

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Antonio Gordon, #259798, Appellant,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2015-001004

ORDER

Respondent has filed a motion to strike Appellant's final brief, arguing it does not comply with the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Specifically, Respondent alleges Appellant's final brief is substantially different from his initial brief. After careful consideration, we grant Respondent's motion to strike. Within twenty days of the date of this order, Appellant shall serve and file an amended final brief and final reply brief in compliance with Rule 211(b), SCACR. *See* Rule 211(b) (providing the "final brief(s) shall be identical to the brief(s) previously served" except for the addition of references to the record and the correction of typographical errors and misspellings").

 J.

FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED

cc:

Antonio Gordon, 259798

Justin James Hunter, Esquire

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

November 8, 2016