

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Alexander Guice, Appellant,

v.

US Foodservice, Inc., Employer, and Ace American
Insurance Company c/o Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.,
Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2015-001821

ORDER

Appellant has filed a motion for correction and/or clarification of Respondent's designation of matter. Appellant alleges certain matters were not sufficiently identified, certain matters were redundant, and certain matters were not relevant. Respondent filed a return providing sufficient identification for the matters and also certifying that the matters designated were relevant. Because Respondent is entitled to designate the same matters as Appellant and because Respondent has sufficiently identified and shown to this court that the matters are relevant, Appellant's motion is denied. *See* Rule 209(b), SCACR ("[T]he Designation may only propose to include portions of the transcript, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials which may be properly included in the Record on Appeal . . ."); Rule 210(c), SCACR ("The Record on Appeal shall include all matter designated to be included by any party under Rule 209 and shall comply with the requirements of Rule 267.").

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Alexander Guice

Erin Leigh Hantske, Esquire



FOR THE COURT

FILED

September 22, 2016