

In the South Carolina Court of Appeals Columbia

August Byron Kreis, III, ProSe 504/ADA-Vet, Appellant;

- VS -

The state; et. al,

Respondent;

RECEIVED

Appeal from Lexington County

NOV 28 2016

Honorable Dyet A. Early, III, Circuit Court Judge

SC Court of Appeals

Appellate Case No: 2015-002340

ProSe's Memorandum Brief Supplemental to his Appeals

to Support Reliefs Sought-Released.

And.

For an Emergency Speedy Appeal.

Defendants.

ProSe:

Alan Wilson

August B. Kreis, III, 365998

Atty. General for So. Car.

504/ADA-Vet

P.O. Box 11549

Perry Corr. Inst / ALU-193-A

Cola, South Carolina 29211

430 Oaklawn Rd.

OR

Pelzer, South Carolina

1000 Assembly St., Rm 519

29669

Cola, So. Car. 29201

Pages 32 / NOV 29, 2016

Table of Authorities):	Pages
Blair, (S.C. 1987),	VII, 12, 16
Blockburger, (U.S. 1932),	IX, 8, 10, 21,
Brady, (U.S. 1963),	25,
Bray, (S.Ct. 1993),	17,
Bryant, (S.C. 1992),	25,
Burks, (U.S. 1978),	X, 26,
Cannon, (8th Ct. 1996),	VII,
Chapman, (U.S. 1967),	VIII, IX, 20
Cooper, (U.S. 1996),	12
Chafford (U.S. 2004),	I, (IV, VI, VIII, 1, 2, 13, 14, 16, 18, 20, 21,
Dickerson (U.S. 2002),	VII, 13
Dixon, (U.S. 1993),	8, 10
Dolle, (4th Cr. 2000),	VIII, 19
Hands, (11th Cr. 1999)	VII, 13
Hooks, (S.C. 2003)	V, 8, 9, 10
Finney, Chief Justice, Purs. to Article V. § 4. (March 5, 99),	VII
Floyd, (2nd Cr. 1990)	VIII, 4, 17, 18
Garzoa, (5th Cr. 1979)	IV, 4
Gaster, (S.C. 2002),	V, 6
Green, (S.C. 2003)	15
Guest, (U.S. 1966)	17
Jackson, (U.S. 1979)	III, XI, 5, 27
Jones, (S.C. App. 1996)	IX, 21
Jones, (4th Cr. 1976)	11
McDonald, (U.S. 1982)	VII, 11
McHone, (N.C. 1993)	VI
McMullin, (S.C. 1996)	26
McLaughlin (S.C. 2003)	24
Miranda, (U.S. 1966)	VI, 13

TABLE OF Authorities, continued);

Old Chief, (U.S. 1997),	VII, 5, 18, 19
Primus (S.C. APP 2003),	V, VII, 8, 9, 10
Simpson, (S.C. 1993),	21, 22
Smart, (S.C. 1993),	(VI, VII) 5, 13, 14, 15
South, (S.C. 1993)	X, 25
Strange, (S.C. 1992),	X, 15, 26
Strickland, (U.S. 1984),	XI, 5, 26, 27
Swaringer, (S.C. 1980),	16
Robinson (U.S. 1962),	VII
Wright, (U.S. 1990)	1
Constal. Ammends;	
1, th	0
4, th	0
5, th	14, 19
6, th	VII, 19, 27
8, th	VII,
14, th	VIII, 19
	VIII, 19
Codes statutes;	
S.C. Code 17-27-45 (c) (Supp 2000),	, 22
16-3-655 (C) (1976) & (B) (1),	V, VII, 9, 10
17-24-45 - (C) (Supp. 2000),	VII , X, 22
16-3-656,	, 8
16-15-140,	V, 8

Questions before the Court for release;	Pages
1. The Court erred by allowing Prose's wife Kwoley Nellis's letter from Prose to be used in violation of Crawford v. Washington, 124 U.S. 1354 (2004)?	1, 2
2. The Court erred by allowing jury to rehear Barbara's & Prose's testimonies, because they were not interesting & some asleep; & wasn't it a form of vouching for Barbara, and all state witnesses (3-times triple) <u>Harga</u> , 608 F.2d 659, 662, (5th Cir. 1979) reversible error)?	2, 3, 4
3. The Court erred by allowing Solicitor Mays, to put up voucher state witnesses to manipulate juries into believing more existing evidence, Jackson, 443 U.S. 307 (1979) (insufficient evidence)?	4, 5
4. ("Question for Court to clarify" Adult-hood). The Court erred by not dismissing Barbara Klein's Indictments, by she was an adult at 18 yrs., & already shackled up - with Ryan Stephens - said husband, "under the statute of limitations she had to report said abuse before she turned 18, & not wait until she's 19 yrs. & was 26 days old after she in a big argument & ordered to leave the property over Ryan's	

(Question 4 continued)	Pages
bullying her brothers Max-Julius Kreis & Eddie Kreis; the child statute no longer applies to one (shacked up with love); ?	
Gates, 564 S.E. 2d 87 (S.C. 2002) S.C. const. law since 1885 empowers young women to consent to sex at (14 fourteen). ?	5, 6, 7
5. The court erred by giving third & second degree charges to jury, when Prose not charged with 3rd degree, Hester, 517 S.E. 2d 211 (S.C. 2003) ?	7, 8
6. The court erred by not dismissing Ind. 15-2030, for 16-15-140 levied not upon a child, when Barbara's age was 11 yrs. 1 mo. 12 days old on May 8, 05, & 14 yrs. 6 mos. 5 days old, on Oct 1, 2008; fell under 2nd degree statute; & over lapped into Ind. 15-2034; Primus, 564 S.E. 2d 103 (S.C. app 2007) ?	8, 9
7. The court erred by not dismissing Ind. 15-2034, for 16-03-655 (B)(1) c/s/l/w/m 2nd degree, when Barbara's age was 11 yrs 1 mo 12 days old May 8, 05, & (14 yrs. 6 mos. 5 days old on Oct 1, 08; in to 3rd degree); Primus, (S.C. App 2002) ?	9,
8. The court erred by not dismissing Ind. 15-2036, for 16-15-140	

(Question & Continued)	Pages
<p>Lewd act upon a child under 16 yrs. old 16-3-655(c) (1976), when Amanda's age was 8 yrs. 2 mos. 14 days old May 8, 05, & 12 yrs. 7 mos. 7 days on Oct 1, 08; fell under 1st degree statute, & 2nd degree, not lewd act one. <u>Primus</u>, (S.C. app 2002), ?</p>	10
<p>9. The court erred by not dismissing all Inds. for Indis delay, when Prose was falsely accused Jan 21, 14, & arrested, & weren't indicted till Aug. 11, 2015 over 1 yrs. 6 mos. 20 days later; to circumvent 180 days statute-trial must take place denying Prose his rights to speedy trial; <u>McDonald</u>, 456 U.S. 1 (1982) by Chief Justice <u>Stewart</u> order purs. to article III, & 4 (Mar. 5, 99), ?</p>	10, 11
<p>10. The court erred by not holding a Blair hearing, & stop trial until Prose's mental testing was ordered done, by his sayings, <u>Blair</u>, 275 S.E.2d 529, 273 (S.C. 1987) S.C. Code 14-23-H10 (1976), ?</p>	11, 12
<p>11. The Court erred by allowing Sol. Majors, to tell jury in her closing arguments, that Prose admitted he did said charges & did it violate <u>Crawford v. Washington</u>, & also his 5th Amend. rights to remain silent, under <u>Miranda v. Arizona</u>, 299 S.E. 2d 686 (S.C. 1983), ? by</p>	

(Question 11 Continued)	Page
<p><u>Dickerson</u>, 503 U.S. 428 (2002)? also <u>Wanda</u>, 184 F.3d 1322, 1328-29 (11th Cir. 1999) reversible error)?</p>	12, 13, 14
<p>12. The Court erred by allowing <u>Sol. Mayer</u>, to vouch for state witnesses during trial & in closing arguments; <u>Smart</u>, 299 S.F. 2d 686 (S.C. 1983), ?</p>	14, 15
<p>13. The Court erred by sentencing <u>Prose</u> to a life & death sentence, when charges & statutes did not allow it, by his age, 61 yrs. old & tried on his birthday, that proved bias by <u>Sol. Mayer</u>; the sentencing table of So. Car. life in SCDC to live is expected to be 10 yrs, making <u>Prose's</u> cruel by 8th Ammed. <u>Robinson</u>, 370 U.S. 660, 666-67 (1962)?</p>	13, 16
<p>14. The Court erred by allowing <u>Sol. Mayer</u> to argue in <u>Prose's</u> (mor-beliefs) of hatred & bigotry & anti-government, preached but not practiced; by him; which he was not indicted for; <u>Cannizz</u>, 88 F.3d 1495, 1502-03 (8th Cir. 1996) constitute reversible error)? <u>Robinson</u>, 370 U.S. 660, 666-67 (1962) punished for beliefs unconstal.)??</p>	16, 17

(Questions continued)	pages
<p>15. The court erred by allowing Lol. Mayer, to make like Barbara & Amanda & Abby, were little children testifying, by use of (male & female anatomy drawings) acting like they could not name body parts; & wasn't this vouching & manipulating the jury to get false convictions by calling on "jury's passions" ?</p> <p>Floyd, 907 F.3d 347, 354-55 (2d Cir. 1990) Reversible error?</p>	18
<p>16. The court erred by not allowing prose, by Lol Mayer, request, a public trial his 6th Amend. rights by 14th Amend; they made public leave wrongly; Dolde, 204 F.3d 133 (4th Cir. 2000); ?</p>	18, 19
<p>17. The court erred by allowing untried Abby Kreis, pending charges for Richmond & Henric Counties & Amanda's by Old Chief, 117 S.Ct. 644 (1997) to be used to vouch Lexington charges; ?</p>	19
<p>18. The court erred by allowing Jennifer Kreis's letter-poem to be read by Barbara Kreis, before sentencing by Crawford v. Washington, holdings; to prejudice & make Judge bias; Chapman, 386 U.S.</p>	

(Question 18 Continued)	Pages
18, 22 (1967) impartial judge not subject to harmless error review?	20
19. The court erred by not consolidating all Richland, & Kershaw, charges into Lexington charges, once Sol. Mayes, sought to use those untried pending ones to touch her cases, & by failing to dismiss all but one charge per 3-Kreis, once consolidated; Jones, 479 S.E. 2d 577 (S.C. App. 1996) ?	20, 21
20. The court erred by not dismissing all Indictments, because same dates, acts, mens-evidence used, same 9- persons, & State D.S.S., & Dickerson Advocacy, & Sheriffs & SLED agents, and schemes, & Counties & Parishes; all related & used to prove all Inds. by, Blockburger, 284 U.S. 299 (1932) ? at close of State's Mayes, case; ?	21, 22
21. The court erred by not forcing Sol. Mayes & SLED, & Dickerson Advocacy, & Lexington, Richland, & Kershaw Sols., & Governor, & Atty.-Gen., to turn over impeachment crimes of judges, SLED Agents were lying & falsifying evidence in So. Car. & nationwide Courts, & of Tom	

(Question 21 continued)	Pages
<p>Clark's ^{IV} break in at SLED, & drug expert SLED agent arrested & allowed to lie in all So. Car. & nation wide courts so Prose could impeach all witnesses & seek dismissal of all charges; - (they withheld from Prose & Grand & trial Jurys) & is this newly discovered evidence; South, 427 S.E. 2d 666 (S.C. 1993) S.C. Code 17-24-45 (C) (Spp. 2000) ??</p>	22, 23, 24, 25
<p>22. Does Georgia bar the use of Prose's wife's letters sent her by Prose (3-16, 14) & Richland & Kershaw charges, & Jennifer's letter-post, & Eddie Kreis' & Barbara & Abby, & Amanda Kreis' & all State witnesses testimony's at another trial or trials in So. Car., by already used at Lexington (Nov. 7, 15) trial to prove same charges; by Mc. Mullin, 469 S.E. 2d 600 (S.C. 1996) BURR (1978) bar; Them! ?</p>	25, 26
<p>23. The Court erred by ordering Jurys they had to be unanimous; judge should have said (one or two of you, ^{or} ten or eleven, could find him guilty or not guilty, & thereby we would have a hung jury; so court gave a unconstal. charge & needs clarifying. ?; Strange, 417 S.E. 2d 609 (S.C. App. 1992), ?</p>	26

Statement of the cases):-

1.) Prose incorporates his Appellate R.M. Dudek's pages of his initial brief of Prose's statement of cases.

2.) And Supplemental Amend. to it, Prose states he went to trial to be tried only for Lexington false charges & was shocked to find out he was being tried for Kershaw & Richland Counties false charges to, which now Kershaw charges have been dismissed. That voids Lexington convictions by those said evidence no longer exists, see as proof Prose Exhibits #16-A; That supports his Prose Memorandum Brief for Dudek to argue for Prose to save for Federal Habeas if Reliefs not granted by Court now,, As baight below;

Argument 1):

The Court committed reversible errors by allowing Prose's wife, Karley Hollis's, letters from Prose, to be used in, violation of Crawford, 124 U.S. 1354 (2004), by back door circumvented, because she could not testify, & see Detective, S. Dykes, illegal acts, T.R. Trans, pgs 257 to 272 & pages 425 lines 16-25 to 431 lines 1-5, & 437 lines 20-25 to 438 1-15; done through Sol. X. Mayer, & all state witnesses used this back door, violating Miranda supra), & Prose's right to confront unavailable wife K. Hollis, which they vouched all non-witnesses - more - evidence "to brain-wash juries," & (make-believe), They have all these witnesses & evidence by "stacking"; see T.R. Trans. pages 1-438, to get false convictions; when [Crawford, et, Wright, 497 U.S. 805, 820, - 824 (1990) We hold out of Court statement - was not admissible simply because the truthfulness of it was corroborated by other evidence at trial, not even if (declarant - maker) testifies to same matter at Court & a wife or ex-wife can not testify to facts between her and her husband, nor can medical drs., Nis., Rescue workers, Child Advocacy, Police, give statements to me in court's (We change the whole land scape of what can't be used in courts)]; Bared wife's letters of Prose; &

Then Sol. X. Mayer, doesn't stop there nor Court, "None-witnesses Jennifer Kreis," was also by letter - poet, by "Barbara Kreis," to stand up in Court & read Jennifer's, Acid Poet to prejudice - Bias - Judge - toward Prose more, see T.R. Trans. pages 425 lines 16-25 to 439 lines 1-21"; as Mayer said (this is and was psychological warfare) by Solicitors & state wits. to frame Prose at all costs, & see below argument 2, what uses of

Argument 1 (continued).:

letter caused; &

And once Prose "stipulated" to K. Hollis's, letter was his, it could not be used to convict him nor circumvent Crawford's holdings, nor Miranda supra., Prose has a right that no one tell jury he admitted he did said crime by his letter of (3-6, 2014), when he could not confront wife to get her meanings of "his words used to her"; not what Sol. Mayes said they were, see proof TR. Trans. pages 251 to 292, & 377 to 388, & see parts pg. 377 lines 19-25, & pg. 378 lines 13-21, & pg. 379 lines 6-25, & (pg. 380 lines 1-10), & pg. 384 lines 17-23, & pg. 385 lines 17-19, & pg. 387 - lines 1-4, & 20-25, & pg. 385 lines 1-17; this was a disgrace act by Sol. Mayes, to deny Prose Fair Trial & bias Jurys. & 6th Amend. Rights - viol.; &

Argument 2.):

The Court committed reversible error by allowing jury to rehear Barbara's and Prose's testimony's, because, they were not listening & some asleep; & was a form of "triple vouching" for Barbara & all state witnesses, which did prejudice Prose, because he had no one to vouch for himself & court to young women [Open your eyes], Tr. Trans. pg. 365 lines 12-13; replays pages 403 lines 9-25 to 406 lines 1-3, plus Jurys made notes to of Prose's and Barbara's testimony's; then Sol. Mayes, poisoned the jury's mind by her words, TR. Trans. pg. 377 lines 19-25. (those who might be into his message of hatred & bigotry) & pg. 378

Argument 2 Continued):

lines 1-4 (people on the outside, he spent just as much effort manipulating & controlling his own children in their own home when he kept them in a prison of silence), & line 17 (where we know he's anti-government); lines 13-21; "he wrote that letter."!

And Tr. Trans. pg. 379 lines 6 to 15, & 16-25, (Does that sound like the words of an innocent man? "of course not." & (Oh, he didn't like the plea offer. He didn't like to plea offer. And now, all of a sudden his own words where he acknowledges his guilt ---

And Tr. Trans. pg. 380 lines 1-10; Mr. Shealy, objection; your Honor may we approach? The Court, yes. (side bar conference) Ms. Mayer (the defendant's own words, the plea offer was stupid. So now where are we? All of a sudden his acknowledgement of guilt & it's not going to trial, he decides he's going to use that as a weapon. And why is that? Because in his mind they are still little girls.

And in Tr. Trans. pg. 385 lines 17-19, (and the testimony of Barbara & Amanda on these crimes is "UNDENIABLE"), "Vouched & found facts for jury," Mayer did!

And Tr. Trans. pg. 387 lines 1-4 (and yet he's still able to carry out this crime against her. He will always be a danger to the community & he'll always be a threat to children, because he's a sex offender.)

Mayer called on the "passion of the jury" to act for the community!! Tr. Trans. 387 lines 20-25 - Parts (And, as I stated before, their testimony, their credibility is Paramount. Everything they testified to have been corroborated by each other by, Page 388 lines 1 (his own words in that letter, & by little Eddie). Tr. Trans. pg. 388 lines 2-7 (what about his

Argument 2 Continued):

credibility? What we know about him is he's a convicted felon, a convicted felon for lying, false statements to Federal Authorities. He has no credibility. But what was true were his own words in that letter when he still thought he would control those girls. But he was wrong.)

Found facts for jury & used his letter, and put Fed. charges to call on jury to disbelieve. Prose "was reversible error by Judge" see; *Karya*, 608 F.2d 659, 662 (5th Cir. 1979) reversible error; see; *Floyd*, 907 F.2d 347, 354-55 (2d Cir. 1990) seeking jury's passion, we vacate; & *McHone*, 435 S.E. 2d 296, 334 N.6627 (1993) Sol. arguments were grossly improper due process & plain error vids. & error by Court failure to dismiss charges); &

Argument 3);

The Court committed reversible errors allowing Solicitor Mayes, to put up voucher - state-witnesses to manipulate jury's into believing non-existing evidence, see Tr. Trans., pages 161 to 308 - lines 1-17, all non-state vouchers-witnesses to stack; "make-believe" we got real evidence, where none existed! & (the real corroborator - Mayes) ↓

But Solicitor S. Mayes, erred that Big problem, (she became a wit-to-vouch-her-own cases) see Tr. Trans., pages 377 lines 10-²⁵ 13 to 388, as proof, & again by replying Barbara's & Prose's testi-

Argument 3 continued.):

money's pgs. 403 lines 13-25 to 406 lines 1-3., & by jury's notes!,"
Under what half asleep jury's put on them to show others?;
See above arguments 1 & 2 to support 3.

see; Jackson, 443 U.S. 307 (1979) inappreciable evidence to convict;
we vacate);

see; Smart, 299 S.E. 2d 686 (S.C. 1983) we vacated, by Sol. personal
opinion subjected into jury, deliberations);

see; Strange, 417 S.E. 2d 609 (S.C. App. 1992) Our Sup. Ct. reversed
conviction when state, because of burden-shifting jury charge
to prose to prove their innocence);

See above argument 1 & 2 & below to support prejudiced by
Sol. Mayes illegal conduct & used his past illegal conviction
to convict him, violated Old Chief, Supre. by Sup. Ct. holdings of

Argument 4): ("As for Court to Clarify Adult-Hood")

The Court erred by committing reversible errors, by allowing
Barbara's Kreis's ends to be heard & failing to dismiss, by she
was a adult at 18 yrs. old, & already (shacked-up) with Ryan
Stephens - said husband, "under the statute of limitations she
had to report said abuse before she turned 18; & not wait
till she's (19 yrs. 8 mos. 26 dys. old), after she's in a Big argument
& ordered to leave over Ryan's bullying her brothers mar-

Argument 4 Continued):

Julius Kreis & Eddie Kreis, & "the child statute no longer applies to one (shackled-up-with lines)? & works at a job & she's living & acting as adult. ; ;

see; *Hastor*, 564 S.E. 2d 87 (S.C. 2002) S.C. Const. the law since 1885 empowers "young woman" to consent to sex at 14-fourteen);

The Const. of So. Car. use (young woman) - "not child," word meaning, she's no longer a child, but a full grown woman & now - once she starts to have a sex relationship, can have babies, & 2006 news article So. Car. leads the nation in 5, 6, 7 yrs. old girls having babies, & it's stated once they start to have sex, they change to full grown woman status, by their female reproduction organs active;

So Prose seeks for Court to Clarify female adult-hood for 14 yrs. forwards, because if she can consent to sex at 14 & get married to at 14, 15, 16, 17, 18; she's a woman not a child; & statute of limitation should come to play & bar any sex abuse charges, when those facts exist at any time, (no trials.) ; ;

See; TR Trans. pages 76 lines 19-25 to page 140; see parts of (her shack-ups) - page 94 lines 4 to 21, & page 104 lines 14-25, & pg. 105 - lines 1-25, & pg. 106 line 1 & pg. 125 lines 17-25 (Barbara gets revenge), & pg. 126 lines 1-25, & pg. 127 lines 1-25, & pg. 128 lines 1-25, & pg. 129 lines 17-25, & then Court stop being a Public trial, pages 138-140 (Judge (so you can leave voluntarily, or I'll get the cops to remove you. Thank

(Argument 4 continued);

you) & to lines 1-15. Not on good terms with Prose; &

Argument 5); (Qs for the Honorable Court to answer)

The Court committed reversible error by giving third & second degree charges to jury, when Prose not charged with 3rd degree, see trial trans. pages 399 lines 1-3, (And the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the victim was less than 16 yrs. old, but at least 14 yrs. old);

The Court gave 2nd degree charge 11 to 14 yrs. thereby giving (2, two) different charges confusing jury's, 163-656 by having a mixed Ind. & 2 different charges, by if Prose one did not jury, then would find him guilty on the other one, Violating Jeopardy Clause, & be the same as using evidence from disapproved Ind. to prove the same mixed Ind., as a mixed statute. 1st, 2nd, & 3rd. degree one would be unconstitutional. (by one could never defend it);

Thereby Prose wasn't really indicted by Grand Jury & Court lack subject matter Jurisd. & all Judgts. are void. see: Trial Trans. pg. 399 lines 19-25, (the law defines a minor, as a person under the age of 16; the Court pg. 406 lines 1-9, now (child has to be 16 yrs old), & not 18;); Court confused the jury again; & by below arguments nos 6, 7, 8; ;

(Argument 5 continued);

Employer Indicted on mixed ones;

See; Primus, 564 S.E. 2d 103 (S.C. App. 2003) there must be proper Ind. by Grand jury);

* See; Neaks, 517 S.E. 2d 211 (S.C. 2003) Ind. is Jurisd. de Facto & doesn't have to be objected to before jury sworn in);

See; Blockberger, 284 U.S. 299 (1932) double jeopardy Viol-ed if same evidence is used to prove another said charge, also Dixon, 509 U.S. 698 (1993) same, but it's not);

Prose prays court will answer these questions of law, to help Prose & Prose's. ¶

Argument 6);

The court committed reversible error by failing to dismiss Ind. 15-2030, for 16-15-140 - bad act upon a child, when Barbara's age was (1 yrs. 1 mos. 12 days old) on May 8, 05), & 14 yrs. 6 mos. 5 days), on Oct. 1, 2008); fall under 2nd degree Statute 15-3-656, & overlapped into Ind. 15-2034;

Thereby a mixed Ind. & Prose not Ind. for 2nd degree, & state raised 2nd. degree charges, (not lower acts);

See; Primus, 564 S.E. 2d 103 (S.C. App. 2002) there must be Ind. on crime charged, or Court lacks Jurisd. & it can be raised even on appeal);

Argument 6 continued);

See; *Hooks*, 517 S.E. 2d 211 (S.C. 2003) Judgt. by a court can't be affirmed where the court had no right to act, & absence of sub. matter, it's void, & by Ind. failed to contain necessarily elements of charged offense, was a Jurisd. defect, & does n't have to be objected to before jury swearing in); & see; Tr. Trans. pg. 21 lines 5-6, & pgs. 22 lines 12-22, & pg 25 lines 20 to 25, & pg. 26 lines 1-19, & pg. 399 lines 19-25, & pg. 400, lines 1-9; & see below arguments No. # 7 & 8. Why all Inds & Charges should have been dismissed. &

Argument 7);

The court committed reversible error by failing to dismiss Ind. 15-2034, for 16-03-655 (B)(1), C/S/C/W/M-2nd degree, when Barbara's age was (11 yrs. 1 mo. 12 days old May 8, 05), & (14 yrs. 6 mo. 5 days old, on Oct. 1, 08), into 3rd degree

Thereby another mixed Ind. & ProSe wasn't Ind. ed for 3rd degree; making this one void, for lack of proper Grand Jury Ind. & mixed one;

See; *Primus*, 564 S.E. 2d 103 (S.C. App. 2003) there must be proper Ind. by Grand Jury); & see Tr. Trans. pg. 21 lines 1-4, & pg. 73 lines 2-7, pg. 399 lines 1-3; & see above Argument No. # 7, Why it should be dismissed, they overlap also... &

Argument 8);

The court committed reversible error, by failing to dismiss Ind. 15-2036, for 16-15-140 lewd act on a child under 16 yrs. old 16-3-655 (c) 1976), when Amanda's age was (8 yrs. 2 mos. 14 days old May 8, 05), & (12 yrs 7 mos. 7 days. on Oct. 1, 08) fell under 1st degree statute & 2nd degree, not lewd act one;;

Thereby another mixed Ind. & wasn't Ind.-ed by Grand Jury on either 1st nor 2nd degree, correct charges by falsehood testimony's.

See; Primus, 564 S.E. 2nd. 103 (S.C. App. 2003) There must be proper Ind. by Grand Jury);;

See; Knock, 517 S.E. 2d 211 (S.C. 2003) (same) & it's flawed defective);;

See; Blackburner, 284 U.S. 299 (1932) jeopardy viol.-ed if some evidence is used to prove another said charged or over lay each other, Dixon, (1993-US) (same)); ; & see Tr. Trans. pg.

21 lines 5-6, & pg. 4-7, & pg. 399 lines 19-25, & pg. 400-lines 1-9.

But see T. Barr - Sgt. Tr. Trans. pg. 238 lines 1-16, age 13-14 was 2nd. degree... &

Argument 9);

The court committed reversible error by failing to dismiss Inds. for pre-Inds. delay, when prose was falsely accused.

Argument 9 continued);

(Jan. 21, 14) & arrested, & wait'g indicted till (Aug 11, 2015) over 1 yea. 6 mos. 20 days, later, to circumvent 180 days statute; trial must take place, denying Prose his right to Speedy Trial, see, Tr. Trans. pg. 257.);

See; McDonald, 456 U.S. 1 (1982) & (by Chief Justice, Finney order ~~Prose~~ to article v. 34, (March 5, 99)? all cases have to be heard within 180 days);

See, Jones, 94 F. 2d 900 (4th Cir. 1976) Right to Speedy trial & Inviolat. & due process without delay);; Which gives accusers time to plot & watch each other. See Tr. Trans. pgs 10 lines 12-25 to 21 lines 1-15, & pg. 95 lines 8-25, & pg. 96 lines 1-6, & pg. 99 lines 20-25, & pg. 100 lines 1-6, & pg. 105 & pgs. 126-129, & pg. 150 - (Amanda sat on porch), & see ALL pgs. 76, to 220 - accusers, & (pg. 223 lines 1-25 - T. Barr - Largeant - Jan. 21, 2014) said: abuse reported after Big argument, then Barbara gets revenge. &

Argument 10);

The court committed reversible error by not holding a Beair hearing, & stop trial until Prose's mental testing was ordered done, by his sayings at trial; court could see and hear he was under stress & not thinking clearly nor

Argument 10 continued);

following Counsel Shealy's advice; see Tr. Trans. pg. 183-190, Prose unknowingly consented to Abby & Eddie & he did ask Judge for one, Tr. Trans. pg. 191, lines 1-12 (Well I mean [to me]); Prose wants tested & Judge used Prose's unknowing consent to allow Abby & Eddie's charges in pgs. 191-221, violating Old Chief holdings; ❌

See: Blair, 275 S.E. 2d 529, 273 (S.C. 1987) S.C. Code 14-23-410 (1976), by Cooper, 517 U.S. 348 (1996) holdings);; one test to see if Prose is mentally unstable, & one to see if he understands his charges & can help his lawyer & follow his advice & understand Court proceedings; see Tr. Trans. pgs. 48, lines 1-25 & pg. 138, lines 24-25 & pg. 139, lines 1-13 ("I was just scratching my neck, Sir, Court, I don't believe you. I'm telling you, don't push me"), & pgs. 328 to 329, & pg. 331, & pg. 335 & 341, lines 1-16, & pg. 345, lines 18-25, & pg. 346, lines 1-2, & pgs. 403 to 405 lines 1-7, & pgs. 425 - lines 9-15 & pgs. 435, lines 16-25, to 438, & his charges required a Blair testing mandatory! ❌

Argument 11);

The Court committed reversible error for allowing Sol. Mayer, to tell Jurors, in her closing arguments, that Prose admitted he did

Argument 11 Continued);

said charges, & it did violate Crawford v. Washington, & also his 5th Amend. rights to remain silent, under Miranda by Smart, 299 S.E. 2d 686 (S.C. 1983), by Rilesen, 503 U.S. 428, CLR. 472 (2002) & also Rands, 184 3d 1322, 1328-29 (11th Cir. 1999) was reversible error; (Sol. Mayer lies-perjury to Jurys below);

see; Tr. Trans. pgs. 337 to 388, & (Parts) to prove Sol. Mayer did turn witness for herself & cases, & found facts for Jury's & vouched all her non-witnesses testimony's, & most certainly shifted burden to Prose to prove himself innocence which he could no longer do & (Court allowed such conduct, but see argument No. #18 Why), & Tr. Trans. pgs. 309, lines 10-25 & pg. 378 lines 13-25 & pg. 379, lines 6-25 (Does that sound like the words of an innocent man of course not) & (Oh, he doesn't like the plea offer. He didn't like the plea offer. And now, all of a sudden, his own words where he acknowledges his guilt - pg. 380, lines 1-10 (Mr. Shealy-Objection, your honor, may we approach?, Court, yes, (side bar conference)... Mayer, (the Defs. own words. The plea offer was stupid. So where are we? All of a sudden his acknowledgement of guilt & it's not going to trial.); & Then pg. 381, lines 14-24 (Abby's charges are not before you, because she's in Kershaw & Richland Counties) Sol Mayer lied to Jury, when that's her whole case; & then pg. 385, lines

Argument 11 (continued);

17-19 (And the testimony of Barbara & Amanda these crimes is undeniable). & then pg. 387 lines 20-25 (and, and stated before, their testimony, their credibility is paramount); & pg. 388, lines 1-16 (you know he is a convicted felon, & has no credibility & what was true is his own letter); but Prose stated on pg. 338, lines 2-11 (Prose, I've never touched them period, not that way) by Shealy questionings, which disposes Mayes perjury to Jury's & never once corrected it., see the holdings in Smart, Supar, requires judges, to be vacated & bar retrials by above laws & jeopardy 5th Amendment by Crawford, violations to: &

Argument 12);

The Court committed reversible error by allowing Sol. Mayes, to vouch for state witnesses during trial & in closing arguments, & turn witness herself to vouch her own cases; see; Tr. Trans. pgs. 377 to 338, & see arguments No^{ts} 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, to support the whole frame. you Prose trial was built upon vouching, perjury's, & "make believe" non-evidence & non-so-called advocacy, non-experts testimony's, which (only one was said to be qualified) H. Smith - Child Advocacy & Shealy objects to her use, Tr. Trans.

Argument 12 continued);

pgs. 279 to 308 -

See; Smart, 299 S.E. 2d 686 (S.C. 1993) (We vacated by Sols. personal opinion enjected into jury's deliberations);

See; Strange, 417 S.E. 2d 608 (S.C. App 1992) state shifts burden to Prose, to prove his innocence, We reverse);

See; Green, 564 S.E. 2d 83 (S.C. 2003) relief will only be granted for Constal. violations that backs the universal sense of Justice); ¶

By law Prose is to be released & dismiss all Inds. charges with prejudice against the state in all three Counties & bar to any attempts to retry or bring more charges, from contaminated sources. ¶

And Mayer through off on Prose's 10 months in war during Vietnam as a Navel crewman on the destroyer, the U.S.S. Bainbridge DLGN-25, just off the coast of N. Vietnam during the years of 72' & 73', see; Th. Trans. pg. 344 lines 15-25 & pg. 378, lines 13-21, she doesn't like Prose getting Vet-handicap monies nor services, Prose Navy, member on ship; bombers go often by air off aircraft carriers which are protected by destroyers and other naval ships, protecting such as Mayer & others... ¶

Argument 13);

Argument 13 continued);

The court committed reversible error by sentencing Prose to a life & death sentences, when charges & Statutes did not allow it, by his age, 61 yrs old & tried on his birthday, that proved bias by Sol. Mayes,

Under the sentencing table of So. Car in SCDC - one is only expected to live 10 yrs. by poor, foods, med. care, or being killed by guards or FMs & Court allowed Sol. Mayes & Prose to control Courts Judgt. on sentencing, see Tr. Trans. pgs. 48 & pg. 425, lines 9-15 & pg. 435, lines 16-25 to 438, (Prose, I want life & said fuck you?, toward (some one?, pg. 437);

See; Swainson, 273 S.E. 2d 339 (S.C. 1980) (we vacate excessive sentence);

And these acts by Prose call for the Blair testings, see argument No #10 above; to support sentences are unconstitutional, successive, gave because of Jennifer's letter - post-9/11 in by back-door, by Barbara, see argument No #18 below to support & was double hearsay & violated Crawford, holding, it's barred from being used)... &

Argument 14);

The court committed reversible error, by allowing Sol.

Argument 14 continued);

Mayer, to argue on Prose's (non-beliefs) of hatred & bigotry & anti-government, preached but not practiced by him, which he wasn't indicted for; see Trial Trans. pgs. 335 to 339, by asking improper Q's to invoke jury's passions & hatreds; (you are anti-government, on the Domestic Terrorist watch list, Ken Kew Kean, The Christian Sheriff's posse Comitatus, Oregon Nations & took position as International Dir., (on Jerry Springer, ((grandstanding)) I was advertising) for movies, & paid to come back a week later, got to make it sound good for ratings), and you joining forces with Al-Queda correct? Prose, only to the intent we have a common enemy, now I've since resinded that because the --- it just would'nt work, I mean it's not the way for our folks & I used the media just like they used me);; & then by replying Prose's & Barbara's testimony, see Argument No#2 above, vouch Mayer improper Q's, to invoke jury's passions to deprive Prose of his constal. rights to fair hearing on charges, not his non-beliefs, by Mayer entered into conspiracies with state witnesses to frame him, & see; Bray, 113 S. Ct. 253 (1993) in conspiracy to deprive any one of their constal. Rights by the 13th Amend., Guest, 383 U.S. 745 (1966), also. Floyd, 1990) we vacate when jury's passion invoked), &

Argument 15);

The court committed reversible error by allowing Sol Mayes, to make like Amanda & Abby, were little children testifying!; by use of male & female anatomy's drawings), acting like they can't name body parts; & wasn't this vouching & manipulatory the jury to get false convictions by calling on (jury passion); see tr. Trans. pgs. 5 lines 14-15 & 18-19 - Exhibits, & pgs. 145, 167 & 172 & 173, to prejudice Prose. See; Floyd, 907 F.2d 347-354-55 (C. 1990) (Feeling Jurys passion, we vacate); & Viol.-ed, Crawford, holdings, gave statements to be used at trial & Sol. Mayes knew they viol.-ed Old Chief, holdings, Sol. manipulating the laws & rules of Court. &

Argument 16);

The Court committed reversible error by "not allowing him a public trial" under the 6th Ammend., by Sol. Mayes request to judge, see Tr. Trans. pgs. 138, lines 24-25 & to 140. Sol. (unless they are family members, they don't need to be in the courtroom)

Court & Sol. Mayes, made Public leave the Court room & only left ones involved in framing Prose there, not a sole left in there for Prose, viol-ing the 14th Ammend, under the 6th Ammend. due process & equal protection clause &

Argument 16 continued);

fair trial so wit-accusers had to face public (not just here) see; Rolle, 204 F.3d 133 (4th Cir. 2000) under the 6th Amend. by way of the 14th one, Defs. are entitled to a public trial);

Argument 17);

The court committed reversible error, by allowing (witness) Abby Kreis & pending charges for Richmond & Kershaw Counties, & Amanda's, by they were used to vouch Lexington Co. false charges, & viol- ed the 5th Amend. by using other charges to prove other ends. charges;

see; Old Chief, 117 S.Ct. 644 (1997) jury hears other crimes will prejudice Def. because they might convict them just because you are a bad person & (you deserve punish, & once heard jury can't get it out of their minds.); see Tr. Trans. pgs. 161 to 221 & then wit-Vouching - Tr. Trans. pgs. 230 to 251, & then the most damaging prejudice Vouches S. Dykes, Tr. Trans. 251 to 272 with (a blind expert H.M. Smith -) Tr. Trans. pgs. 279 to 309; should have never been allowed when there's no evidence, & what she said in, in-camera was total diff. than to jury, so she cried get before jury & vouch all wits. of state's, for a fee of course. &

Argument 18);

The court committed reversible error allowing Jennifer Kreis's letter-post to be read by Barbara Kreis, before sentencing to prejudice & make judge bias, see, Tr. Trans. pgs. 425, lines 16-25 to pg. 431 lines 1-5 & pg. 437, lines 20-25 & pg. 438, lines 1-15 - Court (Young lady, that's quite a statement, & I'd like to have a copy);

see; Chapman, 386 U.S. 18, 22 (1967) Impartial Judge not subject to harmless error, review); & she never wrote it, [Jennifer Kreis-did] & Barbara Kreis, said that they read & added a little at the end, Tr. Trans. pg. 425 lines 15-25 & pg. lines 1-15 (was voucher, own self & double hearsay for uncharged crimes!!!)

Argument 19);

The court committed reversible error by not consolidating all Richland & Kershaw charges into Lexington charges, once Sol. Mayer, sought to use those untied untried pending ones to voucher cases, & by failing to dismiss all but one charge (per-3-Kreis(s)) once consolidated; see Tr. Trans. pgs. 140 to 221, & 245 to 272, & 279 to 308, & 425, lines 16-25 to 439; & Crawford, holdings, based these being used, & Old Chief also, (which prejudiced-convicted Pose)!

Argument 19 continued);

see; Jones, 479 S.E. 2d 517 (S.C. App. 1996) charges-a-Rin, same-persons, facts, dates are continuing acts, are to be consolidated & tried as one felony, so there will not be overlapping evidence nor successive sentences); & viol-ed Old Chief, supra, jury needs to punish for bad acts not charged); Court should order all consolidated & dismiss all to cure Prose's constal. violations & to restore his liberty

Argument 20);

The court committed reversible error, by not dismissing all Inds., because same dates, acts, same evidence used, same 9 persons, & State DSS, & Dikerson Advocacy's & Sheriff's & SLED agents, & schem, & Counties S.C., & Prose, all related & used to prove all Inds.; see Tr. Trans. pgs. 1-439, proof, viol.-ing Prose's rights not to use same same evidence to prove each Ind.

see; Blockburger, 284 299 (1932) Ind. or evidence that overlaps must be dismissed by jeopardy bars it's use); & see; Tr. Trans. pgs. 1-439 as proof & Ad. Mayer, improper vouched closing arguments above numbers 2, 3, 11, 12, 14, 17, to support reliefs sought released in speedy proceedings. see Simpson, 471 S.E. 2d 455 (S.C. 1993) Prose may seek emergency

Argument 20 continued);

immediate release when held wrongly); 81

Argument 21);

The court committed reversible error, not forcing Sol. Mayer & SLED & Dickerson Advocacy & Lexington, Richland, & Kershaw Sols., & Governors, & Judges, Atty. Generals, & others, to turn over "Impeachment crimes," to use "to impeach state officials witnesses," for lying in all So. Car. & nation wide courts, to frame Prose's, to use to disprove their credibility's (& they used fraud & perjury & falsified evidence to get false convictions) & (had no credibility), which Prose could have impeached all witnesses & sought dismissal of all charges; (by they withheld from Prose & Grand & trial juries to, & [this is a newly discovery evidence (Oct. 27, 16)] by S.C. Code 17-27-45(C) (Supp. 2000) allow it to be raised, (OF THEIRS) &

(Mayer & Vouchers Dirty little secrets &)

(History changing when News Media's Know)!

Prose notes he could have impeached, by his Counsel & SLED, (SLED & Mayer & all state wits. above, by major issues that voids any charges on Prose, by corrupt SLED & EX-SLED agents handling Prose's invests. & his family mem-

Argument 21 (continued);

bers - accusers, when they admitted to framing all persons, by falsifying reports, tests, & gave false-perjury testimony at all trials in So. Car. & nationwide courts, & R. Steward - Ex Dir. SLED did so admitted at Fargo, N. Dakota, Fed. Ct. he fired Ex 45 - ? Marshall's female "Just because she wasn't a team player, to frame persons & for refusing to lie in Courts & make up false reports)" & she gave a 298 pg. affid. in sex discrimination lawsuit on SLED refusing to hire females, that detailed out how SLED agents falsified evidence & testimonys, reason U.S. Attys, R.T. Lloyd, & W. H. Nelder & E. H. Nelder, had it moved to Fargo, so no So. Car. FMs wouldn't see it in newspapers or T.V. news & it's in law books & internet!

And covered up "Tom Clark^{FM}", broke into SLED & "opened" all evidence bags & boxes & pilled-mixed it all together, & took all monies, & SLED in over 800,000 cases lied to Judges, lawyers, Jals., & Jurys (we don't know how the Deps. evidence got in new bags & boxes & new seals, but that's the evidence for this case), lying!, & still to this day!! &

And went to banks & got more monies & copied it & put that up as monies "Tom" took, & said, that's the monies for this case, we used the money to buy things or put it in the State treasury, lying! again. &

And drug expert at SLED arrested for stealing & using

Argument 21 continued);

drugs, & then while (high flying) made out false tests at home
& lied to all courts, & Soli., lawyers, & Depts., & had to burn all
the drugs, smelly bad, & used all up testing the drugs, & I
have none to give you to do tests on, & then went Nation &
So. Car wide, lying to all courts; to get false-illegal con-
victions, & J. H. Tool & Atty. Gen., & Governors & Judges & U.S.
Attys R. I. Lloyd & W. N. Nettles, with others, ordered above
& below covered up; &

And that SCDC-turned Killer & Killed 2-Death Row ^Fms
per. month, in 2008, 2009, & 2010, because of "Tom Clark's"
destroyed & contaminated all evidence, & state-SCDC, -
no longer had evidence to put them to death, nor to hold
them, & Killed them to ensure they could not seek re-
lease;; & sold their bodies for \$ one million each;; See:
McLaughlin, 575 S.E. 2d 841 (S.C. 2003) SLED-drug expert
arrested on drug charges & Judge allowed him to testify
as expert on drugs & murder cases & refuse to allow Jury
to hear he had been, & J. H. Tool said, it was OK);; & went
Nation-wide getting Puse's life & other false convictions,
by use of So. Car. illegal ones; & his perjury's;; Which has
been concealed from public. & ^Fms. & Civil Rights lawyers
& Death Row Advocacy groups, citizens against it, & law-
yers & Judges & Soli.; See Tr. Trans. pages 1-439 where SLED
Agent V. Caldwell & S. Dykes, most certainly told-Barbara,

Argument 21 Continued);

Amanda, Abby & Eddie Kreis, how to testify & Dickerson Advocacy Centers, all joined to ensure false convictions, with others kept secret, & entered into conspiracy?

Which had Prose known about secrets-crimes it would have barred any trials forever, & now gives Prose a right to seek released & dismissal of charges, with prejudice against former - State all counties.

see; South, 427 S.E. 2d 666 (S.C. 1993) Once newly discovered evidence, Prose has one year to raise it & seek dismissal of charges);

see; Brady, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) Gov. has to turn over Impeachment Evidence);

see; Bryant, 415 S.E. 2d 806, 808 (S.C. 1992) noting state has to disclose evidence in its possession or knowledge favorable to Prose, & material to guilt, or innocent, or to impeach witnesses under Brady);

Argument 22);

Prose states double jeopardy bars the use of Prose's wives letter sent her by Prose (3-6-14), & Richard & Kershaw charges & Jennifer's letter - poet, & Eddie Kreis's & Barbara & Abby & Amanda Kreis's & all state witnesses testimony's & another

Argument 22 continued);

trial or trials in So. Car., by already used at Lexington
(Nov. 2-5, 15) trial to prove same charges by McMullin, 469
S.E. 2d 600 (S.C. 1996) also Burke, (1978) laws them!

Argument 23);

The court committed reversible error by order jury they had
to be unanimous; by judge, should have said (one or two of
you, are ten or eleven, could find Def. or Mr. Kreis, guilty, &
thereby we would have a hung jury, so Court gave a unconstitutional
charge & force a wrongful conviction, ProSe asks for Court to
clarify & correct these state-wide errors, & issue a ruling
to be used by others & ProSe; by there's is none yet, to cure
Constat. harms, & stop judges from forcing jury's to convict
by being coerced. by court misleadings charge to them
& it's time to stop it, Strange, 417 S.E.2d 609 (S.C. App. 1992) Burdon-shiffell

Argument 24);

ProSe seeks for Court of Appeals to give ruling on trial
Atty. Shealy, Ineff. assist. on ProSe's behalf, by above facts
under Strickland, standard, Tr. Trans. pg. 309 - lines 1-16;

Argument 24 continued);

failure to seek proper Motion for directed verdicts for Court to rule correctly,, because Judge gave ruling on non-Motion., Tr. Trans. pg. 309, lines 6-13.; Court, no I respectfully deny the motion. What Motion? None filed! see; Strickland, 466 U.S. 668 (1984) trial atty. fail below a reason. standard & was Ineff. by failing to defend Prose's case properly by 6th Amend.).
See; All above arguments to support Ineff. trial counsel & Tr. Trans., pgs. 1 to 439..

Argument 25);

Prose notes Lexington Co. convictions are void, by use of Kershaw false charges Ind. 14-05-28-0215 & War. # A2810100113 2nd & 3d degree charges were dismissed Dec. 2, 15 by Col. Dan Johnson & see proof below 1 to 6A pages, gotten from Subos & Robinson, PC - Kershaw Co. lawyers (Nov. 17, 16) sent (Nov. 11, 16) & held 7 days.; Convictions can't stand by use of these to frame Prose, see above Arguments 1 to 24; as proof Appeal relief should & must be granted. & order Prose released & bar to retrial by jeopardy against state with prejudice.
see; Jackson, 443 U.S. 307 (1979) Insufficient evidence to support convictions).

clerk

State of South Carolina In The Court of Appeals

August B. Kreis, III) C/A No.

ProSe Petitioner 504/ADA)

vs.)

The State)

Affidavit of Services

Certificate of Services

1. ProSe certifies he served C.M. Wilson - A. Gen. at Rembert Dennis Bldg. 1000 Assembly St, Rm. 519 Colo. S.C. 29201, one copy of 32 page ProSe's Memorandum Brief, from address below & sworn to under penalty of perjury as true & correct by Ms Merchant, Mail Dir by inter-agency or regular U.S. Mail.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated NOV 19, 2016,

x August B. Kreis, III, #365998

Perry Cos. Inet. ALU-193 430 Ocallawn Rd. Pelzer S.C. 29669

Sworn to before me this date)

PROSE 504/ADA

on x)

x)

x

Notary Public for South Carolina)

A-K Top Gun Navy-Vet.

x)

Double Amputee.

My Commission Expires)

6/21

26.

"Conclusion":

By the above arguments, Court should reverse Prose's convictions, & vacate & dismiss all charges & Indictments with prejudice, against state, & bar any attempts to re-charge or retrial, & hopefully clarify Questions of Law that need answering, & Prose prays Court will do speedily to restore Prose's Liberty-Freedom denied him with unjustified acts.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated, Nov. 19, 2019,

x. August B. Kreis, III

August B. Kreis, III, #365998

Prose 504/ADA - A-K Vet Top Gun Navy

Perry Corr. Inst. AU-193-A / 430 Oaklawn Rd. / Pelzer, SC 29669

Sworn to before me this date:

Prose 504/ADA

~~ON~~ x 11-21-16

x Nancy C. Marshall
Notary Public for South Carolina

x August B. Kreis III ✓

A-K Top Gun Navy - Vet.
Double Amputee

x 1-23-2027

My Commission Expires:

32 pages.

In the South Carolina Court of Appeals Columbia

August B. Kreis, III

Prose 504/ADA, Appellant.

-13-

The State, et. al.

Respondant;

Appeal from Lexington County

Honorable Dyet A. Early, III, Circuit Court Judge

Appellant case no. 205-002340

Prose Memorandum Brief Supplemental to his Appeals

To support reliefs sought - Released
and

For an Emergency Speedy Appeal

(Exhibits - 1-6-A)

Defendants,	Prose
Alan Wilson) August B. Kreis, III, 365998
Atty. General for S.C.) 504/ADA-Vet. Double Amputee
P.O. Box 11549) Perry Cor. Inst. AUL-193-A
Cola, S.C. 29211 (or)) 430 Oaklawn Rd.
1000 Assembly St., Rm. 519) Pelzer, S.C. 29669
Cola, S.C. 29501) Pages 32. (Nov. 2016)

Exhibits - A

Index Q.	
Index, Exhibits;	I-A
DuBois-Robinson, - PC, Atty. Nov 11, 2016, letter informing ProSe Kershaw 2 charges are dismissed, received, 11-17-16;	1-A
General Sessions tracking sheet, War/ticket 2015-65-2800215, proof charges dismissed & attached to 50 yrs. Lexington sentence;	2-A
Indictment 2015-65-28-0215 for 4.2.15, Grand Jury for A-Kris - True charges;	3-A
Back side of Ind. 2015-65-28-0215 - True Bill;	4-A
General Sessions tracking sheet - Ind. # 00006528? showing charge of (A-R-K) was dismissed, 12-2-15, & tied to 50 yrs. Lexington sentence;	5-A
War. # 2014-A-2810100113, for ProSe of (A-R-K) from above #5-A exhibit;	6-A.

I-A

DuBose-Robinson, PC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
935 Broad Street
Camden, South Carolina 29020
Telephone: 803-432-1992
Fax: 803-432-0784

got 1/17/16
at 11:30 am
merchaut
c/o D/line

J. Kennedy DuBose, Jr.
Jonathan M. Robinson
John K. DuBose, III
H. Thomas Morgan, Jr.
L. Shawn Sullivan

Columbia Office
2725 Devine St.
Columbia, SC 29205
Telephone: 803-254-5445

November 11, 2016

REPLY TO: Mailing Address
PO Drawer 39
Camden, SC 29021

→
5ard

August B. Kreis, III #365998
Perry Correctional Institute
ALU-193A
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer, South Carolina 29669

RE: Freedom of Information Act Request – November 1, 2016

Dear Mr. Kreis:

Your South Carolina Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated November 1, 2016, for "a copy of all my case files", has been forwarded to my office for a response.

Enclosed are the records from responsive to your request.

Very truly yours,

DuBOSE-ROBINSON, PC

J. Kennedy DuBose, Jr.
Kershaw County Attorney

JKDuB, Jr.:srd

Enclosures

1-A

General Sessions Tracking Sheet
Indictment # 2015GS2800215

got 1/17/16
At 11:30 AM
Merchant
9/6 D. Cwe

Name: Kries, August Byron III

Warrant/Ticket #: 2015GS2800215

AKA:

Date of Arrest: 04/08/2015

Addr: 925 Old Oak Drive
Columbia, SC 29203

Date of Offense: 01/18/2014

Date Rev by Clerk: 04/08/2015

RECEIVED

Magistrate: Clerk Of Court C P, G S, And Family Court

SSN# 152-50-5323

NOV 28 2016
SC Court of Appeals

Counts: Code: 0396/Sex-/Criminal sexual conduct with minor, or Attempt - victim 11 to 14 yrs of age inclusive - Second deg

Sex: M Race: W

DOB: 11/02/1954

DL# SC/ 100765040

Indictment # 2015GS2800215

Disposition Information

- 1. Transmitted to SOL & SCCA:
- 2. Disp Received by Clerk:
- 3. Date of Disposition: 12/2/15

- Disposition
- 1. Guilty plea
 - 2. Trial -- guilty
 - 3. Trial -- not guilty
 - 4. Dism/Not Pros/Pros Ended
 - 5. Judicial Commitment
 - 6. Judicial Dismissal
 - 7. Remanded
 - 8. Dismissed at Prelim
 - 8. No Bill
 - 9. Failure to Appear
 - 10. Other

Explain: Defendant received 50
 Explain: year sentence on another
 Explain: charge.
 Explain:
 Explain:

Judge: _____

Court Reporter: _____

Defense Atty: _____

Solicitor: _____

Counts: _____ Code: _____

Sentence: _____

got from
Ti Kennedy DuBose, Jr
Kershaw County Atty.

2-A1

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF KERSHAW)

INDICTMENT

RECEIVED

NOV 28 2016

SC Court of Appeals

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on April 8, 2015, the

Grand Jurors of Kershaw County present upon their oath:

CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A MINOR 2ND DEGREE

That AUGUST BYRON KREIS, III did in Kershaw County, on or between NOVEMBER 1, 2013 AND JANUARY 18, 2014, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously engage in sexual battery with a minor who was fourteen (14) years of age or less but who was at least eleven (11) years of age, to wit: ABBAGALE ROSE KREIS; all in violation of Section 16-03-655(B), S. C. Code of Laws, 1976, as amended

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.



DAN JOHNSON, SOLICITOR

WITNESSES

(S) S Knafelc

- Kershaw County Sheriff

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

DP00037

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL

Foreperson of Grand Jury

Date:

APR 08 2015

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury

Date:

DOCKET NO. 2015GS280215

The State of South Carolina

County of

Kershaw

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APRIL TERM 2015

K98

THE STATE

vs.

August Byron Kreis, III

AKA

August Byron Kries, III

Indictment for
CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT WITH A
MINOR 2ND DEGREE

SC Code: 16-03-0655(B)(1)

CDR Code: 0396

After being fully advised as to my legal rights, I hereby waive presentment to the Grand Jury.

Defendant

hereby appear in my own proper person and plead guilty to the within indictment or to

Defendant

Witness:

C.C.C. PLS. AND G.S.

JOYCE HODDONALD
CLERK OF COURT
KERSHAW COUNTY, S.C.

2015 APR - 8 PM 12: 45

FILED FOR RECORD

44

General Sessions Tracking Sheet
Indictment # 0000GS28

got 11/27/16
at 11:30 AM
Merchant
C/D line

Name: Kries, August Byron III

Warrant/Ticket #: 2014A2810100113

AKA:

Date of Arrest: 03/27/2014

Addr: 925 Old Oak Drive
Columbia, SC 29203

Date of Offense: 11/01/2013

Date Rev by Clerk: 04/01/2014

SSN# 152-50-5323

Magistrate: Clerk Of Court C P, G S, And Family Court

Sex: M Race: W

Counts: Code: 0162/Sex-/Criminal-sexual-conduct-
Third degree

DOB: 11/02/1954

DL# SC/100765040

Indictment # 0000GS28

Disposition Information

- 1. Transmitted to SOL & SCCA:
- 2. Disp Received by Clerk:
- 3. Date of Disposition: 12/2/15

- Disposition
- 1. Guilty plea
 - 2. Trial -- guilty
 - 3. Trial -- not guilty
 - 4. Dism/Not Pros/Pros Ended
 - 5. Judicial Commitment
 - 6. Judicial Dismissal
 - 7. Remanded
 - 8. Dismissed at Prelim
 - 8. No Bill
 - 9. Failure to Appear
 - 10. Other

Explain: Defendant received 50
 Explain: Year Sentence on another
 Explain: Charge.
 Explain:
 Explain:

Judge: _____

Court Reporter: _____

Defense Atty: _____

Solicitor: _____

Counts: _____ Code: _____

Sentence: _____

got from
(Fr Kennedy Dubose, Jr)
Kershaw Co. Atty

5-A.

ARREST WARRANT

DM 2014A2810100113

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/ Municipality of

Kershaw

THE STATE
against

August Byron Kries, III

Address: 925 Old Oak Drive
Columbia, SC 29203-

Phone: _____ SSN: 152-50-5323
Sex: M Race: W Height: 6 1 Weight: 275

DL State: SC DL #: 100765040

DOB: 11/2/1954 Agency ORI #: SC0280000

Prosecuting Agency: Kershaw County Sheriff

Prosecuting Officer: S Knafelc - 7099

Offense: Sex / Criminal sexual conduct - Third degree

Offense Code: 0162

Code/Ordinance Sec: 16-03-0654

This warrant is CERTIFIED FOR SERVICE in the

County/ Municipality of

Richland

The accused

is to be arrested and brought before me to be dealt with according to the law.

[Signature] (L.S.)
Signature of Judge

Date: 3/28/14

RETURN

A copy of this arrest warrant was delivered to

defendant August Byron Kries III
on 3-27-14

[Signature] #17
Signature of Constable/Law Enforcement Officer

RETURN WARRANT TO:

General Sessions
1121 Broad Street
Camden, SC 29020

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/ Municipality of

Kershaw

Personally appeared before me the affiant
being duly sworn deposes and says that
did within this county and state on or about

S Knafelc

defendant August Byron Kries, III

11/1/2013

State of South Carolina (or ordinance of County/ Municipality of

Kershaw

violate the criminal laws of the

in the following particulars:

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE: Sex / Criminal sexual conduct - Third degree

I further state that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit the crime set forth and that probable cause is based on the following facts:

That sometime between the dates of November 1, 2013 and January 18, 2014, the defendant Mr. August Byron Kries III, did engage in a sexual battery with the victim (A.R.K.) who is nine (9) years of age. The defendant who is the father of the victim, did use his hands and touched and rubbed the victim's genital area and her breast area. This incident would be underneath the victim's clothes. Also according to the victim, the defendant did try several times to force the victim to touch his penis area, where the victim would snatch her hand area. This also occurred more than one time. These incidents did occur at 1932 Thoroughfare Branch Road, Cassatt, Kershaw County, South Carolina.

Signature of Affiant

[Signature]

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/ Municipality of

Kershaw

Affiant's Address: 821 Ridgeway Rd.
Lugoff, SC 29078-

Affiant's Telephone

ARREST WARRANT

TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OF THIS STATE OR MUNICIPALITY OR ANY CONSTABLE OF THIS COUNTY:

It appearing from the above affidavit that there are reasonable grounds to believe that

on or about 11/1/2013

defendant August Byron Kries, III

did violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina (or ordinance of

County/ Municipality of Kershaw

) as set forth below:

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE: Sex / Criminal sexual conduct - Third degree

Having found probable cause and the above affiant having sworn before me, you are empowered and directed to arrest the said defendant and bring him or her before me forthwith to be dealt with according to law. A copy of this Arrest Warrant shall be delivered to the defendant at the time of its execution, or as soon thereafter as is practicable

Sworn to and subscribed before me

on 2/18/2014

[Signature] (L.S.)
Signature of Issuing Judge

William D. Corbett

Judge Code: 7029 7278

Judge's Address: Post Office Box 1528
Camden, SC 29021-

Judge's Telephone: (803)425-1500

Issuing Court: Magistrate Municipal Circuit

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

AFFIDAVIT

Form Approved by
S.C. Attorney General
April 21, 2003
SCCA 518

FILED FOR RECORD
2014 APR -1 PM 2:22

2014-237
JOYCE MC DONALD
CLERK OF COURT
KERSHAW COUNTY S.C.

August B. Kries, III

6-A

Dear Clerk J. A. Kitchings;

NOV 19, 2016

1. Please file my ProSe Memorandum Brief of 32 pages & please waive any page limitation & serve my atty. Rudel, so he can argue & support it for me, so all issues will be saved for my and all courts as exhausted, if I have to go higher up; which I pray I do not.

2. And can you or Rudel, send me a copy of these 32 pages, so I'll have a copy to use; if so please send it to me & that Rudel can serve Atty. Gen.

3. Thanks & God's blessings for the holidays;

Sincerely,

August B. Kreis, III, 365998

AKA Top Gun Navy - Vet - 504/ADA

Double Amputee

Perry Cor. Invt. ALU-193-A 430 Oallan Rd Pelyer, SC 29669

RECEIVED

NOV 28 2016

SC Court of Appeals

Cover Letter

August KREIS, 365998
P.C.I. ALU-193-A
430 Oaklawn Rd.
Pelzer, SC 29669

RECEIVED
NOV 28 2016
SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2016

PCI Mailroom

Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk
South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, So. Car. 29211