDENTIAL LEGAL

RECEIVED

AUG 26 2016

MCCI
MAIL ROOM

S.C. SUPREME COURT

SEP 15 2016

RECEIVED



Office of the Clerk of Court
Paul B. Wickensimer
Clerk of Court for Greenville County
Greenville, South Carolina

www.greenvillecounty.org

August 8 2016

Bobby Barton 163629
Perry Correctional Institute
430 Oaklawn Rd
Pelzer SC 29669

Re: Court Reporter

Cheryl Smith
P O Box 4356
Simpsonville SC 29680

Thank you for your attention in tl
**Greenville County Clerk of Court
Civil Records**

EXHIBIT-#5


SCDC Christmas Packet
MCCI MAIL ROOM
AUG 26 2016
NIXIE 296 SE 1
RETURN TO SENDER
NO SUCH NUMBER
UNABLE TO FORWARD
BC: 29899
*2338-19067-18-37
NSN 0008/23/16

RECEIVED

*Ms. Cheryl Smith
Court Reporter
P.O. Box 4356
Simpsonville, SC 29680*

*Bobby Barton #163629
McGowan Core Inst (F-4-B-132)
386 RECONSTRUCTION Way
McCormick, SC 29899*


FD 132
AUGUSTA GA 309
18 AUG 2016 PM 2 T



BOBBY BARTON #163629
McCormick Correctional Institute
386 Redemption Way
McCormick, SC 29899

RECEIVED

SEP 15 2016




UNITED STATES POSTAGE
PITNEY BOWES
02 1P \$ 000.89⁰
0000879469 SEP 13 2016
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 29835

S.C. SUPREME COURT

ATTN: DANIEL E. SHAROUSE, ESQUIRE
CLERK OF COURT, S.Ct./SC
P.O. BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

29211 11330 BOBB



89

LEGAL MAIL
MAIL ROOM

RECEIVED

SEP 13 2016

MCCI
MAIL ROOM

THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND PAROLE
INSPECTED OR CENSORED THIS ITEM. THEREFORE
THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY
FOR ITS CONTENTS.

McCORMICK CORRECTIONAL INST.
S.C. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS