

**VOLUME II OF II**

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS**

**RECEIVED**

Appeal from Charleston County  
Honorable Kristi Lea Harrington, Circuit Court Judge

**NOV 28 2016**

**SC Court of Appeals**

**THE STATE,**

**RESPONDENT,**

**V.**

**DARREN KEITH BELT,**

**APPELLANT**

**APPELLATE CASE NO. 2015-002350**

**RECORD ON APPEAL**

**LAURA R. BAER**  
Appellate Defender  
South Carolina Commission on Indigent  
Defense  
Division of Appellate Defense  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia, SC 29211-1589  
(803) 734-1330

**ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT**

**ALAN WILSON**  
Attorney General

**RANEE SAUNDERS**  
Assistant Attorney General  
S.C. Bar No. 100073  
P. O. Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211-1549  
(803) 734-3727

**SCARLETT A. WILSON**  
Solicitor, Ninth Judicial Circuit  
100 Meeting Street  
Charleston, South Carolina 29401  
(843) 958-1900

**ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT**

# INDEX

|                                                 |     |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----|
| INDEX .....                                     | i   |
| DEFENSE'S WRITTEN MOTION TO SUPPRESS .....      | 1   |
| TRIAL TRANSCRIPT (September 14, 2015) .....     | 8   |
| PRE-TRIAL SUPPRESSION MOTIONS .....             | 11  |
| WITNESS TESTIMONY                               |     |
| ALEX GILLESPIE                                  |     |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....          | 32  |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville .....       | 53  |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....       | 81  |
| Re-Cross Examination by Mr. DeTreville.....     | 82  |
| TRIAL TRANSCRIPT (September 15, 2015) .....     | 84  |
| PRE-TRIAL SUPPRESSION HEARING CONTINUED.....    | 88  |
| WITNESS TESTIMONY                               |     |
| GREG HORTON                                     |     |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....          | 89  |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville .....       | 99  |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....       | 116 |
| Re-Cross Examination by Mr. DeTreville.....     | 118 |
| DANIEL ECKERT                                   |     |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....          | 120 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville .....       | 133 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....       | 161 |
| Re-Cross Examination by Mr. DeTreville.....     | 163 |
| ARGUMENT ON PRE-TRIAL SUPPRESSION MOTIONS ..... | 189 |
| TRIAL TRANSCRIPT (September 16, 2015) .....     | 177 |
| PRE-TRIAL SUPPRESSION HEARING CONTINUED.....    | 181 |

## WITNESS TESTIMONY

## GREG HORTON

|                                           |     |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....    | 182 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville ..... | 189 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler..... | 197 |

|                                                 |     |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----|
| ARGUMENT ON PRE-TRIAL SUPPRESSION MOTIONS ..... | 198 |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----|

|                          |     |
|--------------------------|-----|
| RULING BY THE COURT..... | 214 |
|--------------------------|-----|

|                  |     |
|------------------|-----|
| JURY SWORN ..... | 219 |
|------------------|-----|

|                                        |     |
|----------------------------------------|-----|
| PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY ..... | 221 |
|----------------------------------------|-----|

|                          |     |
|--------------------------|-----|
| OPENING STATEMENTS ..... | 230 |
|--------------------------|-----|

|                        |     |
|------------------------|-----|
| By Mr. Ziegler .....   | 230 |
| By Mr. DeTreville..... | 236 |

## WITNESS TESTIMONY

## GLENN MCELHENNEY

|                                           |     |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....    | 241 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville ..... | 253 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler..... | 266 |

## ALEX GILLESPIE

|                                           |     |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....    | 268 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville ..... | 291 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler..... | 311 |

## DAVID IVEY

|                                           |     |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....    | 316 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville ..... | 326 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler..... | 334 |

## GARY MYERS

|                                           |     |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....    | 336 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville ..... | 348 |

|                                                              |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| STATE'S OBJECTION AND REQUEST FOR CURATIVE INSTRUCTION ..... | 357 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----|

## WITNESS TESTIMONY

## SHANE BURNETT

|                                             |     |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....      | 360 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville .....   | 377 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler .....  | 398 |
| Re-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville..... | 400 |

## ANDREW DECAMP

|                                            |     |
|--------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....     | 402 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville .....  | 408 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler ..... | 417 |

## RANDI PARKS

|                                             |     |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....      | 420 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville .....   | 450 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler .....  | 486 |
| Re-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville..... | 494 |

|                                             |     |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|
| TRIAL TRANSCRIPT (September 17, 2015) ..... | 500 |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|

## WITNESS TESTIMONY

## DANIEL ECKERT

|                                            |     |
|--------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....     | 505 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville .....  | 533 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler ..... | 593 |

## JUSTIN HEMBREE

|                                           |     |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor.....     | 598 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville ..... | 610 |
| Re-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor.....  | 613 |

## ANGELA MITCHELL

|                                       |     |
|---------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor..... | 614 |
|---------------------------------------|-----|

## KENNETH FORD

|                                           |     |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....    | 621 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville ..... | 629 |

|                                             |     |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|
| RULING ON ADMISSIBILITY OF JAIL CALLS ..... | 631 |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|

|                                                        |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| JEREMY ABLE                                            |     |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler.....                 | 636 |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville .....              | 642 |
| KEVAN SHERBINE                                         |     |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor.....                  | 645 |
| STATE RESTS .....                                      | 654 |
| MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT.....                       | 655 |
| DENIAL OF MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT.....             | 657 |
| CLOSING ARGUMENTS                                      |     |
| By Mr. Ziegler .....                                   | 663 |
| By Mr. Detreville.....                                 | 675 |
| JURY CHARGE.....                                       | 704 |
| JURY QUESTION.....                                     | 721 |
| VERDICT .....                                          | 725 |
| DEFENSE’S WRITTEN MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL .....           | 730 |
| TRANSCRIPT OF POST-TRIAL MOTION AND SENTENCING HEARING |     |
| (October 23, 2015).....                                | 733 |
| MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.....                              | 735 |
| DENIAL OF MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.....                    | 739 |
| SENTENCING .....                                       | 739 |
| TRUE-BILLED INDICTMENTS .....                          | 752 |
| CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL .....                           | 756 |

**THE FOLLOWING EXHIBIT IS ON FILE WITH THIS COURT:**

**State’s Exhibit 1 (DVD of Traffic Stop)**

## INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS

|                                     | PAGE |
|-------------------------------------|------|
| PROCEEDINGS                         | 536  |
| DANIEL ECKERT                       |      |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler   | 537  |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville | 565  |
| Redirect Examination by Mr. Ziegler | 625  |
| JUSTIN HEMBREE                      |      |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor    | 630  |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville | 642  |
| Redirect Examination by Mr. Maynor  | 645  |
| ANGELA MITCHELL                     |      |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler   | 646  |
| KENNETH FORD                        |      |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler   | 653  |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville | 661  |
| JEREMY ABLE                         |      |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler   | 668  |
| Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville | 674  |
| KEVAN SHERBINE                      |      |
| Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor    | 677  |

## INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS (continued)

|                                   | PAGE |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT       |      |
| Remarks by Mr. DeTreville         | 687  |
| Remarks by Mr. Zeigler            | 688  |
| Ruling by the Court               | 689  |
| CLOSING ARGUMENTS                 |      |
| Remarks by Mr. Ziegler            | 695  |
| Remarks by Mr. DeTreville         | 707  |
| JURY CHARGE                       | 736  |
| VERDICT                           | 757  |
| CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT REPORTER | 762  |

## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| <u>STATE</u> |                    | <u>PAGE</u>              |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| <u>No.</u>   | <u>Description</u> | <u>Marked / Admitted</u> |
| 24           | Photo              | 637                      |
| 25           | Photo              | 637                      |
| 26           | Photo              | 637                      |
| 28           | Photo              | 637                      |
| 29           | Photo              | 637                      |
| 30           | Photo              | 649                      |
| 31           | Photo              | 658                      |
| 32           | Photo-map          |                          |
| 34           | Bullets            | 650                      |
| 35           | C.D. jail call     | 680                      |

COURT

| <u>No.</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Marked / Admitted</u> |
|------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 3          | Note from jury     | 753                      |
| 4          | Note from jury     | 753                      |

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 PROCEEDINGS  
2 THE COURT: Anything before we bring in the jury  
3 Mr. DeTreville?  
4 MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing, Your Honor.  
5 THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury.  
6 MR. DETREVILLE: Your Honor, I have those jury  
7 instructions. Would you care for that now?  
8 THE COURT: We did that at 9:15 so.  
9 MR. DETREVILLE: I understand, Your Honor. My  
10 apologies.  
11 [Whereupon, the jury enters at 9:43 a.m.]  
12 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Good  
13 morning Mr. Foreperson and ladies and gentlemen of the  
14 jury. If you complied with my instructions over the  
15 evening hour please raise your right hand.  
16 [Whereupon, the jury complies]  
17 THE COURT: Thank you. All fourteen jurors have  
18 indicated that they complied with the court's  
19 instructions. Please give your attention. Mr. Ziegler  
20 call your next witness.  
21 MR. ZIEGLER: Thank you, Your Honor.  
22  
23  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. ZIEGLER: The State calls Detective Daniel  
2 Eckert.

3 [Whereupon, Detective Eckert comes forward]

4 [Whereupon, the witness is duly sworn by the Clerk  
5 of Court]

6 CLERK OF COURT: Please state your full name and  
7 spell your last name for the record.

8 THE WITNESS: My first name is Daniel, it's D-A-N-  
9 I-E-L and last name is Eckert, E-C-K-E-R-T.

10 - - - - -

11 DANIEL ECKERT,

12 Having been first duly sworn,

13 Was examined and testified as follows:

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. ZIEGLER:

16 Q. Detective Eckert what law enforcement agency are you  
17 a member of?

18 A. I work with the Mount Pleasant Police Department.

19 Q. And what is your current position and rank?

20 A. Currently I'm assigned to the Criminal  
21 Investigations Bureau. I'm a supervisor in the unit. I  
22 work with Sergeant Hembree and we supervise approximately  
23 14 staff members, detectives, victim advocates, crime  
24 prevention; a wide variety of people.

25 Q. And what is your employment history with Mount

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Pleasant?

2 A. I've been with the Mount Pleasant Police Department  
3 since November 5th, 2001. I started as a 911 dispatcher.  
4 From there I worked pretty much my way up through the  
5 ranks. I did that for a couple of years.

6 Then they had a position called a community service  
7 officer. The community service officer they would  
8 respond to calls for service where there was no suspect  
9 there, take reports and give patrol officers more time to  
10 be proactive in the Town of Mount Pleasant.

11 From there I went to the Police Academy. I did  
12 about a five year stint in the patrol division handling  
13 calls for service that come in, suspect being there  
14 obviously.

15 At that point they had a promotional assessment that  
16 came available and I put in for the promotion and I was  
17 picked to be a corporal at that time, a line level  
18 supervisor, did about six months on patrol as a road  
19 supervisor at which time the position in the detective's  
20 bureau came open and I put in and was chosen for that.

21 Q. Are you -- as a detective are you the lead  
22 investigator on the case we're ---

23 A. --- I'm the case agent. I'm the case agent that was  
24 assigned to this case.

25 Q. So Detective Eckert I'd like to direct you to May

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 7th, 2013 just after midnight so the early morning hours  
2 of May 7th, 2013. Do you remember responding to  
3 investigate an armed robbery incident that night?

4 A. I do. That night was a busy night. My unit had  
5 gotten called out earlier in the night to investigate an  
6 unrelated matter.

7 We were up in the north end of town in the Brickyard  
8 subdivision when a fight call had gone out and we were  
9 dealing with a fight call getting ready to execute a  
10 search warrant on another place and then this robbery  
11 call goes out.

12 Q. So where was that incident that you responded to,  
13 the robbery?

14 A. The robbery was in the area of Coleman Boulevard  
15 which obviously is in the Town of Mount Pleasant, county  
16 of Charleston, state of South Carolina.

17 I heard on my police radio Officer Gillespie saying  
18 that he was giving chase to three individuals and there  
19 had just been an armed robbery that he witnessed on  
20 Coleman Boulevard near Moultrie Middle.

21 Q: And so what did you do upon hearing that?

22 A. At that point I knew I had detectives out in the  
23 street. We were getting ready to go handle another call.  
24 I diverted them from that. You know I felt that that  
25 could wait. We had an armed robbery that had just

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 occurred and I directed Detective Decamp and myself we  
2 were going to respond to the scene to assist the patrol  
3 operations bureau with what they had.

4 Q. So where did you go when you first responded to the  
5 scene?

6 A. My first response I knew from listening to the radio  
7 because I was travelling to the scene I knew that they  
8 had located two potential suspects in the area of Hamp  
9 Fludd Street and King Street and Morrison Street. It's  
10 kind of like a squared off area.

11 I know that they had located them down in that area  
12 so I wanted to go where the suspects were. And at that  
13 point I responded to that area and met with Sergeant  
14 Horton about what had occurred and we spoke about what  
15 was unfolding so to say.

16 Q. And was anyone -- were any suspects from the robbery  
17 in custody at that point?

18 A. There were two people in custody at that point.  
19 There was a Ricky Lamont Hayes and a Randi Parks were in  
20 custody.

21 Q. So what all did you do at that scene at Hamp Fludd?

22 A. In the area of Hamp Fludd I spoke briefly with Mr.  
23 Parks and told him we were going to have him transported  
24 to the station. I wanted to speak with him. Then I  
25 helped patrol officers in canvassing the area. All along

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Officer Gillespie had said that there were three people  
2 that were responsible that he had given chase to in this  
3 robbery. I knew we had two in custody. We were still  
4 trying to locate the third outstanding one. So I helped  
5 with the canvass of the area.

6 Once -- I didn't want to get too involved in the  
7 canvass of the area. And when I say canvass I mean  
8 checking houses, checking streets, looking for people  
9 moving on foot. I didn't want to get too wrapped up in  
10 that because I knew we had two in custody that needed to  
11 be interviewed.

12 At that point I directed that those two get  
13 transported back to the police department so we could  
14 interview them. You wouldn't interview something like  
15 that in the front seat of a police car out in the street.  
16 You need to be a quiet environment where it is safe and  
17 secure.

18 Q. And so did you go to the station after that?

19 A. I did. I responded to the station from the scene.

20 Q. What did you do when you got to the station?

21 A. When I got to the station I first tried to -- I  
22 first started with interviewing Ricky Lamont Hayes and he  
23 was advised of his rights. Nothing came out of that  
24 interview; nothing productive came out of that interview.

25 Q. So what was your next step after speaking with

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Hayes?

2 A. My next step was to go to the next guy we had in  
3 custody, Mr. Parks. Mr. Parks was in custody. At that  
4 point I went and met with Mr. Parks in the data master  
5 room that he was in. We're kind of a smaller department.  
6 We don't have a bunch of interview rooms. We only have  
7 two interview rooms.

8 We had a victim in one interview room. In these  
9 type of cases you like to keep people separated. So we  
10 had one guy -- we had Mr. Hayes in one interview room  
11 then we used what is called a B-A room or a data master  
12 room as to where Mr. Parks was. I went and met with him  
13 in that room.

14 When I got into that room I introduced myself to him  
15 and told him I wanted to talk to him and I advised him of  
16 his Miranda warnings. We have a standard sheet, the  
17 Mount Pleasant Police Department that spells out what  
18 your rights are.

19 I read him his rights and asked him if he would  
20 waive his rights and speak with me in reference to this  
21 investigation. Mr. Parks said yes he would agree to  
22 speak with me.

23 Q. Can you explain to the jury about what time period  
24 all this was happening?

25 A. It was late. I had worked day shift that day and

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 got called back out that night. The robbery was about  
2 12:15 it was now close to 1:45, 1:50 in the morning on  
3 the 7th when we had been out since the 6th.

4 Q. And so what -- how did the interview with Mr. Parks  
5 begin to unfold after you advised him of his Miranda  
6 rights?

7 A. I read him his rights. He agreed to talk to me. He  
8 waived his rights. And during that process I got a knock  
9 on the door. It was another patrol officer who was out  
10 doing paperwork in an area that we call the squad room.  
11 He said that Sergeant Horton the road supervisor who was  
12 still out in the field was trying to get in contact with  
13 me.

14 Like I said previously you know you want an  
15 interview to be quiet and you want it in a controlled  
16 area so I didn't have a police radio with me, I didn't  
17 have a cell phone with me; nothing like that.

18 At that point I advised Mr. Parks I said hey, give  
19 me a second. The supervisor out in the field needs to  
20 speak with me. I'll be right back with you.

21 I then went and called Sergeant Horton from the  
22 department phone, the office phone in the sergeant's  
23 office and he told me that they had conducted a traffic  
24 stop on what they believed was a third involved  
25 individual in this armed robbery. Sergeant Horton asked

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 if the suspects at the station were cooperating, if they  
2 were being forthcoming with information related to the  
3 robbery. I informed him that one guy wasn't cooperating  
4 and the second guy I had just started the interview with.  
5 He says that he was going to talk and that he was going  
6 to cooperate.

7 At that point I told -- or Sergeant Horton asked me  
8 to get a description of the third individual in this  
9 armed robbery. I told Sergeant Horton I'd call him back  
10 and that would be the first thing that I would work on.

11 Q. So what did you do then?

12 A. At that point I went back into the interview room  
13 and informed Mr. Parks look we believe that we have a  
14 third person on a traffic stop stopped down in the area  
15 of the Old Village.

16 You say you want to cooperate, you say you want to  
17 be helpful give me a description of this third person.  
18 Tell me what was going on tonight, who was involved in  
19 this. And he began painting a description of this third  
20 suspect.

21 Q. Excuse me for interrupting. Did you know at that  
22 time any description of who was at the -- who was pulled  
23 over at the stop out there on the road that you...

24 A. Not at all. I had left. They were still actively  
25 searching in the area. When I left Hamp Fludd Street in

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 the Old Village we didn't have a third person at that  
2 point. I didn't know anything about this guy. I didn't  
3 discuss it with Horton. It was get me a description and  
4 get back to me as soon as possible.

5 Q. And so back to when you asked Mr. Parks to provide a  
6 description. What was that?

7 A. Mr. Parks began telling me that the individual in  
8 question goes by the name of "L". He said that he was  
9 driving -- he originally said he was driving a blue  
10 truck. He then quickly changed the description of the  
11 vehicle to a bluish green truck or jeep.

12 He then told me he had what he described as cornrows  
13 in his hair. Also stated that he had some sort of eye  
14 injury that was noticeable. I gathered that information  
15 and I went back and contacted Sergeant Horton again on  
16 the phone who was at the scene of this stop and relayed  
17 that information to him.

18 Q. Had you provided any of that information to Mr.  
19 Parks before he gave it to you?

20 A. No, I didn't know it. I had no knowledge of who  
21 they had on this roadside stop. There was no description  
22 that was ever provided to me. I had no knowledge of who  
23 they had stopped on the traffic stop so no.

24 Q. Did Mr. Parks advise you of any items in the vehicle  
25 that he knew of?

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 A. That was more so after I relayed the first bit of  
2 information to Sergeant Horton. He said see if you can  
3 get anything else about it. I went back and asked Mr.  
4 Parks was there anything that stood out in the vehicle  
5 and he informed me that there was.

6 He informed me that he had been riding in the  
7 backseat and he remembered a book bag, a backpack in t he  
8 backseat -- on the backseat. I went back and called  
9 Sergeant Horton again and continued relaying that  
10 information to him.

11 And instead of continuing the back and forth get a  
12 little bit of information, get a little bit of  
13 information my thought was let's see if we can get this  
14 guy to come to the station voluntarily and see if he will  
15 talk to us. Sergeant Horton said he wasn't coming to the  
16 station, you know he didn't want to come to the station.

17 And at that point I asked Sergeant Horton would it  
18 be more beneficial for you guys out there since I've been  
19 talking to Mr. Parks to ride out to the scene and meet  
20 with the guy on the roadside stop and he said yes come on  
21 out.

22 I then had to make arrangements to get someone to  
23 watch Mr. Parks. Obviously we had an individual in  
24 custody I couldn't just leave him to himself there in the  
25 police department. And once I got all that squared away

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 I drove out to the scene of the traffic stop.

2 Q. And when you arrived at the traffic stop what did  
3 you observe?

4 A. I observed a green jeep that Officer Gillespie had  
5 made a traffic stop on. I spoke briefly with Officer  
6 Gillespie and Sergeant Horton before I went up and  
7 approached the vehicle.

8 In approaching the vehicle I see that the vehicle is  
9 occupied by a black male driver. He had some sort of  
10 hair thing going on which to me looked similar to  
11 cornrows. I don't know exactly at this point; I thought  
12 it was cornrows.

13 But the one thing that stood out is that I noticed  
14 the eye injury and at one point I even asked him about  
15 the eye injury and he said he would rather not tell me  
16 what it is.

17 Q. Did he indicate -- did the driver indicate what he  
18 was doing in the area? Did you ask him that?

19 A. I asked him you know basic questions why are you in  
20 the area, where are you going, where are you coming from?  
21 He mentioned the name of a subdivision and mind you I've  
22 been with the Mount Pleasant Police Department since  
23 2001. I've lived in Mount Pleasant since 2000 I never  
24 heard this subdivision name before. I can't recall  
25 exactly what the name of the subdivision is but it was --

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 when you're a police officer that's one thing you've got  
2 to remember; where homes are, where subdivisions are and  
3 I never heard of that subdivision name in the town of  
4 Mount Pleasant.

5 Q. So from your observations were there things from  
6 what you heard from Mr. Parks that were matching up to  
7 what you observed at the traffic stop?

8 A. Very much so. The injury to the eye, that the  
9 individual was a black male, the vehicle he said either a  
10 blue truck or -- he said either a bluish green truck or a  
11 jeep, they had stopped a jeep and that he had some sort  
12 of cornrow type thing going on with his hair.

13 Q. And what was your decision next?

14 A. At that point I asked if he would just voluntarily  
15 come to the station with me; I was looking to clear his  
16 name. I explained to him that there was an armed robbery  
17 that occurred that we were investigating, that we were  
18 actively investigating and following up on. I asked him  
19 if he would come to the station with me and he said no,  
20 he wasn't coming to the station.

21 At that point I talked briefly to Sergeant Horton  
22 who was the veteran officer on the scene a lot more  
23 experienced than me and we conferred you know. We talked  
24 about the probable cause of this that we have a guy who  
25 is in custody that is painting a description of this

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 individual and things are matching up. And at that point  
2 I made a decision to place him under arrest.

3 Q. Was he transported to the station?

4 A. He was transported to the station. I drove him to  
5 the station in my patrol vehicle.

6 Q. Was he interviewed at the station?

7 A. Yes. At that point since I had been speaking with  
8 Parks I wanted to go back to talking with Parks. I  
9 directed Detective Decamp to interview him.

10 Q. And what did you do then?

11 A. I then went in and finished interviewing Mr. Parks  
12 about the event from that night and asked him questions  
13 you know trying to elicit what happened, why this  
14 terrible act happened.

15 At the conclusion of Parks interview we do obviously  
16 a lot of paperwork, a lot of paperwork and didn't get  
17 wrapped up until after 7:00 that morning by the time we  
18 got warrant affidavits drafted, bond sheet -- I'm sorry  
19 booking sheets, victim sheets; all kinds of paperwork  
20 drafted, made notifications to my supervisors the command  
21 staff at the police department and kind of formulated the  
22 next plan. We knew that the gun hadn't been located and  
23 my concern was getting that gun off the streets.

24 Q. And so at the end of your day there what time was it  
25 now after you had done all these things?

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 A. It was after 7 a.m. I was going home and going to  
2 bed.

3 Q. On what date?

4 A. On May the 7th at that point.

5 Q. And so you were off duty -- went off duty at that  
6 point?

7 A. I did, yes sir.

8 Q. Detective, I'd like to talk about your contact with  
9 Mr. Parks over the course of this investigation.

10 A. Okay.

11 Q. You've just mentioned that you interviewed him May  
12 7th that night shortly, hours after the robbery occurred.

13 Was that your first interview with him?

14 A. No. There were at least two other interviews that I  
15 was privy to.

16 Q. But was that the first interview at the station the  
17 night of May 7th?

18 A. Yes, the night of May 7th ---

19 Q. --- the morning of May 7th?

20 A. -- I'm sorry I cut you off. Could you say that one  
21 more time; I apologize.

22 Q. The morning of May 7, 2013 before you were off duty  
23 can you talk about that particular interview with Mr.  
24 Parks?

25 A. Okay.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. So did he tell you the complete truth at that first  
2 interview with him at the station?

3 A. No, not at all.

4 Q. Is it common for people to tell you the truth, in  
5 your experience tell the truth right away the first time?

6 A. In my experience from doing this job people look to  
7 see what we're going to give up, what we're going to tell  
8 them about it.

9 They try and minimize their involvement. They might  
10 say that they were a little bit involved but no one ever  
11 comes out and tells 100 percent truth. I call it half-  
12 truth.

13 Q. Did Mr. Parks at that first interview with you  
14 mention who was involved in the robbery with him, who  
15 participated with him?

16 A. He did. He said Ricky Lamont Hayes and Darren Belt  
17 were the people that were involved in this robbery with  
18 him.

19 Q. Did he give a nickname for Mr. Belt?

20 A. He called him "L".

21 Q. But at that time he didn't call him Darren Belt did  
22 he?

23 A. In the original interview he pretty much referred to  
24 him as "L".

25 Q. Okay. So that was the first interview. Was there

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 a written statement from that?

2 A. Yes. I did take a written statement that night.

3 Q. And when was the next time you came back on duty  
4 after you went home on the morning of May 7, 2013?

5 A. May the 8th the next day. I got in about 8 o'clock  
6 that morning.

7 Q. What did you learn at that time?

8 A. That morning I learned that detectives who had been  
9 working on May the 7th had actually gone out and  
10 canvassed the area in the daylight and recovered the gun.  
11 They recovered the gun in close proximity to where Mr.  
12 Parks had been hiding up under the truck.

13 Q. And so once you learned that information what did  
14 you decide to do?

15 A. It kind of goes hand in hand that he wasn't being  
16 honest with me when we specifically asked about the gun.  
17 At that point my supervisor directed me and I knew that  
18 we needed to go get him out of the jail and reinterview  
19 him in reference to this investigation.

20 Q. And what happened when you went to get him?

21 A. At that point I checked him out of the jail. He  
22 agreed to come to the Mount Pleasant Police Department  
23 with me. He agreed to talk about this case to be  
24 reinterviewed and to continue getting into the facts of  
25 this case.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. And in that second interview did he again tell you  
2 who was involved in the robbery with him?

3 A. He did. One thing that stayed the same is the  
4 players involved. He continued to implicate himself,  
5 Ricky Lamont Hayes and Darren Keith Belt.

6 Q. And in that second interview what was the name he  
7 used for Mr. Belt?

8 A. He called him "L" or Lizard.

9 Q. And at the conclusion of that second interview what  
10 was happening? What happened after that?

11 A. At the conclusion of that interview we were getting  
12 bits and pieces as to where they had been that night. I  
13 asked him if he could -- if I could show him an area that  
14 I believed he was in if he would agree that that was the  
15 neighborhood that they had been in.

16 I then drove him by a house in the Hickory Shadows  
17 subdivision which he pointed out a house that they had  
18 been at prior to committing this armed robbery. From  
19 there I transported him back to the Charleston County  
20 Detention Center.

21 Q. Detective what was your idea of this Hickory  
22 Shadows? What was your idea of whose house they were at  
23 in context of the whole night whose house was that?

24 A. It was a friend of Mr. Hayes where they had been  
25 hanging out at.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. And when was that prior to the robbery?

2 A. Yes, prior to the robbery earlier in the evening  
3 because in his original statement he says that they had  
4 been hanging out at a girl's house earlier in the  
5 evening.

6 Q. So he then pointed out that house to you?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Where was that?

9 A. That house is in the Hickory Shadows subdivision  
10 which is off Chuck Dawley Boulevard. It was on North  
11 Shadow Drive. I don't recall the exact address. If you  
12 go in Shadow Drive you'd make a right on North Shadow.  
13 It kind of arches around and it's going to be on that  
14 first curve on the right hand side.

15 Q. Was that neighborhood in your knowledge of Mount  
16 Pleasant is that neighborhood in the Old Village of Mount  
17 Pleasant?

18 A. No, not at all. It's off Chuck Dawley Boulevard  
19 which turns into 526 which takes you out of town.

20 Q. So is it on the same or the opposite side of Coleman  
21 Boulevard from the Old Village?

22 A. It's on the opposite side of Coleman Boulevard.  
23 It's more towards 526.

24 Q. And what occurred? You said after that you returned  
25 Mr. Parks to the jail. What occurred next?

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 A. I think Mr. Parks realized the significance of the  
2 trouble that he was in. During the interview I learned  
3 that he had a baby on the way. He did tell me that. I  
4 know that when guys are in jail if they don't have money  
5 on the phone they can't make calls.

6 So I told him I said while we're going back to the  
7 jail I'll let you call your family member from my cell  
8 phone which I allowed him to do; on speaker obviously.  
9 His hands were handcuffed behind his back so it's not  
10 like he can hold the phone up to his ear. It was a good  
11 gesture I thought.

12 Q. And was that on the way to the jail or at the jail?

13 A. It was on the way to the jail.

14 Q. And at the jail what was the next thing that  
15 happened?

16 A. At the jail we get out at the jail and in the jail  
17 they have an area that is called a Sally port. Gates  
18 open, gates close when the gate is open you drive in and  
19 you park and you take your inmate in. Well it's a busy  
20 Sally port in Charleston County.

21 I mean it's a big jail, a lot of different agencies  
22 in and out of there; people coming and going. Let me  
23 back up. Before you go into the jail we can't take any  
24 weapons into the jail, any pepper spray, any tasers, any  
25 guns or anything like that. So by the jail's rules and

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 by policy you secure all your stuff in the trunk of your  
2 car before you enter the jail. So I had just finished  
3 securing all my gear in the trunk of my car. At that  
4 point I got Mr. Parks out of my front seat.

5 For some reason that day and usually I don't I went  
6 to grab my radio. And something kind of stood out about  
7 him. He kind of tried to take a step back. I had him  
8 leaning up against the passenger side of my car. He  
9 tried to take a step back off it and it kind of caught my  
10 attention. I didn't really say anything or do anything.

11 I then began walking him into the jail and I'll tell  
12 you it was an eye opener and I clearly learned from it.  
13 They teach you at the police academy you always hold onto  
14 somebody when you're transporting them.

15 Well, I didn't hold onto him. I felt this guy was  
16 being honest with me. He just told me about this armed  
17 robbery, showed me where they had been out. I did some  
18 good faith things with him. I let him call his family.  
19 We gave him comfort breaks at the station so a lot of  
20 good things were happening.

21 So at one point when I didn't have control of him  
22 the Sally port had opened and it's controlled by somebody  
23 in a control center within the jail. Next thing you know  
24 he bee lines out the Sally port.

25 Q. And so what did you do at that point once he ran out

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 the Sally port?

2 A. I picked up my pride and gave chase real quick.  
3 Here we had a guy that had just been involved in two  
4 armed robberies on the previous day and now he's running  
5 out the Sally port on me.

6 Q. Where did he go and where did you pursue him?

7 A. He went out the main driveway of the jail and at the  
8 jail there is like a drainage ditch down the left hand  
9 side. Now I work Mount Pleasant I don't work North  
10 Charleston I don't know the area. I never had been down  
11 into that drainage ditch area.

12 More than anything I wanted to start getting me some  
13 help coming because here we had a fleeing felon. So I'm  
14 relaying information on the radio. I don't know what  
15 kind of weapons are down in that drainage ditch. I  
16 wasn't going in the drainage ditch bottom line. I  
17 figured he was going down and he was going to come back  
18 out.

19 So I paralleled around. I went out the driveway  
20 around a big row of hedges down another driveway and I  
21 heard rustling around. And I eventually found him hiding  
22 up under a shrub. Several times I said come out. I've  
23 got canines coming. I mean I was thinking of anything.  
24 I didn't have any weapons on me or anything like that,  
25 any pepper spray; anything like that. If he was going to

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 fight this was going to be a fist fight. And I didn't  
2 want that. I might look like this big giant that likes  
3 to go out and hurt people but that's not who I am. At  
4 that point once I found him in the shrubs he said I'm  
5 coming out.

6 My biggest thing was to get him to the ground and  
7 control him because he had managed to slip out of one of  
8 his handcuffs; how I don't know. And my biggest thing  
9 was to get him to the ground, control him and get him  
10 back into custody and get him back to the jail. When I  
11 took him to the ground it was like the fight was on. He  
12 was ready to fight. I'm relaying information.

13 On handcuffs they have what is called a double  
14 locking mechanism that way when your hands are behind  
15 your back the handcuffs don't tighten up on you. We  
16 double lock them so if you lean back the cuffs aren't  
17 going to tighten up on you and wreck your wrists.

18 So he's got one handcuff on swinging it around.  
19 He's laying on top of his arm trying to prevent me from  
20 getting his arm and controlling him. It was a fight.

21 There were times that I thought he was going to pick  
22 up my radio and use it on me. It could be used as a  
23 weapon. It's got a battery on it. And I just remember  
24 from the police academy the defensive tactic instructors  
25 like people will use anything to hurt you. And it was

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 all coming back to me real quick so I'm trying to protect  
2 myself, get him in custody and we were fighting. I was  
3 delivering some knee strikes to him; the fight was on.

4 A retired North Charleston Police officer who works  
5 for Charleston County schools happened to be driving by  
6 and saw this and he was like hey man, I'm here to help  
7 you. At that point we were able to get him controlled.

8 And it's kind of like fine motor skills to it's not  
9 just put in a key like you would your door to open that  
10 handcuff. It's fine motor skills. You got to turn it  
11 one way and turn it the other to get the double lock and  
12 we were able to get it opened at which point I got him  
13 back into custody.

14 Q. Did you return him to the jail at that point?

15 A. At that point a detention officer had come out of  
16 the jail and we walked him back to the jail.

17 Q. So that was May 8th, 2013?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. And do you remember your next contact with Mr. Parks  
20 in an interview at the Solicitor's office?

21 A. I want to say it was either November 13 or November  
22 14 I received a call from the Solicitor that had been  
23 assigned the case at that point and they told me that  
24 they were interviewing Mr. Parks that day and asked if I  
25 could come down and I said I would.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. What did Mr. Parks say to you at that interview?

2 A. The first thing he said when I walked in was hey, I  
3 apologize. I said some things that I shouldn't have said  
4 to your bosses and I shouldn't have ran and I'm sorry. I  
5 felt he was sincere.

6 Q. And would you say that was months after the incident  
7 back in May ---

8 A. --- the incident occurred May 8th and this is  
9 November so several months down the road he had some time  
10 to think about his actions.

11 Q. A few items detective. As far as going back to the  
12 initial investigation when you made contact with -- you  
13 saw Mr. Hayes and Mr. Parks what was their hair style?  
14 Did they have...

15 A. It was short hair. Not as short as mine but it was  
16 definitely short, shaved off hair.

17 Q. Both of them?

18 A. To the best of my recollection, yes.

19 Q. And can you explain the investigation after the  
20 robbery occurred can you explain why no lineup was shown  
21 or no show up was conducted? Could you explain those  
22 concepts?

23 A. Definitely. A lineup is where we take six photos.  
24 And we don't prepare the lineup. We have to reach out to  
25 outside sources i.e. the I.D. Unit at the Charleston

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 County jail or the South Carolina Law Enforcement  
2 Division. Now mind you it's one o'clock in the morning  
3 and to get that it just can't happen at one o'clock in  
4 the morning. Those areas shut down so that was something  
5 that couldn't be done.

6 Then we're asked why didn't you show a show up --  
7 I'm sorry why didn't you show a lineup the next morning?  
8 Well you've heard that it got put out on the media. The  
9 arrest of these three individuals got put out on the  
10 media and that the victims saw those photos.

11 So by me showing six photos that is going to taint  
12 their view. Of course they're going to be able to  
13 identify one of the six guys. So that night we didn't do  
14 it for time constraints and not having access, not being  
15 able to get a lineup at that time as well as the next day  
16 they had seen the news story, the media.

17 As far as a show up admonition form goes those are  
18 very suggestive. There is a robbery that occurs. Three  
19 guys robbed two guys; the police respond and flood the  
20 area. We take two people into custody immediately pretty  
21 much five, ten minutes, maybe fifteen after the fact;  
22 that's very suggestive.

23 If I walk -- if I pick up the victim and bring him  
24 to where the suspect is because we don't transport the  
25 suspect to the victim we transport the victim to the

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 suspect that's going to be very suggestive; black male  
2 dressed all in black and you know we had black males that  
3 were dressed all in black so it's very suggestive.

4 I even asked that night because I said I wasn't in  
5 the position that long at that time. I had been in the  
6 position maybe 18 months. And I even said to Sergeant  
7 Horton I said let's do a show up on this and Sergeant  
8 Horton felt that it wasn't beneficial.

9 This is the way he explained it to me because these  
10 guys -- number one we had one guy that was hurt and was  
11 going to the hospital. So we're not going to stop  
12 medical treatment to do this show up. So there was a lot  
13 of thought and a lot of information put in as to why we  
14 didn't do a show up.

15 Q. And do you recall investigating any surveillance  
16 that could have been in the area?

17 A. Yes, I do. At the Moultrie Middle School in today's  
18 society they put cameras on every school everywhere in  
19 between. One of the good things about schools here in  
20 Charleston County specifically the middle schools and the  
21 high schools is that there is a cop usually in each of  
22 those schools.

23 I did reach out to the school resource officer over  
24 at Moultrie and informed her of the robbery. From what  
25 was described to me as to where the robbery occurred near

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 those steps she said that there is no cameras that pick  
2 up that area. So I got a certified law enforcement  
3 officer telling me that. I just kind of moved on after  
4 that.

5 Q. Did you look into the -- did you have any thoughts  
6 about the gas station surveillance at all?

7 A. My thoughts about the gas station again I've been  
8 working the streets of Mount Pleasant from 2003 to the  
9 current date at that time I've looked at that video  
10 numerous times over at the gas station.

11 The video cameras at the gas station are great for  
12 the gas station but it's not going to shoot the angle to  
13 hit where this robbery occurred and I know that from past  
14 experience.

15 MR. ZIEGLER: Beg the court's indulgence.

16 THE COURT: Take your time.

17 [Whereupon, Mr. Ziegler reviews documents]

18 Q. Detective Eckert the interview at the Solicitor's  
19 office that you mentioned in November of 2013 was Mr.  
20 Parks still identifying these same individuals who were  
21 involved with him in the robbery?

22 A. That's the one thing that has never changed; the  
23 three players himself, Ricky Lamont Hayes and Darren  
24 Keith Belt.

25 MR. ZIEGLER: No further questions of this witness,

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Mr. DeTreville?

3 MR. DETREVILLE: Thank you, Your Honor. May it  
4 please the court?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. DETREVILLE:

3 Q. Good morning detective.

4 A. Good morning, sir.

5 Q. I'd like to hit on the issue of the video

6 surveillance cameras first if you don't mind.

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. You spoke with somebody at I believe your testimony

9 was that you spoke to the school resource officer at

10 Moultrie Middle School.

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. And she informed you that there were no cameras that

13 hit that area is that correct?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. As part of your police training and I think you

16 testified to that fairly extensively at the outset of

17 your testimony. Are you trained to include as much

18 relevant detail in all of your reports that you issue as

19 part of an investigation?

20 A. As much and as most as I can, yes.

21 Q. Okay. And as a detective investigating a case you

22 would also prepare what's called a prosecutorial summary.

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. Is that a correct statement also?

25 A. Yes.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Okay. And as part of -- and the same general rule  
2 would apply to a summary such as that correct that you  
3 would include as much relevant detail as you could recall  
4 in ---

5 A. --- correct ---

6 Q. --- in that summary. Did you reference reaching out  
7 to anybody at Moultrie Middle School in either your  
8 incident report or your summary?

9 A. No, as a matter of fact I didn't. The reason I  
10 didn't number one, it was a very active case and I just  
11 neglected to. Usually in cases like this I sent an email  
12 -- usually I would print a copy of the email and note it  
13 with the case number and I just neglected to.

14 Q. Okay. Neglect is the reason?

15 A. I you know I forgot to put it in the packet.

16 Q. Fair enough. And I believe you also testified that  
17 based on your experience you had firsthand knowledge of  
18 the fact that the gas station surveillance cameras did  
19 not record that area. Is that accurate?

20 A. From the angle -- and I've looked at those cameras  
21 in the gas station several times for several different  
22 cases that it wouldn't pick up the angle as to where this  
23 occurred where it would be beneficial.

24 Q. So you didn't bother to go back and check again just  
25 in case hey, this is an armed robbery that happened right

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 across the street from this gas station maybe there is  
2 video of it at this gas station?

3 A. Sir, I wouldn't say it was directly across the  
4 street, and we can look at the pictures, it was more at  
5 an angle. I mean the cameras are for the gas station.  
6 The cameras aren't for Moultrie Middle School.

7 And I've looked at the cameras several times for  
8 other calls. Not as significant as an armed robbery no,  
9 but I know what those -- I feel very confident on those  
10 cameras.

11 Q. You've looked at those particular cameras in  
12 relation to less significant investigations. Is that a  
13 fair statement?

14 A. Yes, that's where I developed the knowledge that it  
15 wouldn't cover that area, yes.

16 Q. So in these less significant cases it was important  
17 enough to go check out those cameras but in what I guess  
18 what you're saying is a more significant case let's not  
19 go check out the cameras.

20 A. I mean if that's the way you want to look at it.  
21 From training and experience and seeing those cameras and  
22 having an idea as to what areas they cover I felt very  
23 strongly that they wouldn't have picked it up so no, I  
24 didn't go over there.

25 Q. How long had it been since you had looked at those

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 cameras from that particular gas station in relation to  
2 an investigation?

3 A. It was probably to the best of my recollection right  
4 before I went into the detective's office. I remember a  
5 call there where there were some underage minors buying  
6 beer so that would have been in 2011 and I had looked at  
7 them previously as well.

8 Q. So give or take about two years prior you had seen  
9 video surveillance in relation to a minor in possession  
10 of alcohol from that gas station.

11 A. Uh Huh..

12 Q. And based on having looked at those videos two years  
13 prior you were satisfied that it wasn't worth your time  
14 to review these video surveillance cameras in relation to  
15 an armed robbery?

16 A. Not when we had people in custody and I felt  
17 confident in the cooperation I was receiving, no. And  
18 knowing what I had known about the cameras I didn't think  
19 it was necessary, no.

20 Q. Okay. So because you have three suspects in  
21 custody that's another reason why you didn't feel like  
22 you needed to look at the video and because you were  
23 confident in the cooperation you were receiving.

24 A. At the time yes, sir.

25 Q. Okay. At the time you were confident in the

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 cooperation you were receiving?

2 A. Uh Huh, probably until that following day, yes.

3 Q. Was there some time when you became not confident in  
4 the cooperation that you were receiving?

5 A. I think you know the answer to that.

6 Q. Well if ---

7 A. --- but ---

8 Q. --- I also know too but if you could tell the jury  
9 the answer to that question.

10 A. Yes. When he took off out the Sally port I lost a  
11 little bit of faith in what he was saying. But I go back  
12 to the one thing that has never changed is the three  
13 players involved in these armed robberies that occurred  
14 on Coleman on the night of this incident.

15 Q. So the identification of the three players has never  
16 changed would it be a fair statement to say that  
17 literally every other detail has changed at some point?

18 A. I wouldn't say every other detail, no. No, I  
19 wouldn't say that at all.

20 Q. The lion's share of the details?

21 A. And I testified to that that he did lie, yes. I'm  
22 not trying to hide that from anyone. He didn't tell the  
23 truth on everything. But where they were, the vehicle  
24 they were in, and the people involved that's never  
25 changed.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. I'm curious. How long would it take you to go to  
2 the gas station and look at these videos just in the --  
3 let's say in the one percent chance that something gets  
4 picked up these videos?

5 A. I don't know that it would take time but when you're  
6 working an active case load when you're supervising a  
7 unit and when you have -- I'm sorry when you're assisting  
8 in supervising a unit and when you have experience and  
9 knowledge as to what these cameras cover I made a  
10 decision I didn't think it was necessary.

11 Q. So it would have taken a small amount of time and  
12 it's possible -- you said two years previously you didn't  
13 think from your recollection of a video that you looked  
14 at two year's previously you didn't think covered that  
15 area and that -- but that it wouldn't take a lot of time  
16 to review.

17 Wouldn't -- if there had been something that showed  
18 up on that video surveillance wouldn't that have been the  
19 proof positive that you were looking for in this case  
20 Detective Eckert?

21 MR. ZIEGLER: Objection, Your Honor. It's  
22 speculation.

23 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

24 A. Yes, my answer to that would be that we had an  
25 officer on the ground that witnessed this armed robbery

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 go down and relayed it to units in the street and they  
2 flooded the area and we took two people into custody  
3 pretty much ten to fifteen minutes after Gillespie had  
4 given chase. I made a decision. I didn't think it was  
5 imperative.

6 Q. [Mr. DeTreville] But you hadn't taken Darren Belt  
7 into custody ten minutes later. True statement?

8 A. That's true.

9 Q. Okay. As a general summary of your testimony the  
10 reason why you did take Darren Belt into custody was ---

11 MR. ZIEGLER: Objection, Your Honor. That's not a  
12 question.

13 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

14 MR. ZIEGLER: That's not a question.

15 THE COURT: Well let him finish. Maybe there will  
16 be a question once he finishes. Thank you.

17 Q. [Mr. DeTreville] As a general summary of your  
18 testimony the fact that you did take Darren Belt into  
19 custody was that based essentially entirely on the  
20 statements of Randi Parks?

21 A. That was based on the totality of what Parks had  
22 told us, yes.

23 Q. Totality of what he told you ---

24 A. --- things ---

25 Q. --- based on his statements ---

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 A. --- yes, specific facts matching up. You know the  
2 eye injury, the type of vehicle that he was a black male,  
3 that the hair; yes the totality.

4 And then I felt that there was probable cause at  
5 that point based on that and then the investigation was  
6 continuing. Once you get into the inventory of the  
7 vehicle and what's found in the vehicle.

8 Q. We'll get to that and I'll ask you specifically  
9 about that detective. In hindsight having lost faith in  
10 Randi Parks at some point do you wish that you had gone  
11 and checked out those video tapes?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Do you wish that you had better proof that Darren  
14 Belt was there?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Okay. You're satisfied that the statements given  
17 by Randi Parks were sufficient for you in your mind that  
18 Darren Belt was a participant in this crime?

19 A. I'm satisfied with the evidence that has been  
20 uncovered throughout this investigation and that the main  
21 thing that has never changed were the people involved.  
22 Yes, I'm satisfied with that.

23 Q. You're a detective and you're a corporal as well is  
24 that correct?

25 A. That's correct.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Okay. I don't want to misstate that. Explain to  
2 me and I may be confused, explain to me exactly what your  
3 role in this investigation was. Is this quote unquote  
4 your investigation? Are you the lead detective in this  
5 case?

6 A. You know in our position at the police department  
7 patrol officers respond to calls for service. It could  
8 be something as simple as a barking dog to something as  
9 complex as an armed robbery; they respond to everything  
10 in between.

11 The supervisor at that point calls for a detective  
12 to come out. Due to the fact that we were on the street  
13 that night we automatically responded to the scene. And  
14 when I say we I mean me and Detective Decamp.

15 As a supervisor I work to supervise, to make sure  
16 that Detective Decamp is doing the right thing but since  
17 I'm out I'm a working supervisor. When the sergeant is  
18 off I'm more the supervisor where if the sergeant is  
19 there working I do the work of a detective.

20 So I was out and I'm a worker. It's not uncommon  
21 for me to get out and take statements and to participate  
22 in an investigation. The next -- since I had interviewed  
23 ---

24 Q. --- if I could stop you for just a second because I  
25 want to ask a follow up. Is there a single detective

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 assigned to these particular cases or you the detective  
2 or is there a slew of detectives involved in an  
3 investigation ---

4 A. --- and I was kind of getting there. I'm the case  
5 agent that was assigned to the case. From the  
6 prosecution standpoint I make sure that everything -- I  
7 attend the hearings. I interviewed -- I attempted to  
8 interview one guy that didn't provide much information.  
9 I interviewed Parks so I had a good knowledge, a good  
10 understanding of what is going on.

11 Obviously, I can't do everything and we work as a  
12 team. That's the biggest thing; we work as a team. But  
13 as far as other detectives being involved that's not  
14 necessarily the case.

15 Our unit went out the next day to canvass for the  
16 gun because we felt the gun was important to get it off  
17 the street so nobody got hurt with it.

18 Q. Detective Eckert if I could get you to give me a  
19 brief answer to this question. If you're the case --  
20 you've described yourself as the case agent on the case.  
21 To a lay person is that the lead detective from somebody  
22 whose knowledge of these things comes from watching cop  
23 shows basically?

24 A. Sure.

25 Q. Okay. So you are the ---

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 A. --- I want you to have a good understanding as to --  
2 and I'd like the jury to have a good understanding as to  
3 when you're assigned as the case agent you know we look  
4 also at other people's active cases.

5 Just because I was out there doesn't mean that I'm  
6 going to be the case agent. If I got a more active case  
7 load I could have assigned it to another detective.

8 Q. Who are the other -- were there any other detectives  
9 that were working this case?

10 A. Detective Decamp was out with me that night,  
11 Detective Lapp [phonetic] who is no longer with our  
12 agency participated in the canvas of the area as well as  
13 Lieutenant Hembree participated in the canvas of the  
14 area.

15 Q. Is he a detective?

16 A. Yes, he just got promoted to Lieutenant. At the  
17 time he was a sergeant in the unit.

18 Q. Okay. You stated that when you initially responded  
19 to the general scene on Coleman Boulevard and Moultrie  
20 Middle School etcetera that your initial response was to  
21 a call I presume over police radio from Officer  
22 Gillespie. Am I stating that correctly?

23 A. That's correct. He put out that he was chasing  
24 three people across Coleman or up through the farmer's  
25 market who had just been involved in a robbery, yes.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Was that the description that he gave that you were  
2 responding to three ---

3 A. --- to the best of my recollection and it was very  
4 jumbled, very garbled; adrenaline is going, I remember  
5 him saying three black males. That's to the best of my  
6 recollection as to what he said.

7 Q. Okay. Would you be able to -- and I understand  
8 it's been a while, would you be able to specifically say  
9 that Gillespie did not provide a description over the  
10 radio of black shirts, black hoodies, black hats with  
11 white lettering on them, facial scars did that come out  
12 over the call?

13 A. I don't recall. Again, I was at another scene up in  
14 the Brickyard, that fight that I had talked about that we  
15 were dealing with, the search warrant that we were  
16 preparing to execute and then we had cleared from those  
17 and then responded.

18 I remember I listened to my radio. I was on another  
19 call dealing with stuff there and I heard Gillespie  
20 chasing people, units flood the area -- no, so  
21 specifically I don't recall if he put out those detailed  
22 descriptors that you're mentioning.

23 Q. But to the best of your recollection it was three  
24 black males?

25 A. Yes. I do remember him saying three black males,

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 yes.

2 Q. Okay. And I believe your testimony was that when  
3 you arrived at the scene two people were already in  
4 custody?

5 A. That is correct, sir.

6 Q. And that was Hayes and Parks?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And subsequent to arriving you began to canvas the  
9 area is that right?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. Explain to me -- if you could provide some details  
12 regarding this canvassing. How long did you canvass?  
13 What was the area that you canvassed? What were you  
14 looking for?

15 A. We were looking for a third individual involved  
16 first and foremost. The area wasn't that well lit. It  
17 was very dark out. It was night. It was 12:30 at night.  
18 We were in a neighborhood, residential neighborhood in an  
19 area called the Old Village.

20 I specifically remember canvassing the area of Hamp  
21 Fludd. I want to say it's towards Morrison and one of  
22 the officers I believe it was Officer Ivey I was with  
23 thought there was an abandoned house over there that he  
24 thought would be beneficial to go check. I don't  
25 remember us ever making it to that house because more so

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 I knew that we had the two that I wanted to get back to  
2 the station.

3 Q. Are you clear on these details Detective Eckert  
4 because wasn't it in fact Officer Ivey who arrested Randi  
5 Parks and Hayes so he couldn't have been with you going  
6 to check out an abandoned house ---

7 A. --- again, they were in custody by the time I had  
8 gotten there. And to the best of my recollection and  
9 what I remember hearing him testify to was he put then in  
10 the backseat of a car and continued to canvas, sir.

11 Q. Okay. So while you're canvassing if I understand  
12 your testimony correctly you're looking for a person?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Were you looking for a gun or were you looking for  
15 contraband any of that sort of stuff or just a person?

16 A. More so just a person than anything.

17 Q. And the best description at that particular time  
18 that you had of that third person was a black male?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. Okay. When Randi Parks was arrested did he have  
21 property belonging to any of the victims on him?

22 A. He had Mr. McElhenney's wallet. And that was  
23 relayed to me. I didn't search him or anything like  
24 that.

25 Q. Certainly ---

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 A. --- but that's what was relayed to me, yes.

2 Q. Okay. And when the gun was located the next day do  
3 you know where the gun was located?

4 A. It was -- what was relayed to me was that it was  
5 located in close proximity as to where Mr. Parks was  
6 hiding up under the dump truck.

7 Q. Okay. So would it be a fair statement to say that  
8 Randi Parks out of the group of Randi Parks, Mr. Hayes,  
9 and Mr. Belt Randi Parks was caught red handed.

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. He's not getting out of this from the moment that he  
12 is arrested he knows good and well he's not getting out  
13 of this.

14 A. He had the wallet of the victim that he told us one  
15 of the co-defendants told him that had given to him. We  
16 had good evidence on Mr. Parks, yes sir.

17 Q. So would that be the definition of being caught red  
18 handed?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. Do you think that being caught red handed  
21 had anything to do with Mr. Parks's agreement to give you  
22 a statement later on?

23 MR. ZIEGLER: Objection, Your Honor. Speculation.

24 THE COURT: Sustained.

25 MR. DETREVILLE: I'll withdraw the question, Your

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Honor.

2 Q. [Mr. DeTreville] You started interviewing Mr. Parks

3 I think your testimony was about 1:45, 1:50 a.m.?

4 A. Yes, roughly right around there. Its marked on the  
5 Advisement of Rights sheet.

6 Q. Okay. And that was after you had spoken to Mr.

7 Hayes and didn't receive essentially any information from  
8 him whatsoever.

9 A. Correct. Yes, sir.

10 Q. And you were in contact with Sergeant Horton who had  
11 already pulled over what he described as a third suspect  
12 by the time you started interviewing Mr. Parks?

13 A. Sergeant Horton hadn't pulled him over Officer  
14 Gillespie had pulled him over. Sergeant Horton was out  
15 with him and for whatever reason they thought they had  
16 the third suspect on the traffic stop, yes sir.

17 Q. Let's be clear about that. I don't like the term  
18 for whatever reason. Was some specific reason relayed to  
19 you as to why they believed they thought they had the  
20 third suspect?

21 A. They told me that they had stopped him on a speeding  
22 violation. Again I was at the station. I didn't have a  
23 radio with me; it was over on my desk across the hallway  
24 so I couldn't hear what they were saying or anything. I  
25 didn't have my cell phone in the interview room with me.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 I didn't have any firsthand knowledge as to why they had  
2 stopped him. The call to me basically was hey, we  
3 believe we have the third suspect on a traffic stop. If  
4 your guy is cooperating see if you can get a description  
5 of the third individual involved.

6 Q. Okay. At that particular time when you spoke to  
7 Sergeant Horton they had pulled over a black male for  
8 speeding and had him at the gas station the Exxon on  
9 Coleman Boulevard.

10 A. That's correct, 323 Coleman Boulevard the Scotchman.

11 Q. The -- one of the victims was at the police station  
12 in the same timeframe that you were speaking with Mr.  
13 Parks is that correct?

14 A. I don't know how long he was at the station. Again  
15 they were in the Criminal Investigations Bureau. I was  
16 across the hall. I didn't go and check in with Detective  
17 Decamp and say how's your interview going.

18 I trust that if he needs anything he would come and  
19 get me. And there were officers in the patrol room and I  
20 had them stay with Mr. Parks while I went to the traffic  
21 stop.

22 Q. Okay. But you are aware that a victim was taken to  
23 the police station and gave a written statement?

24 A. I am aware of that yes, sir. I don't know when he  
25 left or when they finished up. I don't have the details

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 on that.

2 Q. Did you use any of the descriptive information  
3 provided by the victim? Did you relay any of that to  
4 Sergeant Horton ---

5 A. --- no, sir.

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. Because I hadn't seen the statement. I hadn't seen  
8 what Mr. Burnett had provided. I didn't. I went solely  
9 on what Mr. Parks was conveying to me.

10 Q. And I think you read my mind Detective Eckert. I  
11 was going to ask you the sole basis for identifying this  
12 third suspect as being involved in this particular armed  
13 robbery were the words of Randi Parks?

14 A. That's correct. I was interviewing what I thought  
15 was an individual who was cooperative and was implicating  
16 himself. He was as you said caught red handed and he was  
17 providing a description of his co-defendants; the people  
18 that were involved in this robbery with him.

19 Q. He was providing -- would it be a fair statement to  
20 say he was providing a description of a person but you  
21 can't say for certain that he was actually providing a  
22 description of the co-defendant?

23 A. He provided me with the description of an individual  
24 that he said participated in this armed robbery.

25 Q. Okay. I just wanted to clarify that that your

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 suspicion that this person was a co-defendant also came  
2 from Randi Parks' words solely.

3 A. And Sergeant Horton who I had a conversation with on  
4 the phone that said we believe we have the third guy on a  
5 traffic stop. And I didn't get into why do you believe  
6 that. I was asked to do something and I did it.

7 Q. Okay. But let's be clear. At the time it would  
8 have been impossible for Sergeant Horton or anybody else  
9 on the scene to have any description of a third suspect  
10 other than a black male. That was the only description  
11 that had been developed at that point.

12 A. No. They had prior to Sergeant Horton coming to  
13 meet with me he had been over in the Wild Wings parking  
14 lot with Mr. Burnett and Detective Decamp and then he had  
15 come to me.

16 Again, like I said I was off the radio. I don't  
17 know what was being said on the radio. I was in an  
18 interview room in a secure location trying to interview  
19 people of this armed robbery.

20 Q. And speaking of radios are transmissions between  
21 officers via police radio are those recorded?

22 A. They are I believe on the main channel. I know we  
23 have alternate channels. I don't know specifically which  
24 -- I know the main channel is recorded yes if that  
25 answers your question ---

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. --- I think it does. Is it standard police  
2 operating procedure to conduct official investigations  
3 communications between officers by cell phone?

4 A. It can happen. You don't want to put all of that  
5 traffic on the radio. There are times when it is easier  
6 to relay information over a cell phone or an alternate  
7 phone source.

8 Q. I think my question was is it policy to use the  
9 radio as opposed to using a cell phone?

10 A. Per policy they want you on the radio as much as  
11 possible if it pertains to an investigation. But again I  
12 didn't have my radio with me.

13 Q. And the purpose of using a radio is precisely  
14 because of what you described. It's being recorded and  
15 it can be listened to later to ascertain the contents of  
16 a particular conversation.

17 A. Certainly.

18 Q. And that absolutely cannot be done on personal cell  
19 phones that are being used.

20 A. Again, I was calling from the police department,  
21 from a landline at the police department. I wasn't  
22 calling from my cell phone.

23 Q. I'm sorry. I thought you testified that you were  
24 communicating by cell phone?

25 A. No, I've never testified to that. I said I made a

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 call from the sergeant's office and that my cell phone  
2 was more than likely on my desk where I keep it when I go  
3 into interviews because I don't want to get interrupted.

4 Q. So the first description that you got from Randi  
5 Parks that you relayed to Sergeant Horton who was on the  
6 scene with Mr. Belt who had been pulled over for speeding  
7 and I believe this is a synopsis of your testimony there  
8 was an individual by the name of "L".

9 A. Uh Huh. I'm sorry; yes.

10 Q. Who was driving a blue truck.

11 A. And then he changed it to a blue or green; I'm  
12 sorry, a bluish green truck or jeep.

13 Q. How long did it take him to change from a bluish  
14 truck to a jeep ---

15 A. --- it was immediately.

16 Q. Okay. And this person had cornrows and an eye  
17 injury?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. Okay. Did not refer to this person by name?

20 A. No.

21 Q. And Mr. Parks also said something about a backpack  
22 that was in the car?

23 A. That was during a second relay of information.

24 Q. Okay. That was during a second phone call between  
25 you and Sergeant Horton?

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Did he say whose backpack it was?
- 3 A. No, he didn't.
- 4 Q. Okay. And then shortly thereafter -- let me back  
5 up I'm sorry. Approximately what time was this that you  
6 were getting this information from Randi Parks?
- 7 A. Around 1:50, 1:55 in the morning.
- 8 Q. Okay. And are you -- do you know what time Mr.  
9 Belt was pulled over for speeding?
- 10 A. From sitting here 1:37 I believe.
- 11 Q. So this interview that you are conducting with Randi  
12 Parks is taking place approximately 20 minutes after the  
13 traffic stop had been initiated. Fair statement?
- 14 A. Right because I had been interviewing someone else  
15 previously and you just kind of work in order.
- 16 Q. Certainly. You went out to the scene shortly  
17 thereafter?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Accurate statement? Okay. And you stated that you  
20 observed a green jeep occupied by a black male driver and  
21 maybe it was cornrows. I gather that you are not  
22 terribly familiar with the concept of cornrows.
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Versus braids or dreads or things of that nature.
- 25 A. Correct.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. You don't seem to be a hair guy. And you also  
2 observed something with the eye?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. Okay. What was it -- do you recall specifically  
5 what it was that you observed on the eye?

6 A. It was some sort of injury and it drew my suspicion.  
7 I even asked him you know you don't like to point things  
8 out about people and I didn't want to make him feel  
9 uncomfortable or if it was bad thing. I asked him hey,  
10 what happened to your eye or something to that context  
11 and he said he didn't want to talk about it.

12 Q. Did you as you were approaching the vehicle to  
13 observe the driver did you have an opportunity to look  
14 through the windows of the car to see -- to check and see  
15 if there was anything in plain view in the vehicle?

16 A. There were times during the stop that I looked in  
17 the vehicle to look and see if there was anything in  
18 plain view.

19 I didn't -- you know watching the video to the best  
20 of my recollection I don't think I did on approach not  
21 like an active traffic stop. I knew they had been out  
22 there with him.

23 Q. Okay. Well how much time passed from the time that  
24 you arrived on the scene until you arrested of Mr. Belt?

25 A. Specifically I want to say it was between 12 -- I

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 mean to the best of my recollection probably 10, 12, 15  
2 minutes something. It wasn't I jumped out of my car and  
3 went and put handcuffs on him. You know I investigated.

4 Q. And following Mr. Belt's arrest and I believe we  
5 kind of glided over this particular topic in your direct  
6 examination following Mr. Belt's arrest his car was  
7 searched correct?

8 A. It was inventoried subsequent to his arrest, yes.

9 Q. Okay. And an inventory is a search? It's an  
10 inventory search?

11 A. An inventory search.

12 Q. Okay. So when I asked if it was searched, your  
13 response would be ---

14 A. --- well I mean we were inventorying it subsequent  
15 to the arrest and I didn't participate in that because I  
16 had to take the guy back to the station but it gets  
17 inventoried so that there is a record as to what is in  
18 the car.

19 Q. But you did after following arresting Mr. Belt you  
20 did actually look in the car ---

21 A. --- I did look in the car, yes.

22 Q. And what did you personally observe when you looked  
23 in the car?

24 A. Nothing that stood out to me. I was looking for  
25 elements of the crime of armed robbery, i.e. a gun. That

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 was the main thing I was looking for was to see if there  
2 was a gun back there knowing that I didn't want a gun on  
3 the street.

4 Q. Was the search that you performed was that before or  
5 after the inventory search took place or was it at the  
6 same time?

7 A. That was before because I was on the scene for a  
8 very short period of time once he was taken into custody  
9 because I wanted to get him back to the station.

10 Q. Okay. So at the time that you were looking for a  
11 gun in the vehicle nothing had been removed from the car  
12 as part of an inventory search ---

13 A. --- to the best of my knowledge yes nothing had been  
14 removed.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. I mean there were several people there and they were  
17 getting started and we were directing them hey, we need  
18 to get this thing towed. So I don't think they had began  
19 the inventory yet.

20 Q. Okay. So fair statement nothing had been removed  
21 from the vehicle at the time that you searched the car  
22 for a gun or some other element of an armed robbery?

23 A. Just so we can make it clear I wasn't in there  
24 maneuvering or manipulating things. It was a quick look  
25 through to see if anything stood out to me as I had one

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 guy who had provided some credible information at that  
2 point from the description of the person that was  
3 involved with him and I wanted to see if there was  
4 anything in plain view that I could use to assist me in  
5 my interview.

6 Q. Okay. And while you were searching the car looking  
7 not manipulating anything, not ripping seats out of the  
8 car or things of that nature but while you're searching  
9 looking for a gun etcetera did you have occasion to  
10 observe any black clothing in the car?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Okay. A black shirt?

13 A. Nothing that I recall, nothing that I noted in the  
14 report, nothing that stands out to me, nothing that ever  
15 got back to the station.

16 Q. A black hoodie?

17 A. No.

18 Q. A black hat?

19 A. No.

20 Q. A black hat with white lettering on it?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Latex gloves?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Okay. You've been present at the trial of this case  
25 correct? As the case agent you sit in on the entire

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 trial.

2 A. And I will continue to be here.

3 Q. So you've heard the testimony that has been provided  
4 in this case by all the witnesses heretofore correct?

5 A. I have.

6 Q. And you've heard Mr. Gillespie testify that he  
7 observed specifically either a black shirt or a black  
8 hooded sweatshirt; I think he kind of went back and forth  
9 between the two, and a black hat with white lettering on  
10 it. Do you recall him testifying?

11 A. I do.

12 Q. In that manner? And I just want to clarify your  
13 testimony is that you saw nothing of the sort?

14 A. None of that stands out to me, no.

15 Q. Okay. And after you made an observation of Mr.  
16 Belt you said you began asking him some general questions  
17 is that correct?

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Okay. Where you going, where you coming from,  
20 etcetera?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Were there more questions than just those where you  
23 going and where are you coming from?

24 A. It was general questions related trying to determine  
25 where he was going you know where he was headed to, where

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 he was coming from, why he was in the area; just basic  
2 general questions trying to figure out why he was in the  
3 area.

4 And at that point I began explaining to him hey,  
5 your name has come up as part of this armed robbery  
6 investigation and I'd like you to voluntarily come to the  
7 station with me.

8 Q. And you don't recall the name of the subdivision  
9 that Mr. Belt provided to you in response to where he was  
10 going?

11 A. I don't, no. I'm sorry.

12 Q. But you do according to your testimony specifically  
13 recall that no such subdivision exists?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Okay. Did -- is it possible that Mr. Belt told you  
16 that he was looking for a subdivision called Shadow  
17 Creek?

18 A. It certainly could be but there is no Shadow Creek  
19 in Mount Pleasant.

20 Q. Okay. There are a lot of neighborhoods in Mount  
21 Pleasant that have the word Creek in them wouldn't you  
22 say? I can come up with about five off the top of my  
23 head.

24 A. I don't know how that ---

25 Q. --- I'll withdraw the question. Is it possible that

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 he told you that he was looking for a subdivision called  
2 Hickory Shadows?

3 A. Hickory Shadows never came out of his mouth, sir.

4 Q. Okay. Very good. Did he tell you that he had  
5 stopped several times asking for directions at gas  
6 stations and asked you to check with the attendants at  
7 the gas station to verify that?

8 A. I don't remember him saying that to me, no sir.

9 Q. Okay. You can't say that he didn't say that you  
10 just don't remember him saying it?

11 A. I don't recall him saying that, no. Could he have  
12 said that to Gillespie when he was on the scene and not  
13 gotten relayed to me? Certainly. But I don't remember  
14 ever hearing that he had stopped at a bunch of gas  
15 stations and to verify it.

16 Q. In your testimony you talked about specifically I  
17 believe the Solicitor asked you about a subdivision  
18 called Hickory Shadows is that right?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. And I'm a little bit confused about your description  
21 of the subdivision Hickory Shadows. You said that it is  
22 nowhere near where Mr. Belt was is that a fair -- is that  
23 what your testimony was?

24 A. It's a good ways up the road. I would say it's  
25 probably four or five miles up the road. You're in the

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Old Village near the water near the Shem Creek Bridge  
2 Hickory Shadows is almost to the interstate where you can  
3 go 17 to go north on 17 over by the old Walmart or you  
4 can get on 526 and can go to the north area. It's like  
5 one of the first subdivisions when you come into Mount  
6 Pleasant right there as you come down 526.

7 Q. If I were to tell you that it is 2.1 miles from the  
8 Exxon on Coleman Boulevard to the entrance of Hickory  
9 Shadows would that surprise you at all?

10 A. I thought -- personally I thought it would be more  
11 than that but if you've mapped it out you've mapped it  
12 out.

13 Q. You heard Detective Decamp's testimony about the  
14 distance between Hickory Shadows and the Exxon on  
15 Coleman Boulevard too did you not?

16 A. Uh Huh.

17 Q. And that testimony was that it was maybe a couple of  
18 miles?

19 A. Maybe perception. Maybe my distance perception is  
20 off; I don't know. I know it's not the next subdivision  
21 over from the Old Village. I do know that and I'm very  
22 familiar with Hickory Shadows. That was one of my  
23 neighborhoods and responsibility when I was on patrol.

24 Q. You're very familiar with Hickory Shadows are you  
25 familiar with the fact that there is a Kangaroo station

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 directly at the entrance to ---

2 A. --- I am and there is a couple of auto body shops  
3 behind there. It was a problem area for Mount Pleasant  
4 in the '90's and there is a great HOA guy that tries to  
5 clean up the neighborhood and regularly cleans the  
6 median. I'm very familiar with Hickory Shadows.

7 Q. I understand. I'm fairly familiar with it too. I  
8 used to deliver pizzas in Mount Pleasant. And you're  
9 familiar with Detective Decamp's testimony that Hickory  
10 Shadows is maybe a couple of minutes drive from the Exxon  
11 on Coleman Boulevard?

12 A. Uh Huh.

13 Q. Okay. To the best of your knowledge where was Mr.  
14 Belt living at the time that he was arrested?

15 A. His driver's license says North Charleston. There  
16 has been testimony that he lived in Columbia. I don't  
17 know where he was laying his head.

18 Q. Not Mount Pleasant though?

19 A. No.

20 Q. You don't have any information on that? Did Mr.  
21 Belt ever tell you that he wasn't familiar with the Mount  
22 Pleasant area?

23 A. He might have but I remember him being adamant that  
24 he was going to this neighborhood and the neighborhood  
25 that he was saying is not in Mount Pleasant. Usually if

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 you're adamant about something the neighborhood is going  
2 to be there.

3 Q. He was adamant about the name of the subdivision he  
4 was looking for but you don't recall it?

5 A. Right.

6 Q. And you didn't include what he told you in any of  
7 your reports did you?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Okay. Does that seem like that would be kind of an  
10 important detail?

11 A. Well I think it's important that I knew that the  
12 neighborhood didn't exist. I mean you can put a name on  
13 anything and I knew firsthand what he was naming is not a  
14 neighborhood in the town of Mount Pleasant.

15 Q. But you said you are very familiar with Hickory  
16 Shadows.

17 A. Uh Huh.

18 Q. So when Mr. Belt told you that he was looking for  
19 Shadow Creek that didn't set off any alarm bell like  
20 maybe he's talking about Hickory Shadows?

21 A. No.

22 Q. And there is that word Shadows. Are there any other  
23 neighborhoods in Mount Pleasant with the word Shadows in  
24 them?

25 A. Nothing that -- the dominant one is Hickory Shadows.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Nothing that's really ringing a bell right now.

2 Q. So you didn't make any leap in logic between Shadow  
3 Creek and Hickory Shadows which is a neighborhood that is  
4 two miles away and a couple of minutes drive away. That  
5 link did not take place at that particular time?

6 A. I don't think it was a leap -- I don't think it  
7 needed to be a leap in logic. He was adamant that he was  
8 going to this neighborhood that I knew didn't exist and  
9 he stuck with that neighborhood.

10 I didn't have a notepad out with me recording  
11 everything he said. It was a very active investigation,  
12 very fluid and no, I didn't write down what he said.

13 Q. Okay. Forgive me if I digress for a moment  
14 Detective Eckert. I think I just heard you say this was  
15 a very active and or fluid investigation on several  
16 occasions so far is that correct?

17 A. Uh Huh.

18 Q. And generally the context of you making such  
19 testimony is to explain why certain evidence was not  
20 collected, written down, investigated; something to that  
21 effect.

22 A. So I guess you're -- if I'm understanding your  
23 question correctly and you can maybe rephrase it if I  
24 didn't I didn't write -- you're asking why I didn't write  
25 it down. I left the station from what I was doing. I

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 didn't have a notepad with me to write it down. I  
2 thought that ---

3 Q. --- a police detective doesn't have a notepad?

4 A. That night I didn't. No, it was at the office with  
5 people that I was interviewing okay. And the reason that  
6 I went out to the scene was to see if we could get some  
7 voluntary compliance and have Mr. Belt come back with us.  
8 And that didn't happen.

9 So no, I didn't have a notepad with me. I was  
10 counting on the in car camera working and it didn't work.  
11 And no, I neglected to write down what neighborhood he  
12 was saying.

13 Q. So you don't have a notepad on your report  
14 statements made by somebody that you believe to be a  
15 suspect in an armed robbery. Your in car camera wasn't  
16 working.

17 A. No, I don't have an in car camera sir.

18 Q. I'm sorry; in fact you didn't have an in car camera  
19 ---

20 A. --- Officer Gillespie's in car camera wasn't  
21 working.

22 Q. Okay. The audio and the audio that Officer  
23 Gillespie was wearing on his belt that wasn't working  
24 either.

25 A. Uh Huh.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

- 1 Q. What else wasn't working that night?
- 2 A. I was working. I was there until 7 in the morning.
- 3 Q. Okay. What non-human things weren't working that
- 4 night other than the things that we've already described?
- 5 A. I think everything else was good.
- 6 Q. Okay. As the case agent in this case you review
- 7 all the materials that are part of the file essentially,
- 8 the police file?
- 9 A. I've looked through the reports, yes sir.
- 10 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the results of the
- 11 inventory search of Mr. Belt's vehicle?
- 12 A. I've looked at the tow sheet, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Is there a gun on that inventory sheet?
- 14 A. No, the gun was recovered the next day.
- 15 Q. Any property belonging to Shane Burnett or Mr.
- 16 McElhenney?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. Okay. Any black clothes, any hooded sweatshirts,
- 19 black hats; things of that nature, latex gloves?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Does the -- when an inventory search is conducted
- 22 it's suppose to be a full inventory. Fair statement?
- 23 A. You know I think it was summed up pretty good
- 24 yesterday items of value. What we would hate to happen
- 25 is somebody have a cell phone or a computer in there and

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 it gets stolen. We're not going to write down like the  
2 example given yesterday a registration card. So it's  
3 items of value. They give you a very small block to  
4 write things in it.

5 Q. Items of value and things that are alleged to be  
6 evidence of a crime?

7 A. We would remove -- there is another block where you  
8 could document what you removed from the vehicle.

9 Q. Okay. And none of the items I was just reciting  
10 and I think we've talked about this before none of those  
11 items that we're reciting none of those were on the tow  
12 sheet?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Does the lack of their presence on that tow sheet in  
15 your mind indicate that those items actually did not  
16 exist or were not present in Mr. Belt's car at the time  
17 that he was arrested?

18 A. Well I didn't participate in the search, inventory  
19 search incident to the arrest. Briefly when I looked in  
20 the things that you are naming I didn't see.

21 For whatever reason the officers I can't say what  
22 they saw. I wasn't there going through it piece by piece  
23 with them. I was back at the station interviewing. They  
24 are not there; I don't know.

25 Q. As part of your job as a detective you review these

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 things and you rely on these documents to give you an  
2 assessment of hey, this is what was found in this car  
3 that night.

4 A. Right. And I also rely on people on the street you  
5 know to help me out; tow workers. I don't know why they  
6 didn't take it. I can't answer that.

7 Q. Did Mr. Gillespie ever tell you specifically that he  
8 saw a black shirt or a black hooded sweatshirt or a black  
9 hat with white lettering on it, latex gloves? Was that  
10 information relayed to you?

11 A. No, I don't remember hearing anything about that but  
12 again I wasn't...

13 Q. What other source would you rely upon in order to  
14 verify that these things actually existed in that place  
15 and time?

16 A. I mean I rely on the officers.

17 Q. Okay. And the officers didn't tell you anything at  
18 all about it.

19 A. I don't remember hearing anything about the gloves,  
20 the hat, the hat with white writing or the sweatshirt;  
21 no.

22 Q. Okay. I believe your testimony was that it is  
23 common for folks to -- it's not common excuse me, not  
24 common for folks to tell the truth in interviews.

25 A. Correct. I mean we always try to get to the 100

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 percent truth but it doesn't happen.

2 Q. And when you are interviewing these folks they're  
3 trying to figure out what you're going to give up and  
4 that sort of thing ---

5 A. --- what evidence we have on them ---

6 Q. --- and I think you used the word half truths also I  
7 think that was a specific word that you used. In  
8 reviewing the first two statements that Mr. Parks gave to  
9 you were you able to -- at the time when you had these  
10 written statements were you able to determine which parts  
11 of those were false, which parts of them were true, and  
12 which parts of them were half-truths?

13 A. Well, I mean I was hoping that he was being  
14 completely honest and forthcoming but clearly he wasn't.

15 Q. Those hopes were dashed fairly quickly. Fair  
16 statement?

17 A. Say again?

18 Q. Those hopes were dashed that he was being honest  
19 with you?

20 A. Well after booking him back into the jail that  
21 second day I mean that was dashed. But again I go back  
22 to there are some truths in those statements; who he was  
23 with, where they were coming from, what brought them to  
24 the area. I mean there was definitely some truth in  
25 those statements.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Let me ask you a philosophical question. How do you  
2 know that those things are true when the rest of the  
3 document I'm guess you're conceding is full of lies? How  
4 are those particular things true and how did you arrive  
5 at that conclusion?

6 A. Because again as I said we determined when we got to  
7 the stop that we have probable cause. Just because you  
8 get probable cause doesn't mean the investigation stops.  
9 The investigation is continuing.

10 When they did their inventory search and found the  
11 backpack there is evidence as to whose backpack that was  
12 okay. Whose name was in that backpack and how they got  
13 down to the Charleston area that the three of them had  
14 rode together.

15 Q. How do you know that?

16 A. Because that's Mr. Hayes backpack, sir.

17 Q. I understand that.

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. And the existence of that backpack tells you that  
20 the three of them rode down from Columbia together?

21 A. Well I'm relying on ---

22 Q. --- proof positive?

23 A. Say again?

24 Q. Proof positive? That's proof positive that they  
25 rode down together ---

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 A. --- I think as we get through all the evidence I  
2 think that it will be seen.

3 Q. Okay. So your opinion is that from today's  
4 perspective you can go back and pull out a one line  
5 statement from Mr. Parks' written statement about a  
6 backpack and you can point to that statement about a  
7 backpack and say well, yes there are some true things in  
8 there.

9 A. No. My opinion is that Randi Parks was scared in  
10 the beginning of this. My opinion is that Randi Parks  
11 was trying to do as much damage control for himself and  
12 for his friends because clearly he didn't give them both  
13 up that first night or that second night.

14 But it wasn't until November when we were on the 4th  
15 floor of the Solicitor's office that he finally gave  
16 everyone up.

17 Q. He didn't give everybody up that first night?

18 A. He called ---

19 Q. --- I'm very confused by that statement.

20 A. He called one of them out. He didn't come out and  
21 say my friends from the neighborhood Darren Keith Belt  
22 was involved in this robbery he used a street name, a  
23 slang name; someone he referred to as "L". And he gave  
24 tidbits of information.

25 Q. But the physical description that you said he

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 provided to you that's not giving somebody up I mean  
2 right off the bat?

3 A. I mean that added to it.

4 Q. Okay. I guess I'll have to take that. So I  
5 understand and I don't want to put words in your mouth  
6 and correct me if I'm wrong it sounds to me like the  
7 parts of Randi Park's statements that you have concluded  
8 are true are all the parts of his statements that are  
9 damaging to Mr. Belt. Would that be a fair statement?

10 A. I would say damaging to himself as well.

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. He's on the hook for this as well.

13 Q. All the parts of Mr. Parks's statements that are not  
14 helpful to the State's case it sounds like you've  
15 determined those are not true.

16 A. There is a lot of things that aren't true in his  
17 statement. I mean he lied to us, yes. He lied. But the  
18 things that never changed were the people involved in  
19 this robbery that was witnessed by an officer that gave  
20 chase and people were taken into custody shortly  
21 thereafter.

22 Q. Okay. So the fact that Randi Parks has called out  
23 "L" Lizard and then six months later Darren Belt given  
24 this description and all this sort of stuff, the fact  
25 that he has been implicating Mr. Belt from the beginning

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 to you is conclusive evidence that he is telling the  
2 truth in that regard?

3 A. And the facts that he picked him out of a lineup at  
4 the Solicitor's office and the facts of other evidence  
5 that you know has been presented in the trial.

6 Q. Let me stop you for just a second. You said that  
7 Mr. Parks picked Mr. Belt out of a lineup at the  
8 Solicitor's office?

9 A. He picked him out of photos, yes.

10 Q. How many photos were shown to Mr. Parks?

11 A. Again, they were you know the Solicitor's office  
12 handled that. I didn't show that.

13 Q. But you were there. I'm not saying you handled it  
14 you were there though, you saw it.

15 A. I believe there was one photo that was shown.

16 Q. One photo?

17 A. Uh Huh.

18 Q. And he said that's the guy?

19 A. Uh Huh.

20 Q. Okay. And I want to be clear. The fact that he  
21 has given this same statement over and over and over and  
22 over again to you means that it's true?

23 A. I feel comfortable leaving here and moving forward  
24 that the part that is true is the players that are  
25 involved in this robbery. Do I know why the robbery

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 happened? No, I wasn't with them when they planned it.

2 I'm not friends of Darren Belt, Randi Parks, or Ricky

3 Hayes. I don't know -- I would hope nobody would ever

4 rob anybody. I don't know why people rob people.

5 Q. I'm sorry, sir. I didn't ask you about why people

6 rob people. The question is it seems that the part that

7 you determined to be true is the part ---

8 MR. ZIEGLER: --- objection, Your Honor ---

9 Q. --- that is helpful to get a conviction for Darren

10 Belt.

11 THE COURT: Please let him finish. Now what is  
12 your objection?

13 MR. ZIEGLER: Asked and answered.

14 THE COURT: Move on Mr. DeTreville. It is asked  
15 and answered. Thank you.

16 MR. DETREVILLE: I'll move on, Your Honor.

17 Q. [Mr. DeTreville] You stated in your testimony that  
18 by the second statement that Mr. Parks had given on May  
19 8th that he was referring to a third suspect no longer as  
20 "L" but as Lizard. Do you recall that testimony?

21 A. I do.

22 Q. When was the last time you looked at that written  
23 statement from May the 8th?

24 A. Probably within the past couple of weeks through  
25 last night.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. If I told you he doesn't refer to anybody as Lizard  
2 in that statement would that give you pause?

3 A. I think there is a point in there where he called  
4 him "L" or Lizard I do believe.

5 Q. Another thing that is not included in any of your  
6 reports which frankly I'm hearing for the very first time  
7 today that I had no idea took place you actually  
8 testified that you drove Randi Parks to the Hickory  
9 Shadows subdivision?

10 A. I did. It's in my second supplement and I can point  
11 it out to you. We talked in depth about going to the  
12 house and he points out a house to me.

13 Q. Okay. If I overlooked it; my fault.

14 A. Yes, it's in there.

15 Q. And please I'm not trying to suggest that you're  
16 hiding anything.

17 A. Because I'm not.

18 Q. Of course not. And in Hickory Shadows on North  
19 Shadow Boulevard is where you went?

20 A. North Shadow Drive, sir.

21 Q. North Shadow Drive; excuse me. He told you that's  
22 where they had been earlier that night.

23 A. He had pointed to the house, yes sir.

24 Q. Okay. And on the evening that Darren Belt was  
25 arrested he gave a statement to Detective Decamp. You

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 heard Detective Decamp testify about what Mr. Belt told  
2 him correct?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. And that very evening he had told Detective Decamp  
5 that he was looking for Shadow Creek or Hickory Shadows  
6 and described exactly where the neighborhood was that he  
7 was looking for.

8 A. Again, that wasn't relayed to me. I was tied up in  
9 interviewing Mr. Parks and completing other paperwork  
10 pertinent to this case.

11 Q. Okay. So you were tied up with Mr. Parks would it  
12 be a fair statement to say that you were tied up with Mr.  
13 Parks because he was singing like a bird and you weren't  
14 that terribly interested in Mr. Belt because he was only  
15 giving information that was helpful to his case.

16 A. No, what was relayed to me was that Mr. Belt wasn't  
17 giving any information that was helpful to this case.  
18 And like I said it's a team concept. I can't be in three  
19 places at once interviewing three different people.

20 We had somebody that we thought was cooperating that  
21 provided some pertinent information and I was working to  
22 capture those facts.

23 Q. So you would not consider it pertinent information  
24 that your actual third suspect was giving verifying  
25 information that was correct about where he was going and

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 what he was looking for?

2 A. It was never relayed to me that he was looking for  
3 Hickory Shadows that night.

4 Q. Was it ever relayed to you that he was looking for a  
5 neighborhood with the word Shadows in it that you turn at  
6 the Kangaroo station on Chuck Dawley Boulevard ---

7 A. --- no, that was never relayed in that much detail  
8 to me, no sir.

9 Q. Okay. So you didn't have an opportunity to review  
10 Mr. Belt's statement from that particular evening that  
11 was given to Detective Decamp?

12 A. By that time Mr. Belt had asked for legal counsel  
13 and I can't speak with him once he invokes his rights.

14 Q. And you didn't discuss those details with Detective  
15 Decamp?

16 A. No because I knew I couldn't speak with him.

17 Q. Speak with who?

18 A. Mr. Belt because he had asked for an attorney.

19 Q. Why would that prevent you from speaking with  
20 Detective Decamp ---

21 A. --- about this case because that's his right.

22 Q. I'm sorry maybe you don't understand my question.  
23 I'm asking you why you didn't talk to Detective Decamp?

24 A. I thought you were asking why I didn't talk to your  
25 client.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. No, I understand asking for a lawyer and things of  
2 that nature. I'm asking why you didn't talk to Detective  
3 Decamp? Weren't y'all working this case together  
4 cooperative; it was a team thing.

5 A. Because we had facts supporting that this armed  
6 robbery occurred and two people were taken into custody  
7 shortly after the robbery. You know Mr. Parks had  
8 provided the description of his third co-defendant.

9 Q. I can't help but come back to this and you correct  
10 me if I'm wrong. It sounds like you continue to testify  
11 that you're placing emphasis on things that were helpful  
12 to your investigation and de-emphasizing things that  
13 didn't fall into the story that you were developing. Is  
14 that fair?

15 MR. ZIEGLER: Objection, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: What's the basis?

17 MR. ZIEGLER: Asked and answered.

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

19 MR. DETREVILLE: If I might have a moment, Your  
20 Honor.

21 THE COURT: You may.

22 [Whereupon, Mr. DeTreville reviews documents]

23 Q. [Mr. DeTreville] You said that on the day after Mr.  
24 Parks was arrested you went to retrieve him from the jail  
25 and take him back to the Mount Pleasant Police Department

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 and interview him again?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. That's the second statement that he gave?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. And it was then that he told you that y'all -- I  
6 guess I'm kind of hearing y'all talk on some personal  
7 level when you're driving and that sort of thing that he  
8 tells you he has a baby, etcetera and those sorts of  
9 things?

10 A. A baby on the way, yes.

11 Q. A baby on the way. And as part of this and I think  
12 I heard the word developing or the words developing a  
13 rapport with Mr. Parks. Fair?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. Okay. And as part of developing a rapport with him  
16 you allowed him to use your cell phone and call his  
17 family on speaker and things of that nature.

18 A. That's correct.

19 Q. Okay. And based on that rapport that you had  
20 developed with Mr. Parks and I want to use your words  
21 exactly based on that rapport you quote felt he was being  
22 honest with you.

23 A. No, I felt that he had been honest with me up until  
24 that point. I knew he was going to be at the jail for a  
25 long time. I didn't know if he had money to put on a

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 phone account. I know it's hard to get calls in the  
2 jail.

3 Q. That's why you were buying him cokes and things of  
4 that nature ---

5 A. --- no, that's called a comfort break.

6 Q. Okay.

7 A. That's a comfort break.

8 Q. Cokes, cigarettes and things of that nature?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. You're fairly liberal with the comfort breaks of Mr.  
11 Parks?

12 A. I think they are documented in the statement we  
13 maybe took three or four comfort breaks over four hours,  
14 five hours time period.

15 Q. And I think and I apologize if I took the words out  
16 of context I think your testimony was that when you were  
17 in the Sally port with Mr. Parks that you did not  
18 maintain a grip on Mr. Parks because quote you felt like  
19 he was being honest with you.

20 A. I didn't expect to happen what happened. I felt  
21 that he had been cooperative and yes, I let my guard  
22 down.

23 Q. Would it be fair to say that based on the totality  
24 of circumstances that at this point you kind of trusted  
25 Mr. Parks. Why else would you have released your grip on

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 him when the Sally port doors had closed?

2 A. No. You know it was my mistake. I know that I  
3 should have had a hand on him when escorting him. And I  
4 think it takes a lot for someone to call out their own  
5 mistakes. I made a mistake and I suffered from it.

6 Q. Wasn't the real mistake trusting Mr. Parks?

7 A. No, the real mistake was not escorting him properly  
8 because had I escorted him properly I wouldn't have been  
9 running out Leeds Avenue chasing this guy down.

10 Q. Okay. And how long did this chase after Mr. Parks  
11 escapes from Charleston County Detention Center in your  
12 custody how long did this chase go on for?

13 A. It was probably three or four minutes, five minutes.

14 Q. And I'm hearing from your testimony that it doesn't  
15 necessarily sound like Mr. Parks voluntarily gave himself  
16 up at the end of this chase.

17 A. No.

18 Q. Okay. But you did hear Mr. Parks testify that he  
19 voluntarily gave himself up at the end of this chase?

20 A. It didn't happen that way.

21 Q. Okay. And you also heard Mr. Parks tell the jury  
22 that you used excessive force on him when you did catch  
23 up.

24 A. I did hear that, yes.

25 Q. Okay. Truthful statement?

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 A. No.

2 Q. Okay.

3 A. And I was investigated for that and I was cleared.

4 Q. Understood. So the agency that investigated that  
5 didn't believe Mr. Parks either?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. And you said when you were chasing him out the main  
8 driveway of this Sally port area you were giving chase  
9 and you were relaying information about what was going on  
10 on the radio?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. Okay. What details did you provide over the radio  
13 about the suspect?

14 A. That I am chasing a guy out the jail, a black male  
15 in a jail jumpsuit and I gave the direction that I was  
16 going. You know just because I'm relaying information  
17 doesn't mean that I get constant free access to the  
18 radio.

19 Other people are chiming in I'm in route to him.  
20 The watch commander called the jail to get him some help  
21 over there. Other people were coming on. The dispatcher  
22 said what's your status. So it's not like I had constant  
23 communication.

24 Q. I understand that that's fine. The information that  
25 you relayed over the radio while you were giving chase to

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 a suspect included a physical description of that suspect  
2 and what the suspect was wearing and the direction that  
3 that suspect was going..

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. Anything else?

6 A. I think that was...

7 Q. Was that essentially the greatest amount of detail  
8 that you could provide over the radio at that time?

9 A. Well different people you know when they are in I  
10 don't want to call it crisis but when they are --  
11 different people react to things differently. I don't  
12 know if I was focused on getting that information out. I  
13 gave as much information as I could.

14 Q. And that's in accord with your training to give as  
15 much information out as you could. You didn't have any  
16 other way of describing Mr. Parks and somebody wearing a  
17 jail jumpsuit if you describe that over the radio that's  
18 probably going to stick out on somebody who is running  
19 down Leeds Avenue. Fair statement?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. Okay. So you gave the best description that you  
22 had available to you at that time?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. You didn't hold any details back?

25 A. No. I mean there really weren't any -- I mean they

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 were kind of cut and dry. There weren't any details to  
2 hold back.

3 Q. And that's in accord with your police training  
4 correct?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. Okay. When you did catch Mr. Parks I think you  
7 said I think your words were the fight was on.

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. And it took another -- it took a retired police  
10 officer who just happened to be driving down the street  
11 stopping and assisting you to fully get Mr. Parks under  
12 control.

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. He was fighting you like crazy?

15 A. Uh Huh.

16 Q. Was -- did you participate in returning Mr. Parks to  
17 the detention center after?

18 A. I did.

19 Q. How long did that process take. How long were you  
20 there processing him in?

21 A. Ten or fifteen minutes.

22 Q. Was Mr. Parks placed on suicide watch while you were  
23 there or after you left?

24 A. They made the decision when we were walking him in  
25 based on his statements that they were going to dress him

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 out for suicide watch.

2 Q. Okay. So we've got somebody who has escaped from  
3 the Detention Center and is placed on suicide watch. Are  
4 those the characteristics of a fairly desperate person?

5 A. Sure.

6 Q. Okay. And desperate people do whatever they can to  
7 help themselves.

8 A. Sure.

9 Q. You testified that you were present and I think we  
10 talked about it earlier in the interview that was  
11 conducted on Mr. Parks at the Solicitor's office on  
12 November of 2013.

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. Okay. And you testified that he gave you a sincere  
15 apology.

16 A. He did.

17 Q. Okay. How do you know it was a sincere apology?

18 A. I mean just the tone that he used and what he was  
19 saying to me.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. I mean as I going to trust him ---

22 Q. --- that's my next question.

23 A. Am I going to go visit him in the jail? No. I mean  
24 this whole thing was a huge wake up to me. It's what  
25 you're trained for. And yes I did wrong by not escorting

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 him properly.

2 Q. And that's okay. We've heard you admit  
3 responsibility for that and that's fine; things happen.  
4 But you would never trust Mr. Parks again would you?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Okay. Should the jury trust Mr. Parks?

7 A. I think they should based on the -- again I go back  
8 to ---

9 MR. ZIEGLER: --- objection, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Sustained. Ladies and gentlemen please  
11 disregard that last statement. Ladies and gentlemen I  
12 think we've reached a good point for a comfort break. So  
13 please remember all my admonitions. Do not begin  
14 discussing this case. Take your note pads or leave them  
15 and let us know Mr. Shine when you are ready to come back  
16 in. Please rise for the jury.

17 [Whereupon, the jury exits at 11:32 a.m.]

18 THE COURT: Thank you. Detective you may step  
19 down. Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone.

20 [Whereupon, the witness exits the witness stand]

21 THE COURT: Anything before we take our comfort  
22 break.

23 MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing from the defense, Your  
24 Honor.

25 THE COURT: I went ahead and gave them lunch orders

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 so just plan accordingly when they come. Make sure you  
2 have your witnesses ready. We'll go until lunch is  
3 delivered at least about the same time as yesterday.  
4 Thank you. We're at ease.

5 MR. DETREVILLE: And for information Judge I'm very  
6 close to being done with this witness.

7 THE COURT: I understand but we still have five or  
8 six more witnesses.

9 MR. DETREVILLE: I understand.

10 THE COURT: We will be ending fairly close to 5  
11 today. I cannot stay later than that.

12 [Whereupon, court is in recess from 11:32 a.m. until  
13 11:43 a.m.]

14 THE COURT: Thank you. Anything before we bring  
15 in the jury Mr. Ziegler?

16 MR. ZIEGLER: No, Your Honor.

17 MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing, Your Honor.

18 [Whereupon, Detective Eckert resumes the witness  
19 stand]

20 THE COURT: I'll remind you you are still under  
21 oath. Bring in the jury.

22 [Whereupon, the jury enters at 11:44 a.m.]

23 THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated. Mr. DeTreville  
24 you may continue.

25 MR. DETREVILLE: Thank you, Your Honor.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION (resumes)

2 BY MR. DETREVILLE:

3 Q. You testified in your direct testimony Detective  
4 Eckert that no lineup or show up or anything of that  
5 nature was conducted on the evening of these arrests  
6 because of and I may be paraphrasing logistical issues it  
7 just couldn't be done at 1 o'clock in the morning.

8 A. For the lineup aspect logistical, for the show up  
9 again I said very suggestive in nature and you know  
10 talking to the seasoned supervisor, and when I say  
11 seasoned I mean one with more experience on the street  
12 than me he didn't think it would be a good idea; really  
13 didn't and I think I explained as to why.

14 Q. Okay. And in your previous testimony you stated  
15 that Mr. Parks was shown a single photograph of Mr. Belt  
16 and asked to identify him. Was that very suggestive  
17 also?

18 A. Again, that was done -- I didn't do that. I was  
19 present.

20 Q. You were present.

21 A. When that was done. You know our policy at the  
22 police department is we show six photos.

23 Q. Okay. Your policy at the police department is six  
24 photos but that doesn't apply apparently in the  
25 Solicitor's office to the best of your knowledge from

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 what you've observed?

2 A. I don't know what the Solicitor's office policies  
3 and procedures are. I'm not privy to their policy  
4 manual, no sir.

5 Q. And I think your testimony also you continued on to  
6 say that no lineup was conducted the next day either with  
7 an attempt to get the victims to identify the suspects.

8 A. That's because the media got a hold of it before we  
9 could. I went home and went to sleep and the media had  
10 gotten a hold of it and put their pictures out. So again  
11 no, we didn't do a lineup.

12 Q. Okay. So did the victims tell you that they saw  
13 the media coverage or are you aware of the fact that they  
14 saw the media coverage?

15 A. I am aware of that. The next day when I got back  
16 into the office there was talk that it was on the Post  
17 and Courier and that names were mentioned. That was  
18 brought to my attention. So you can't show a lineup if  
19 that ---

20 Q. --- mug shots too right?

21 A. I'm not -- I would assume so. I hate to assume but  
22 that's the only way where they would get the pictures.

23 Q. I wouldn't ask you this if -- did you hear Mr.  
24 Burnett's testimony that he observed the mug shots on the  
25 news media?

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Are you satisfied then that he saw mug shots the  
3 very next morning ---

4 MR. ZIEGLER: --- objection, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: What's your basis?

6 MR. ZIEGLER: Speculation.

7 THE COURT: Sustained.

8 Q. [Mr. DeTreville] Your testimony you went on to say  
9 that with the victims having seen media reports before  
10 you could do a lineup i.e. the very next morning and I  
11 put this in quotes and tell me if I misquoted you, your  
12 testimony was of course they would be able to identify  
13 the suspects.

14 A. Well, I mean if they had seen their photo, yes  
15 especially when we're getting the same photos from  
16 Charleston County. That's who we get our lineups from.  
17 The media goes to bond court, the media gets pictures  
18 from the jail. And that's unfortunately what sells. You  
19 know that's the news.

20 Q. So they've seen mug shots and in your stated opinion  
21 seeing those mug shots would make it inevitable that they  
22 could identify these three suspects in a lineup?

23 A. I think it would taint a lineup, yes.

24 Q. Okay. Would it taint their testimony at trial?

25 MR. ZIEGLER: Objection, Your Honor, speculation.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2 MR. DETREVILLE: If I could have just a moment,  
3 Your Honor?

4 THE COURT: You may.

5 [Whereupon, Mr. DeTreville reviews documents]

6 Q. [Mr. DeTreville] Thank you, detective. I don't have  
7 any other questions.

8 A. Thank you, sir.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Ziegler?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Redirect Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ZIEGLER:

Q. Detective, the gas station that was referred to regarding surveillance videos where was that in relation to the robbery incident?

A. The robbery incident occurred in front of the farmer's market. The gas station is not directly across from the farmer's market; it's more up the road. So I mean if -- it more shoots at an angle, the gas station more at an angle as to where it occurred at.

And again cameras are set up to get the property not across five lanes of traffic 20 yards of a football field or whatever the distance may be. I've worked cases there where I've looked at that video before.

Q. Is the gas station on the same side of the road as the incident location?

A. No, they were on the northbound side. They were walking north up Coleman Boulevard. The gas station is on the southbound side.

Q. And how wide is the Coleman Boulevard?

A. It's five lanes, lanes about 10 foot in width and there are four of those. There is an unprotected median so it's a good distance.

Q. Is the gas station in your knowledge is that a private business?

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Redirect Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 A. That is a private business, yes.

2 Q. Detective, is it possible to capture every contact  
3 you have with other officers in an investigation is it  
4 possible to capture all that on your radio?

5 A. No. It's clearly not possible. There are times  
6 when radios get left in cars. You know I don't have a  
7 fancy police radio in my car.

8 I have one radio; it's a Walkie Talkie and I'm  
9 expected to take that everywhere I go and if I'm in the  
10 car -- you know in this case I left it on my desk. I  
11 wanted quiet and time to talk about what had occurred.

12 Q. The defense asked you about the defendant's  
13 statement about a neighborhood Shadows and whether you  
14 talked to Detective Decamp about what that neighborhood  
15 was what the name that was given. And that would have  
16 been on May 7th, 2013?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. And when did you learn from Randi Parks that they  
19 had been in a neighborhood called Hickory Shadows before  
20 the robbery and they were on Shadow Drive when did you  
21 learn that?

22 A. It wasn't until May 8th as we were finishing up the  
23 interview before going back to the jail around 2 o'clock  
24 that afternoon.

25 Q. So did you know that information the night of the

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Redirect Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 robbery?

2 A. No.

3 Q. In the interview of Mr. Parks at the Solicitor's  
4 office from your knowledge when he was shown photos of  
5 the individuals participating in the robbery when he was  
6 shown those had he provided the names of those  
7 individuals, the real names of those individuals at that  
8 point?

9 A. To the best of my knowledge yes, he had.

10 Q. When you are interviewing a witness, a suspect,  
11 anybody do you want to make them uncomfortable? Do you  
12 want to deprive them of things that food and drink and  
13 bathroom?

14 A. If I did that they would shut down and you'd get  
15 nowhere. You try to make them as comfortable as you can  
16 when people are cooperating we work with them and the  
17 little things are what make people comfortable.

18 Q. And even if someone is not cooperating is it proper  
19 to make them uncomfortable?

20 A. No, you still don't want to make them uncomfortable.  
21 Everyone has their rights and they are protected by their  
22 rights and they don't have to talk.

23 He was talking and he was being cooperative. If he  
24 wouldn't have been talking I wouldn't have had to give  
25 comfort breaks because I would have returned him to the

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Redirect Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 jail.

2 Q. In Mr. Parks's first statement to you the night of  
3 the robbery did he admit to being the gunman?

4 A. No, he did not.

5 Q. Did he say "L" was involved in the robbery?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Did he say his cousin Lamont was involved in the  
8 robbery?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And in your second statement, his second statement  
11 his second interview with him on May 8th did he admit to  
12 being the gunman at that point?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Did he state that "L" was involved in the robbery?

15 A. He did.

16 Q. Did he state that his cousin Lamont was involved in  
17 the robbery?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And in the later third interview in the Solicitor's  
20 office did Randi Parks admit to being the gunman?

21 A. He did.

22 Q. Did he make any contradictions about who was  
23 involved with him at that point?

24 A. Still the same, "L", Darren Keith Belt; "L" and  
25 Ricky Lamont Hayes his cousin.

State v Darren Belt  
Daniel Eckert-Redirect Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. So what was -- is it fair to say that the thing that  
2 changed would be Mr. Parks taking responsibility?

3 A. Yes, he was ---

4 MR. DETREVILLE: --- objection, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 MR. ZIEGLER: No further questions of this witness,  
7 Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Mr. DeTreville?

9 MR. DETREVILLE: No additional questions, Your  
10 Honor.

11 THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you.

12 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 [Whereupon, the witness is excused and exits the  
14 witness stand]

15 THE COURT: Call your next witness.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. MAYNOR: The State calls Lieutenant Hembree.  
2 [Whereupon, Lieutenant Hembree comes forward]  
3 [Whereupon, the witness is duly sworn by the Clerk  
4 of Court]  
5 CLERK OF COURT: State your full name and spell  
6 your last name for the record.  
7 THE WITNESS: Lieutenant Justin Hembree, Mount  
8 Pleasant Police Department, H-E-M-B-R-E-E.  
9 - - - - -  
10 JUSTIN HEMBREE,  
11 Having been first duly sworn,  
12 Was examined and testified as follows:  
13 DIRECT EXAMINATION  
14 BY MR. MAYNOR:  
15 Q. Good morning Lieutenant Hembree how are you?  
16 A. Good sir, how are you?  
17 Q. Can you tell me a little bit about what you do?  
18 A. Yes, sir. I currently supervise the Criminal  
19 Investigation Bureau for the police department.  
20 Q. Which police department is that?  
21 A. Mount Pleasant.  
22 Q. How long have you been working with Mount Pleasant  
23 Police Department?  
24 A. Since February of 2002.  
25 Q. Were you working back in 2013?

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. What was your position then?

3 A. The supervisor of the Investigations Bureau.

4 Q. And if you're a supervisor of the Investigations  
5 Bureau what kind of duties are you tasked with?

6 A. Managing the detectives in the office, case  
7 management, giving direction and advice if it's  
8 necessary, also collateral duties and victim advocates  
9 and warrants division and some other stuff.

10 Q. Were you working on May 7th, 2013?

11 A. I was.

12 Q. What time did you get into work that morning?

13 A. I don't remember, usually around 8 or 8:30 somewhere  
14 in that ballpark.

15 Q. And when you got to work were you briefed on any  
16 incidents that happened maybe the night before?

17 A. Yes, sir. The way our office is structured is I  
18 would get a phone call if any detectives are activated  
19 day or night.

20 So I get a phone call the evening before that there  
21 was an armed robbery interrupted in progress and  
22 detective Corporal Eckert responded as one of the  
23 supervisors in the office so the next morning I was  
24 already aware of it when I got to work.

25 Q. And when you got there what did you take over that

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 morning? What was the first thing you wanted to do when  
2 you sort of learned that there was an armed robbery that  
3 happened the night before?

4 A. Well I knew that there were suspects in custody and  
5 I knew that there had been certain things done. I like  
6 to make a list of any items that have been covered and  
7 then things that needed to be covered.

8 Q. What -- can you tell the jury what those things were  
9 that you were still looking for?

10 A. Yes, sir. Detective Lapp who was a detective in the  
11 office at the time she advised me that a phone had been  
12 taken during the robbery. She called the number on the  
13 phone and that person answered and we needed to go meet  
14 with that person.

15 Q. Did you go meet with that person?

16 A. Yes sir, that morning it was May the 7th. So the  
17 robbery was interrupted at a little after midnight on the  
18 7th so this is 8 o'clock that same day, 8 o'clock in the  
19 morning. I get to work 8 or 8:30 and this is around  
20 10:45 she tells me this part.

21 We go down to the city of Charleston. I don't  
22 remember the exact street but we met with a gentleman  
23 Kenneth Ford. Mr. Ford had answered the phone call from  
24 Detective Lapp and he was in possession of the phone so  
25 we went down and met with him.

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Whose phone was that?

2 A. It was one of the victims of the robbery. I don't  
3 recall his name.

4 Q. How did y'all identify that was the phone of the  
5 victim?

6 A. The phone number provided by the victim and the  
7 description of the phone and obviously the victim gives  
8 us that phone number and the detective calls the phone  
9 number and the guy answers it.

10 Q. So you just went and picked it up from Mr. Ford?

11 A. Yes, sir. We spoke to him at length. He didn't  
12 give any indication that he was involved in any way. He  
13 was extremely cooperative, very forthcoming.

14 He met us and that's not something that a criminal  
15 involved in the robbery would have probably done. He  
16 gave a likely story of how he located the phone and he  
17 made several attempts to return it to its rightful owner.

18 Q. And once you recovered the phone what did you do  
19 then?

20 A. So we recovered the phone, we returned back over to  
21 Mount Pleasant. I had been told that the night of the  
22 incident was very dark outside and so we decided to go  
23 over to the area where the suspects were located and  
24 canvass on foot; just kind of a commonality of  
25 investigations so we could go over. We walked the area.

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 We park on Hamp Fludd Street. Detective Lapp was  
2 driving. We get out of the car, and I'm going to use my  
3 hands, we get out of the car she parks just shy of a  
4 Chandler Construction dump truck. I had the knowledge  
5 already that one of the suspects had been located behind  
6 the dump truck.

7 I walked directly behind the dump truck probably  
8 less than a minute timeframe. I looked down beside a  
9 fence that parallels the dump truck and there is a  
10 revolver up against the fence.

11 Q. Let me back up a little bit. Is Hamp Fludd that  
12 road -- what neighborhood is that in?

13 A. It's in the Old Village of Mount Pleasant.

14 Q. Okay. So when you came back to the Old Village in  
15 Mount Pleasant you understood that to be the area where  
16 the armed robbery sort of ---

17 A. --- well it occurred in a different location. I  
18 knew from the briefing that I had that the subjects had  
19 fled that direction. It was my understanding they  
20 intercepted one subject walking.

21 In a patrol of the immediate area where the subjects  
22 had last been seen they found another gentleman whose  
23 feet were sticking out behind the dump truck. That's how  
24 they located him. Then I knew they had had other folks  
25 in custody.

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Was that the same dump truck that he was hiding  
2 under was the same one that you saw that morning?

3 A. That was the way I understood it, yes sir.

4 MR. MAYNOR: May I approach the witness, Your  
5 Honor?

6 THE COURT: You may.

7 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Lieutenant Hembree I'm going to show  
8 you some photos. Will you take a look at these for me?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 [Whereupon, the witness is shown photographs]

11 Q. I'm handing the witness what has been marked as  
12 State's exhibits -- I'll give you the numbers in just a  
13 second. Lieutenant Hembree do you recognize those  
14 photos?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. What are those photos of?

17 A. This [indicates] is the dump truck that is parked  
18 along Hamp Fludd Road and then the pictures that follow  
19 are of a white Styrofoam plate and I can explain that.  
20 So I walk over behind the truck and I see this gun and  
21 it's a black revolver ---

22 THE COURT: --- hold on one second. Could I just  
23 for my recordkeeping and for the record what exhibit  
24 numbers ---

25 MR. MAYNOR: --- let me give you the exhibit

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 numbers; I apologize. There are quite a few of them.  
2 It's State's exhibit 24, 25, 26, 28, and 29 for  
3 identification purposes only at this point.

4 THE COURT: Have you shown them to Mr. DeTreville?

5 MR. MAYNOR: Yes, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Thank you.

7 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Would you continue telling me how you  
8 recognize those photos just briefly. Are those photos  
9 consistent with what you were ---

10 A. --- yes, sir. So again we parked just shy of this  
11 dump truck that is on the side of the road, walk up to  
12 the back of the dump truck where I understood one of the  
13 subjects had been located.

14 I look up against the wooden fence that parallels  
15 it, there is a black revolver sitting almost up against  
16 the fence. It had a wooden handle. We had only been on  
17 scene like a minute literally when I see it. So I see it  
18 there, it starts to sprinkle rain.

19 I look around and I see a paper plate. I don't  
20 likely believe a paper plate has been involved in the  
21 robbery so I pick it up, it's like a Styrofoam plate and  
22 I pick it up and sit it over the top of the gun so that  
23 the rain wouldn't destroy any of the evidence. I  
24 notified my supervisor at the time and then crime scene  
25 was notified and they came to retrieve it.

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Are those pictures a true and accurate depiction of  
2 the scene that morning when you got there?

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. And the same with the picture of the gun and the  
5 Styrofoam plate behind the truck?

6 A. Yes sir, we never moved the gun.

7 MR. MAYNOR: Your Honor, the State would request to  
8 move State's exhibit into evidence. That's number 24,  
9 25, 26, 28, and 29.

10 THE COURT: Any objection?

11 MR. DETREVILLE: No, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: State's 24, 25, 26, 28, and 29 into  
13 evidence without objection. You may publish.

14 MR. MAYNOR: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 [Whereupon, State's exhibit number 24, 25, 26, 28,  
16 and 29 are entered into evidence by the court]

17 MR. MAYNOR: Thank you, Your Honor.

18 Q. [Mr. Maynor] I know Lieutenant Hembree you were  
19 describing those as I asked you but would you walk us  
20 through kind of what this is right here [indicates]  
21 first? What is this a picture of?

22 A. That's the road that I was describing earlier. The  
23 female on the right side, that's Detective Lapp. That  
24 Impala that is just behind the dump truck that's the car  
25 we arrived in so when we arrived on scene everything

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 would look just like this minus the people that you see  
2 in the picture and the Tahoe that was parking there; that  
3 is crime scene's vehicle.

4 So when we arrived it was just the dump truck and  
5 this other piece of construction equipment on the side of  
6 the road. The gun was located up against the fence right  
7 behind that dump truck on the driver's side.

8 Q. The same dump truck as you understood where one of  
9 the suspects was found?

10 A. Yes sir, that's the way I understood it, yes sir.

11 Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as  
12 State's exhibit 25. Can you describe what you viewed in  
13 this picture too?

14 A. Yes, sir. It's kind of hard to see but there is a  
15 small round paper plate and depending on the order of  
16 your photos but that would be where the gun was and the  
17 plate was placed over the -- I placed the plate over the  
18 gun.

19 Q. And what's that large metal object up in the top  
20 right of that screen there?

21 A. That's the rear side of the dump truck, the bed.

22 Q. I'm going to show you State's exhibit 26. Is that  
23 the Styrofoam plate that you were talking about?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. And what's under that?

State v Darren Belt

Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor

September 17, 2015

1 A. The revolver, the little handgun.

2 Q. And so you walked up and you were searching for this  
3 gun and you found it and it started to rain?

4 A. Yes, sir. This is almost immediate. I'd like to  
5 take credit for like this lengthy investigative thing  
6 that I did to find the gun. I walk up to the back of the  
7 truck and there was a gun laying there. It was just  
8 right out in the open.

9 Q. And State's exhibit 28 could you point out what you  
10 see in this picture?

11 A. Yes. You can see the cylinder of the recover right  
12 there mixed in with the leaves.

13 Q. State's exhibit 29 is that the same revolver?

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. And when you found the gun and put the Styrofoam  
16 plate over it to cover it from the rain what did you and  
17 Detective Lapp do after that?

18 A. Detective Lapp walked up the roadway to speak to one  
19 of the construction workers about the truck. We were  
20 trying to determine how the phone would have been found  
21 on the side of the road a ways away from the scene and  
22 she was covering that angle.

23 I stayed with the plate and the gun to make sure  
24 that -- there are folks that walk through the area and  
25 stuff and I wanted to make sure it didn't disappear.

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

- 1 Q. And did you contact crime scene?
- 2 A. I contacted my boss and I believe my boss contacted  
3 crime scene.
- 4 Q. And who came out from crime scene?
- 5 A. Angie Mitchell, Officer Angie Mitchell.
- 6 MR. MAYNOR: Beg the court's indulgence.
- 7 [Whereupon, Mr. Maynor and Mr. Ziegler confer]
- 8 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Have you heard of McGrath Darby Road?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Do you know where that is?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. Is that in Mount Pleasant?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Can you describe where McGrath Darby Road is in  
15 relation to where you found that gun?
- 16 A. It's probably a mile away or more.
- 17 Q. Is that south, north, east, west?
- 18 A. It would be south of where the gun was located.
- 19 Q. Is that towards the city of Charleston?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And when you met with Kenneth Ford about the phone  
22 did you have any indication that he was involved in this?
- 23 A. Not a bit. He had made some attempts by his  
24 explanation made some attempts to call the numbers on the  
25 phone to see if he could find the owner. He was

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 cooperative, polite; he wasn't nervous, no heavy  
2 breathing or anything that would indicate that he was  
3 cautious about talking to us. He described finding the  
4 phone off of the side of the road. It was in a couple of  
5 pieces.

6 The battery had come out of it, which was consistent  
7 with it having fallen or being thrown. And then it was  
8 my understanding through speaking with Detective Lapp on  
9 scene where this gun was recovered that that construction  
10 truck had been moved at some point ---

11 MR. DETREVILLE: --- objection, Your Honor.  
12 Hearsay.

13 THE COURT: Is there an exception Mr. Maynor?

14 MR. MAYNOR: No Your Honor, there is not.

15 THE COURT: Please rephrase your question so as not  
16 to elicit hearsay. Thank you.

17 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Lieutenant I don't have any further  
18 questions at this time. I'd appreciate you answering any  
19 questions Mr. DeTreville asks.

20 THE COURT: Mr. DeTreville. Thank you.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. DETREVILLE:

3 Q. Good afternoon, Lieutenant.

4 A. Hey, sir.

5 Q. I'll be very brief. Thank you for your testimony.

6 We saw the photographs of the crime scene and in

7 particular there was one that showed I believe the back

8 end of the dump truck was a steel plate sort of in the

9 middle of the picture. I think your testimony was the

10 gun was located underneath that plate?

11 A. No, sir.

12 Q. I'm sorry. I misunderstood about the plate.

13 A. It was at the backside of the truck. The truck has

14 an overhanging bed and it was up against the fence on

15 that side at the rear of the truck on the bed.

16 Q. That plate was just there?

17 A. Assumably, yes sir. I didn't put it there.

18 Q. You'd didn't maneuver that or anything. I

19 understand now. In that particular photograph the gun

20 was actually closer to the truck than the steel plate

21 was?

22 A. The gun was closer to the fence.

23 Q. Closer to the fence?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. I didn't hear you sort of quantify the distance. I

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

- 1 heard that it was very close and it took you maybe a  
2 minute, maybe even seconds to locate this gun. About how  
3 far away from this dump truck was the gun?
- 4 A. From the truck?
- 5 Q. Yes?
- 6 A. Feet.
- 7 Q. Steps?
- 8 A. Yes, a few steps. I'm not entirely sure sir; I  
9 apologize.
- 10 Q. No that's fine ---
- 11 A. --- it was very close -- very close proximity.
- 12 Q. Maybe what we might call spitting distance?
- 13 A. Sure.
- 14 Q. Okay. And you didn't collect the gun or process  
15 the gun or anything like that?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. That was crime scene?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Officer Mitchell that you referred to previously?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. The fence. That fence that is along that dump truck  
22 along there how would you describe the quality of that  
23 fence?
- 24 A. I didn't ---
- 25 Q. --- is it beat up?

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 A. Is it what?

2 Q. Is it beat up?

3 A. I don't recall; I apologize. I didn't pay that much  
4 attention to it.

5 Q. That's fine. Is it a tall fence or a short fence?

6 A. I'd have to look at the pictures again; I apologize.

7 Q. That's fine. I'll withdraw the question.

8 MR. DETREVILLE: Thank you, Judge. No other  
9 questions.

10 THE COURT: Mr. Maynor redirect?

11 MR. MAYNOR: Yes, Your Honor.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Justin Hembree-Redirect Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

## 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. MAYNOR:

3 Q. I just want to ask you a little bit more about the  
4 Styrofoam plate that you found. Do you remember where  
5 that was before you picked it up?6 A. It was around the area. There is just trash and  
7 stuff in this area. Obviously things that would have  
8 been carried by a possible suspect we look for masks,  
9 gloves, they might discard a cigarette, things might fall  
10 out of their pockets; obviously a gun, but a Styrofoam  
11 plate not likely.12 And I didn't want anything to ruin it. I can't  
13 remember if I got it off the ground nearby or if it was  
14 10 feet away I don't remember.

15 Q. You didn't pick up the gun did you?

16 A. No, I didn't touch it.

17 MR. MAYNOR: No further questions of this witness,  
18 Your Honor.

19 MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.

21 [Whereupon, the witness is excused and exits the  
22 witness stand]23 THE COURT: Call your next witness.  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Angela Mitchell-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. MAYNOR: The State calls Officer Angie  
2 Mitchell.

3 [Whereupon, Officer Mitchell comes forward]

4 [Whereupon, the witness is duly sworn by the Clerk  
5 of Court]

6 CLERK OF COURT: State your full name and spell  
7 your last name for the record.

8 THE WITNESS: Angela Mitchell, M-I-T-C-H-E-L-L.

9 - - - - -

10 ANGELA MITCHELL,

11 Having been first duly sworn,

12 Was examined and testified as follows:

13 DIRECT EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. MAYNOR:

15 Q. Good afternoon Officer Mitchell.

16 A. Good morning.

17 Q. Can you tell the jury what you do?

18 A. I am a crime scene investigator and evidence  
19 technician with the town of Mount Pleasant.

20 Q. How long have you been doing crime scene tech?

21 A. It's been about nine years now. I've been with the  
22 town since 2000 but I've been doing that since 2006.

23 Q. Can you explain part of the training you might have  
24 taken as part of your duties?

25 A. I've had various training, a lot of it on the job

State v Darren Belt  
Angela Mitchell-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 training. I've had crime scene training through the  
2 academy, through different agencies that go over basic  
3 crime scene.

4 Then we have a little more in depth crime scene  
5 classes that I've gone to, photography, D-N-A collection  
6 class; just different things like that, several different  
7 classes.

8 Q. Part of that might be collecting evidence?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Were you working on May 7th, 2013?

11 A. I was.

12 Q. Were you called out to Hamp Fludd Road in Mount  
13 Pleasant?

14 A. I was.

15 Q. Did you know anything about the case before you were  
16 called out there?

17 A. I basically knew there had been an armed robbery the  
18 night before. I don't really get a whole lot of the  
19 details when -- it happened and I wasn't on call that  
20 night so I didn't respond.

21 . Actually I was out there but those items weren't  
22 found that night; they were found the next morning. So I  
23 knew that there had been an armed robbery that had taken  
24 place but that's pretty much it.

25 Q. So what items are you referring to?

State v Darren Belt  
Angela Mitchell-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 A. The firearm.

2 Q. So when you made out to Hamp Fludd Road in Mount  
3 Pleasant what were you tasked with doing that day?

4 A. I was tasked with taking photographs of the evidence  
5 that the detectives had found that morning and collecting  
6 those items and packaging them.

7 MR. MAYNOR: May I approach the witness, Your  
8 Honor?

9 THE COURT: You may.

10 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Ms. Mitchell I'm showing you what has  
11 been marked as State's exhibit 30 for identification  
12 purposes. Will you take a look at that?

13 [Whereupon, the witness is shown exhibit]

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Can you tell me what that is?

16 A. This is a photograph of the firearm that was  
17 recovered that day.

18 Q. Is that your hand in the picture?

19 A. Yes, that's my hand.

20 Q. Had that gun been moved when you found it?

21 A. No, it had not been moved. A Styrofoam plate had  
22 been placed over the top of it; it was starting to rain.  
23 But it hadn't been moved ---

24 Q. --- did you take that picture?

25 A. Yes.

State v Darren Belt  
Angela Mitchell-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. MAYNOR: Your Honor, the State requests to move  
2 State's exhibit 30 into evidence.

3 MR. DETREVILLE: Without objection, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: State's 30 into evidence without  
5 objection. You may publish.

6 [Whereupon, State's exhibit number 30 is entered  
7 into evidence by the court]

8 Q. [Mr. Maynor] So just again would you mind describing  
9 that to the jury what you found.

10 A. That is the firearm. What I did -- I had taken a  
11 couple of other pictures of it before that one but that's  
12 just me opening the gun up so that I could see if there  
13 is any ammunition in it and as you can see there are four  
14 bullets in the revolver and I just took a picture of it.

15 Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as  
16 State's exhibit 33. Is this that same gun?

17 [Whereupon, the witness is shown exhibit]

18 A. It is.

19 Q. Did you collect this gun?

20 A. I did.

21 Q. And did you empty out those bullets as well?

22 A. I did.

23 MR. MAYNOR: May I approach the witness, Your  
24 Honor?

25 THE COURT: You may.

State v Darren Belt  
Angela Mitchell-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. [Mr. Maynor] I'm going to hand you what has been  
2 marked for identification purposes as State's exhibit 34.  
3 Will you take a look at those?

4 [Whereupon, the witness is shown exhibit]

5 Q. What are those?

6 A. These are the four bullets that were taken out of  
7 that gun, the gun right there [indicates]. They are .38  
8 special rounds.

9 Q. And did you mark those?

10 A. I did.

11 Q. And put in that evidence bag?

12 A. I did.

13 MR. MAYNOR: Your Honor, the State requests to move  
14 State's exhibit 34 into evidence.

15 THE COURT: Any objection?

16 MR. DETREVILLE: No objection, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: State's 34 into evidence without  
18 objection.

19 [Whereupon, State's exhibit number 34 is entered  
20 into evidence by the court]

21 MR. MAYNOR: May I publish this to the jury?

22 THE COURT: You may.

23 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Now Ms. Mitchell when you collected the  
24 gun and those bullets what did you do with them?

25 A. What I would have done was take the gun back to the

State v Darren Belt  
Angela Mitchell-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 Mount Pleasant Police Department and process it for  
2 fingerprints, D-N-A and that kind of thing, look for any  
3 kind of trace evidence we would possibly find on there.

4 With that gun I swabbed the trigger and I believe it  
5 was the hammer for any kind of touch D-N-A that would be  
6 found.

7 Q. What were the results of your analysis?

8 A. They were inconclusive through SLED. SLED is the  
9 one who processes what I've done with it. I just take a  
10 swab with sterile water and swab the hammer and the  
11 trigger.

12 Those are two likely places that somebody would have  
13 touched that firearm and then those swabs in turn go to  
14 SLED and they do a chemical -- they do the analysis on  
15 that.

16 Q. Can you explain to the jury that swab analysis?  
17 What type of fingerprints one might need or why there  
18 might not be any D-N-A?

19 A. I'm not a D-N-A expert by any means. I can just  
20 tell you by experience we haven't -- with touch D-N-A we  
21 get very few results back if it's from touch D-N-A ---

22 MR. DETREVILLE: --- Your Honor, I would object to  
23 this line of questioning. I think that the witness is  
24 saying she is not an expert in this field; it's  
25 scientific.

State v Darren Belt  
Angela Mitchell-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2 Q. [Mr. Maynor] But you did send off your swab sample  
3 and other forensic analysis off to SLED ---

4 A. --- I did ---

5 Q. --- for testing and they came back ---

6 A. --- they came back negative, inconclusive; no  
7 results.

8 MR. MAYNOR: Beg the court's indulgence.

9 THE COURT: Take your time.

10 [Whereupon, Mr. Maynor views documents]

11 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Ms. Mitchell, thank you. I have no  
12 further questions. Please answer any that Mr. DeTreville  
13 has.

14 MR. DETREVILLE: I have no questions for this  
15 witness, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.

17 [Whereupon, the witness is excused and exits the  
18 witness stand]

19 THE COURT: Call your next witness.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. ZIEGLER: The State calls Kenneth Ford.  
2 [Whereupon, Mr. Ford comes forward]  
3 [Whereupon, the witness is duly sworn by the Clerk  
4 of Court]

5 CLERK OF COURT: State your full name and then  
6 spell your last name.

7 THE WITNESS: My name is Kenneth Ford. My last  
8 name is spelled F-O-R-D.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Ziegler?

10 - - - - -

11 KENNETH FORD,

12 Having been first duly sworn,

13 Was examined and testified as follows:

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. ZIEGLER:

16 Q. Good afternoon Mr. Ford.

17 A. How you doing.

18 Q. Thank you for coming today.

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. What were you doing today otherwise?

21 A. I was supposed to be working but I came here.

22 Q. Okay. What's your work?

23 A. I'm a painter.

24 Q. How long have you been doing that?

25 A. About 32 years.

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. How old are you?

2 A. I'm 63.

3 Q. Have you always lived in Charleston?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. Generally what part of time do you live in?

6 A. I live on Lawrence Street. That's by Mitchell Park  
7 in that area off of Fishburne.

8 Q. Is that downtown?

9 A. It's the city.

10 Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the events that we  
11 are here about today and what you did on May 7th, 2013?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. On May 7th, the morning of May 7th, 2013 what were  
14 you doing?

15 A. I was heading over to Mount Pleasant. I rode the  
16 bus over because I was supposed to be catching a ride to  
17 go out to a subdivision called Saw Grass. We were  
18 painting over there.

19 Q. So you were going to work?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. And what about the bus? Where were you going to  
22 catch that?

23 A. I caught the bus as soon as we got across the bridge  
24 on to the right the first traffic light I got off  
25 approximately right there.

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Is that like near Patriot's Point?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. Okay. So there is a gas station ---

4 A. --- there's a gas station on the right hand side  
5 right there.

6 Q. And that's like at the base of the bridge?

7 A. When you come across the bridge it would be on the  
8 right that's the bus stop.

9 Q. And that's Coleman Boulevard?

10 A. I believe so.

11 Q. So when you got to the bus station at that  
12 intersection there what did you do?

13 A. We crossed the road me and a young lady because I  
14 wasn't familiar with the area and she was showing me how  
15 to get back to 17 which is where I could catch the ride  
16 at.

17 We crossed the road and came and discovered the cell  
18 phone on the ground and I thought somebody might have  
19 lost it off a truck or whatever so I picked it up. I  
20 walked a few feet further and then there was this battery  
21 and I inserted it and the phone came on.

22 Q. Okay. So when you saw the phone there it was in  
23 two pieces you said?

24 A. Yes sir, they were separate.

25 Q. And where were those pieces in relation to each

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 other and where were they on the road?

2 A. Well when I -- one was by the curb almost by the  
3 grass and when I went up and walked a little further --  
4 the first part was like grassy and then I turned to the  
5 sidewalk and when I got to the sidewalk there was the  
6 battery there of the phone I would say about six or seven  
7 feet away from it.

8 Q. Okay. So the actual phone part was that on the  
9 grass or was that in the road ---

10 A. --- that was right by the curb it's near grassy area  
11 right by the curb and I saw it and I picked it up. Then  
12 we went -- me and the young lady was continuing to walk  
13 toward the little road that comes across there and goes  
14 out to 17 then that's when I saw the battery and I picked  
15 it up and I put inside it.

16 Q. Okay. And what did you do then once you put the  
17 battery in the phone.

18 Q. Well I started to make some calls to see who it  
19 belonged to. One call went through and I believe the  
20 lady said that was her son's phone or something like  
21 this.

22 I gave her my information of what my telephone  
23 number was and my address and then she said well, that's  
24 my son's phone. And later on I got a call from the  
25 police department from Mount Pleasant and they asked me

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 about it and all like that. I told them and they came  
2 around and recovered the phone and I gave it to them.

3 Q. So where were you when they came to get the phone?

4 A. I was at home. I had gotten home.

5 Q. And when they -- did the police come downtown to  
6 you?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And when they got there did they speak to you?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. And did you speak to them?

11 A. Yes, I told them how I recovered the phone and where  
12 it was located and stuff like that.

13 Q. And so did they take the phone and you handed it  
14 back ---

15 A. --- I handed it over to them and haven't seen it  
16 since.

17 Q. I want to show you a photo.

18 MR. ZIEGLER: May I approach the witness, Your  
19 Honor?

20 THE COURT: You may.

21 Q. [Mr. Ziegler] Mr. Ford, do you recognize that photo?

22 [Whereupon, the witness is shown photograph]

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. Generally what is that?

25 A. This is about the area I see it. We came across the

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 street here [indicates] and crossed the road here  
2 [indicates] and about right in there [indicates]  
3 somewhere the phone was here [indicates] and then we  
4 walked here [indicates] and this is the area the battery  
5 was there.

6 Q. Is that an accurate picture of the area from what  
7 you remember it?

8 A. It's close to it. I remember the wall being here  
9 [indicates] and this is where we turned to go down that  
10 road there [indicates].

11 MR. ZIEGLER: Your Honor, the State moves to admit  
12 State's exhibit 31 into evidence.

13 THE COURT: Any objection?

14 MR. DETREVILLE: None, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: State's exhibit 31 into evidence  
16 without objection.

17 [Whereupon, State's exhibit number 31 is entered  
18 into evidence by the court]

19 MR. ZIEGLER: May I publish?

20 THE COURT: You may.

21 Q. [Mr. Ziegler] As you understand it Mr. Ford what is  
22 this road [indicates]?

23 A. That's Coleman there isn't it?

24 Q. Is that what you understand it to be?

25 A. Yes, sir. And we was coming down that's just the

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 road the bus comes on when it comes across the bridge.

2 Q. Okay.

3 A. When you cross the Ravenel -- on that road right  
4 there and then the road comes with the traffic light  
5 right there [indicates] that's the one I had to go over  
6 to get to 17 to catch my ride.

7 Q. So you -- had you crossed the street, Coleman?

8 A. We crossed here [indicates] you see where the corner  
9 is here [indicates] where the sidewalk is?

10 Q. Yes.

11 A. Okay. We crossed in that general area right there  
12 because traffic wasn't coming at that time me and the  
13 young lady she was giving me directions.

14 MR. ZIEGLER: Your Honor, may I have the witness  
15 come to the projector to show some things on the photo?

16 THE COURT: I'm concerned we're not going to be  
17 able to hear him. If you could be of assistance then I  
18 think you can accomplish what you need to accomplish.

19 MR. ZIEGLER: Thank you.

20 Q. [Mr. Ziegler] So Mr. Ford where was it in this photo  
21 that you located the battery and the phone?

22 A. Okay. Do you see where the double sign is over the  
23 sidewalk there, the double sign on the sidewalk?

24 Q. The street sign there [indicates]?

25 A. Yes, sir. Just before we got there behind that sign

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 right there by that curve right behind that sign there  
2 [indicates] there was a telephone. And then we went up a  
3 little further when you get past the palm tree you see  
4 the palm tree?

5 Q. Yes.

6 A. The battery was in that general area right there.

7 Q. Near that palm tree?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Was it in the grassy area?

10 A. It wasn't on the sidewalk. It was right in the  
11 little grassy area there [indicates].

12 Q. And then the phone was?

13 A. It was by the curb, close to the curb here  
14 [indicates] before you got to it. But they were  
15 separate.

16 Q. Okay.

17 MR. ZIEGLER: No further questions of this witness,  
18 Your Honor.

19 MR. DETREVILLE: Briefly, Your Honor.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. DETREVILLE:

Q. Mr. Ford, thank you for coming today. Very, very briefly are you familiar with the Mount Pleasant area?

A. Well, I wasn't at that time because I was riding the bus to get to work. On that morning I had to catch the bus over there and had to get back to 17 to catch a ride to come to 526 side in order for me to get up to Saw Grass I had to catch the guy who I was working with. He would pick me up there and we rode down to Saw Grass. So I wasn't familiar with the area, no.

Q. So you weren't that familiar with Mount Pleasant then. Do you feel more familiar with it now?

A. Yes, sir. I've been over here several times since then.

Q. Okay. Do you know where the Old Village is, the neighborhood of Old Village?

A. No, I'm not familiar with it.

Q. Do you know where Shem Creek is?

A. That's when you go further down Coleman Boulevard and it comes to a little bridge right there where the boats be at?

Q. Exactly.

A. That I'm familiar with, yes sir.

Q. You're familiar with Shem Creek?

State v Darren Belt  
Kenneth Ford-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 A. I've seen it before.

2 Q. Do you know about how far away Shem Creek is from  
3 where you found the cell phone?

4 A. I couldn't give you an exact distance. I'd say  
5 about quarter mile, two mile, a mile, something like a  
6 quarter of mile.

7 Q. A quarter mile to two miles?

8 A. Sir, I can't give you a definite distance.

9 Q. That's fine.

10 MR. DETREVILLE: No further questions of this  
11 witness, Your Honor.

12 MR. ZIEGLER: No redirect, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Ford, thank you for  
14 coming. You're free to go. Thank you.

15 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

16 [Whereupon, the witness is excused and exits the  
17 witness stand]

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen there is a matter  
2 I must take up outside your presence unrelated to this  
3 case. I am chief administrative judge for the 9th  
4 circuit so there is a brief matter I must take up outside  
5 your presence. It will be just a few moments so take  
6 your comfort break because we're just going to continue  
7 again until our lunch comes. Please rise for the jury.

8 [Whereupon, the jury exits at 12:33 p.m.]

9 THE COURT: Thank you. Just a few moments. It's  
10 a matter unrelated to this case. Thank you.

11 [Whereupon, court is in recess from 12:33 until  
12 12:43 p.m.]

13 THE COURT: Anything before we bring in the jury?

14 MR. ZIEGLER: Your Honor, we may -- we do have an  
15 issue with evidence that the State intends to introduce  
16 with jail calls. It will be not this next witness but...

17 THE COURT: Well we're at a break. Let's go ahead  
18 -- it makes sense to do it now since we could have done  
19 this Tuesday?

20 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, Your Honor. But I didn't  
21 anticipate ---

22 THE COURT: --- you didn't anticipate the issues?

23 MR. ZIEGLER: No.

24 THE COURT: What's the issue then?

25 MR. ZIEGLER: The State doesn't necessarily have an

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 issue it just plans to introduce clips of a jail call.

2 THE COURT: All right. And you have an issue  
3 then?

4 MR. DETREVILLE: I guess it might be my issue,  
5 Judge.

6 THE COURT: All right.

7 MR. DETREVILLE: I would just want the entire audio  
8 played if we're going to play clips.

9 THE COURT: How long is it? He's entitled to do  
10 that?

11 MR. DETREVILLE: Its 13 minutes.

12 THE COURT: That was an easy one. We'll play it  
13 all. With that being said is there any reason we can't  
14 play it all?

15 MR. ZIEGLER: From my listening there is a part  
16 where the defendant says that he recently got out, which  
17 I interpreted meant he got out of jail so that could be  
18 an issue for the defense.

19 MR. DETREVILLE: I'll be totally honest with you  
20 Judge. I didn't hear that. It's not the easiest thing  
21 in the world to listen to begin with. I didn't hear it  
22 and I don't think he had just gotten out of jail anyway.

23 THE COURT: Has he been in custody this entire  
24 time?

25 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes.

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. DETREVILLE: Since ---

2 THE COURT: --- so you were saying he had just  
3 gotten out from a previous time?

4 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, and then ended up back in jail.

5 MR. DETREVILLE: I don't think he had been in any  
6 sort of ---

7 THE COURT: --- just take a moment to talk to your  
8 client about what may or may not have been contained on  
9 that. If you need a few moments because once we play it  
10 then I think ---

11 MR. DETREVILLE: --- we can't be unplayed.

12 THE COURT: Then I think you may waive any  
13 objection you have to that.

14 MR. DETREVILLE: Certainly Your Honor, I'll be very  
15 brief.

16 [Whereupon, Mr. DeTreville confers with the  
17 defendant]

18 MR. DETREVILLE: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I think in  
19 discussing the matter with my client there is a  
20 possibility that statement is on the recording. Again, I  
21 didn't catch it Judge but my client had been in a half-  
22 way house not long prior to this incident so it is a  
23 possibility that he is referring to that half-way house.  
24 So I think out of an abundance of caution we would  
25 certainly want that particular portion not played.

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: Can you redact only that statement or  
2 that portion?

3 MR. ZIEGLER: Your Honor, I think we could play  
4 around it but I would like further clarification whether  
5 the State is required to play the entire recording? I  
6 would prefer to play clips that we intend to use against  
7 the defendant.

8 THE COURT: How are you getting the jail tape in?

9 MR. ZIEGLER: Through Officer Sherbine, the jail  
10 the custodian.

11 THE COURT: What will happen is you play the part  
12 that you want and then you would just play the part that  
13 you want. Or you could have no objection based upon my  
14 previous ruling and you could play it all at the same  
15 time. It's a matter of semantics and I understand why  
16 you may not want to do it. So do you wish to go forward  
17 and just play the portion you want and then we'll play  
18 whatever portion you want with the understanding ---

19 MR. DETREVILLE: --- at that point Judge I would  
20 probably want to play the whole thing from start to  
21 finish as a matter of -- I mean it's the context that is  
22 important not losing the meaning of the words based on  
23 context at that point.

24 THE COURT: With the same statement. ---

25 MR. DETREVILLE: --- without the ---

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: --- without that so not in its entirety  
2 because now it's never going to be played in its  
3 entirety.

4 MR. DETREVILLE: Understood, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: So Mr. Ziegler you will play yours and  
6 then you can play the entirety with the redacted portion.  
7 All right? Great.

8 [Whereupon, Mr. Ziegler and Mr. DeTreville confer]

9 MR. DETREVILLE: Your Honor?

10 THE COURT: Yes?

11 MR. DETREVILLE: As a housekeeping matter would it  
12 be better for us to go find that statement exactly where  
13 it is on the disk now before the jury comes in?

14 THE COURT: Well, I would have thought -- you've  
15 had more than enough time to get this taken care of so  
16 let's hope you know where it is. Please rise for the  
17 jury.

18 [Whereupon, the jury enters at 12:50]

19 THE COURT: Mr. Ziegler, call your next witness.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Jeremy Able-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. ZIEGLER: The State calls Mr. Jeremy Able.

2 [Whereupon, Mr. Able comes forward]

3 [Whereupon, the witness is duly sworn by the Clerk  
4 of Court]

5 CLERK OF COURT: State your full name and spell  
6 your last for the record.

7 THE WITNESS: Jeremy Ryan Able, A-B-L-E.

8 - - - - -  
9 JEREMY ABLE,

10 Having been first duly sworn,

11 Was examined and testified as follows:

12 DIRECT EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. ZIEGLER:

14 Q. Good afternoon Mr. Able.

15 A. Good afternoon, sir.

16 Q. How are you today?

17 A. Doing well, thank you.

18 Q. Did you travel here today?

19 A. I did.

20 Q. Where from?

21 A. I travelled from Greenwood, South Carolina.

22 Q. Thank you for doing that. Where are you employed?

23 A. I'm employed with Chandler Construction Services and  
24 that's based out of Greenwood, South Carolina.

25 Q. And have you ever worked in Charleston for Chandler?

State v Darren Belt  
Jeremy Able-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 A. Yes sir, I have. We have half of our company is  
2 located in Charleston.

3 Q. And when was that that you worked in Charleston  
4 generally?

5 A. It was approximately three years ago to  
6 approximately a year and a half ago.

7 Q. And are you familiar with an incident that has been  
8 investigated on May 7th, 2013?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. And on May 7th 2013 were you working in Charleston  
11 around that time?

12 A. I was. We were working in Mount Pleasant in the  
13 Charleston area.

14 Q. What kind of project were you working on?

15 A. We were working in the Old Village in Mount Pleasant  
16 working on installing new water lines and sewer lines in  
17 the area known as the Old Village in Mount Pleasant.

18 Q. And so what were your responsibilities for the  
19 company, for Chandler at the time?

20 A. At that time when I was in Charleston I was driving  
21 a crew truck for the company and any assorted equipment  
22 operator or truck driving responsibilities at that time.

23 Q. And did you operate one of those vehicles on May  
24 7th, 2013?

25 A. I did. I operated a couple different vehicles on

State v Darren Belt  
Jeremy Able-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 that day actually.

2 Q. What were those?

3 A. I operated a crew truck, which I drove from our shop  
4 which is located in Jedburg. I drove it from Jedburg to  
5 the Old Village in Mount Pleasant. And then when I got  
6 to Mount Pleasant that morning I drove a flat bed dump  
7 truck that we have also that day.

8 Q. Mr. Able, I'm publishing State's exhibit number 24.  
9 Does that appear to be the dump truck you drove that day?

10 A. Yes sir, it is.

11 Q. And is that approximately where it was located when  
12 you operated it?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. Approximately what time on May 7th, 2013 did you  
15 first drive this truck on the photo?

16 A. It probably would have been around 8 o'clock. We  
17 normally get to a job site around 7 every morning so when  
18 I would have got to the job site in the crew truck I  
19 would have parked it, got in that truck, checked it out  
20 before I would have left with it around probably 8  
21 o'clock or so.

22 Q. About 8 a.m.?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. And just to be clear for the jury which truck in  
25 that photo are we discussing here that you drove?

State v Darren Belt

Jeremy Able-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler

September 17, 2015

1 A. It's the one kind of right of center in the picture.  
2 It's red and yellow. You can kind of barely see a "C" on  
3 the door written in red with the yellow outline with the  
4 black dump bed on it.

5 Q. Okay. Where did you drive it that morning May 7th?

6 A. That morning I was going to North Charleston. I was  
7 more than likely going to pick up asphalt that morning in  
8 North Charleston.

9 Q. And do you know the route that you took to get  
10 there?

11 A. I probably would have taken considering we were on  
12 that end of the Old Village we were working in the entire  
13 Old Village.

14 So that being the end closer to the Ravenel Bridge I  
15 would have probably taken the Ravenel bridge to get to  
16 North Charleston via 17 and Interstate 26.

17 Q. And to get to the Ravenel Bridge do you remember  
18 like what main road it would be to get there? Would it  
19 have been Coleman Boulevard does that sound familiar?

20 A. Yes, it would have. Yes. From the direction the  
21 truck was pointing and facing there I would have  
22 basically drove straight probably a couple hundred yards,  
23 turned right and then made another left and then turned  
24 left onto Coleman Boulevard.

25 Q. And then have you driven that route before?

State v Darren Belt  
Jeremy Able-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 A. Yes, sir. That was the way we would normally go to  
2 go to North Charleston if we were on that side of the Old  
3 Village.

4 Q. And were there times when you also took 526 to get  
5 to North Charleston?

6 A. Yes, sir. We would occasionally depending on where  
7 we were going in North Charleston we may end up taking  
8 Coleman down to 526 and going that way as well.

9 Q. After you drove to North Charleston about what time  
10 did you return the truck to the place where you got it?

11 A. Yes, sir. I would have returned the truck to that  
12 general area. I would have probably went and dumped the  
13 asphalt that we had and then brought the truck back to  
14 right there. That's where the truck normally always  
15 staged. It was normally there most every night.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. And that would have been if I picked up asphalt at 8  
18 and dumped it back in Mount Pleasant I probably would  
19 have gotten back around 10ish.

20 Q. 10 a.m.?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. And did you park the truck near the place where you  
23 picked it up?

24 A. Yes, sir. I think so. I don't recall parking it  
25 anywhere else.

State v Darren Belt  
Jeremy Able-Direct Examination by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. ZIEGLER: No further questions of this witness,  
2 Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Mr. DeTreville?

4 MR. DETREVILLE: Thank you, Your Honor.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Jeremy Able-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION  
2 BY MR. DETREVILLE:  
3 Q. Good afternoon, sir.  
4 A. Good afternoon.  
5 Q. Very briefly Mr. Able.  
6 A. Yes, sir.  
7 Q. Do I understand correctly that you're not 100  
8 percent sure which direction you drove that truck in that  
9 particular morning?  
10 A. That's correct. I don't know 100 percent. I can't  
11 remember.  
12 Q. You could have taken a left out of the Old Village  
13 and gone the Ravenel bridge route or you could have taken  
14 a right and gone down 526. Either way you sort of end up  
15 in the same place right?  
16 A. Correct. There is only ---  
17 Q. --- it probably depends on traffic or something of  
18 that nature?  
19 A. Correct.  
20 Q. So you're not -- 50/50?  
21 A. I'd say so.  
22 Q. That looks like a comfortable truck. Is it a smooth  
23 ride?  
24 A. Define comfortable sir.  
25 Q. It bounces enough to put you to sleep?

State v Darren Belt  
Jeremy Able-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 A. I fall asleep easily I don't know. I wouldn't say  
2 that.

3 Q. I understand. It's not a smooth ride is it?

4 A. No, it's not.

5 Q. It bounces you around pretty good?

6 A. It does.

7 Q. Okay. And you operate this kind of machinery and  
8 big trucks and all sorts of stuff ---

9 A. --- yes, sir.

10 Q. Is this one smoother than other things or is this  
11 rougher than other things in your estimation?

12 A. It's just as rough as any other vehicle of that  
13 size. Like you said they are certainly not made to ride  
14 smoothly and they do not.

15 Q. It's not a Cadillac?

16 A. No, sir; by no means.

17 Q. Thank you Mr. Able. Have a good trip back.

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 MR. DETREVILLE: No further questions of this  
20 witness, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Redirect? Hold on.

22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

23 MR. ZIEGLER: Nothing further, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. Now you can leave. Thank  
25 you.

State v Darren Belt  
Jeremy Able-Cross-Examination by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 THE WITNESS: Are you sure?

2 THE COURT: I'm sure. Feel free to stay with us.

3 THE WITNESS: I think y'all can handle it.

4 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

5 [Whereupon, the witness is excused and exits the  
6 witness stand]

7 THE COURT: Call your next witness.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Kevan Sherbine-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. MAYNOR: The State calls Officer Kevan  
2 Sherbine.

3 [Whereupon, Officer Sherbine comes forward]

4 [Whereupon, the witness is duly sworn by the Clerk  
5 of Court]

6 CLERK OF COURT: State your full name and spell your  
7 last for the record.

8 THE WITNESS: Kevan Sherbine, S-H-E-R-B-I-N-E.

9 - - - - -

10 KEVAN SHERBINE,

11 Having been first duly sworn,

12 Was examined and testified as follows:

13 DIRECT EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. MAYNOR:

15 Q. Good afternoon, Officer Sherbine.

16 A. Hey.

17 Q. Can you tell the jury where you work?

18 A. I work at the Sheriff Al Cannon Detention Center.

19 Q. Is that commonly known as the Charleston County  
20 jail?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. How long have you been employed there?

23 A. About four and a half years.

24 Q. And can you explain your duties?

25 A. I'm the professional visitation officer; I'm the

State v Darren Belt  
Kevan Sherbine-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 main point of contact between the inmates and their  
2 attorneys, other professional visitors. I do about 90  
3 percent of the subpoenas that are served on the jail. I  
4 also help the regular lobby officers with their day to  
5 day duties.

6 Q. Do you receive subpoenas for jail calls for inmates?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Can you describe to the jury what you do when you  
9 get one of those subpoenas?

10 A. When I get a subpoena I look for what it is asking  
11 for; a specific parameter in terms of dates, the specific  
12 inmate. Once I have that information I enter it into our  
13 internal database.

14 It pulls all of the phone calls that that inmate  
15 would have made within that specific timeframe. I print  
16 a hard copy and then I burn the audio to a disk. I label  
17 both and put them in an envelope, seal it, and call the  
18 agency that requested it.

19 Q. Can you also briefly explain the PIN numbers given  
20 to inmates?

21 A. Inmates are assigned a unique PIN number when they  
22 come into the booking process they are assigned that  
23 number. When they are fingerprinted and photographed  
24 that unique PIN number is used when they make phone  
25 calls. It's how their phone calls are tracked.

State v Darren Belt  
Kevan Sherbine-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Did you receive a subpoena regarding this case?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. For who?

4 A. For Darren Belt I believe.

5 Q. And were they for his jail calls?

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. MAYNOR: May I approach the witness, Your  
8 Honor?

9 THE COURT: You may.

10 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Officer Sherbine I'm handing you what  
11 has been marked as State's exhibit 35 for identification  
12 purposes. Will you take a look at that? You can pull it  
13 out if you'd like to.

14 [Whereupon, the witness is shown exhibit]

15 Q. Can you explain what this is?

16 A. This is an audio C.D. of inmate phone calls with the  
17 inmate's name, number, and dates on it.

18 Q. Is that disk in the same format which you would have  
19 responded to a subpoena for jail calls?

20 A. It is, yes.

21 Q. Is that the same format you'd use in the ordinary  
22 course of your business?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Is that your handwriting on there?

25 A. It is, yes.

State v Darren Belt  
Kevan Sherbine-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. Is that your name on there?

2 A. It is.

3 Q. And what was the date range for that request?

4 A. May 7th, 2013 through June 7th, 2013.

5 Q. Is that disk in the same condition as you saw it  
6 last time?

7 A. There is an additional little bit of writing on it  
8 but yes.

9 MR. MAYNOR: Your Honor, the State moves to admit  
10 State's exhibit 35 into evidence.

11 THE COURT: Any objection?

12 MR. DETREVILLE: No objection, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: State's 35 into evidence without  
14 objection.

15 [Whereupon, State's exhibit number 35 is entered  
16 into evidence by the court]

17 MR. MAYNOR: Permission to publish this to the  
18 jury?

19 THE COURT: You may.

20 Q. [Mr. Maynor] If you'll bear with me Officer Sherbine  
21 we're going to play a little bit of this jail call.

22 MR. MAYNOR: Beg the court's indulgence.

23 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Officer Sherbine if you're looking at  
24 the screen on one of these jail calls can you tell when  
25 it was recorded, when that jail call was recorded?

State v Darren Belt  
Kevan Sherbine-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Can you take a look at that jail call and tell us  
3 what the date was when this particular jail call was  
4 recorded?

5 A. May 7, 2013.

6 Q. The approximately time?

7 A. 13:47 which would be about 1:47 in the afternoon.

8 Q. And that was May 7, 2013?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Thank you.

11 [Whereupon, the video plays]

12 THE COURT: Just so the record is clear when you  
13 begin to play if you'll note what time that you begin to  
14 play and what time you end play for the record.

15 MR. MAYNOR: Yes, Your Honor. We are beginning  
16 this portion of the jail call at 7:52, the 7:52 minute  
17 mark on that May 7th call. And the prior two portions  
18 were played at the 25th second, the first section in the  
19 25th second and the second portion was at one minute and  
20 one second. Now we're on the third section which  
21 commences at 7:52.

22 [Whereupon, the video plays]

23 MR. MAYNOR: This next portion of the tape will  
24 start at the 13th minute and ten seconds, 13:10.

25 [Whereupon, the video plays]

State v Darren Belt  
Kevan Sherbine-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 Q. [Mr. Maynor] Officer Sherbine I'm sorry you had to  
2 sit through that. That's all the questions the State has  
3 for you. Please answer anything that Mr. DeTreville has.

4 THE COURT: Mr. DeTreville?

5 MR. DETREVILLE: Your Honor, may I approach very  
6 briefly?

7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Maynor?

8 [Whereupon, an off the record bench conference is  
9 held]

10 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen your sandwiches  
11 have arrived so we're going to go ahead and let you have  
12 your lunch. We'll do it about the same way that we did  
13 yesterday. So Mr. Shine if you'll just -- and y'all can  
14 utilize your cell phones during your lunch hour, you can  
15 take them in. Please remember all of my admonitions.  
16 Please do not do any independent research. Do not begin  
17 deciding any issue in this case. Please take your  
18 notepads with you. We're going to be doing some other  
19 things in here as well during your lunch break. Please  
20 rise for the jury.

21 [Whereupon, the jury exits at 1:15 p.m.]

22 THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated. You may step  
23 down. We're going to be about 30 minutes so stay in  
24 touch. The Solicitor will tell you when to come back all  
25 right?

State v Darren Belt  
Kevan Sherbine-Direct Examination by Mr. Maynor  
September 17, 2015

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

2 THE COURT: They are going to take their lunch  
3 break so you're going to need your lunch break. So it's  
4 going to be very short.

5 [Whereupon, the witness is excused and exits the  
6 witness stand]

7 THE COURT: Just come up here and let me go over  
8 the charges with because we're close to that right?

9 MR. DETREVILLE: From our perspective yes, Your  
10 Honor.

11 THE COURT: Let me so I can have the charge -- Mr.  
12 Belt please stand. Please swear Mr. Belt.

13 [Whereupon, the defendant is duly sworn by the Clerk  
14 of Court]

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: Mr. Belt, what I understand of where we  
2 are is we have one cross-examination of Mr. Sherbine and  
3 then the State is going to rest isn't that correct?

4 MR. ZIEGLER: That's correct, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: So we are about 13 minutes of trial  
6 testimony away from the State resting. At that point you  
7 will have an opportunity to present your defense. Did  
8 you have an opportunity to speak with your attorney or  
9 whomever concerning what I advised you last evening?

10 MR. BELT: Yes, I did.

11 THE COURT: Have you made a decision whether or not  
12 you will testify?

13 MR. BELT: Yes, I did.

14 THE COURT: And what is that decision?

15 MR. BELT: I'm not.

16 THE COURT: All right. And Mr. DeTreville you  
17 have gone over that with your client and advised him of  
18 the benefits and the consequences of that decision?

19 MR. DETREVILLE: I have indeed, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. So I included -- you may  
21 have a seat Mr. Belt. Thank you so much.

22 [Whereupon, the defendant is seated]

23 THE COURT: I always include the failure of the  
24 defendant to testify just because it's easier to take it  
25 out than put it in. Mr. Belt, you can go have lunch.

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 I'm just going to go over charges with your attorney all  
2 right. Let's give him 30 minutes; 2 o'clock; that's a  
3 good 30.

4 [Whereupon, court is in recess from 1:17 p.m. until  
5 2:09 p.m.]

6 THE COURT: Thank you. Please come look at the  
7 verdict form. Mr. Sherbine you can come take the stand.  
8 Thank you.

9 [Whereupon, Mr. Sherbine resumes the witness stand]

10 [Whereupon, an off the record bench conference is  
11 held]

12 THE COURT: So let's put all this on the record.  
13 Are you -- just because procedurally I understand this is  
14 the State's last witness so if you're not going to call  
15 -- if you don't have any questions are you done? You  
16 have cross-examination?

17 MR. DETREVILLE: I will offer no questions for this  
18 witness, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: All right. So he may step down right?

20 MR. DETREVILLE: Certainly.

21 THE COURT: So you may step down. You are free to  
22 go.

23 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

24 [Whereupon, the witness is excused and exits the  
25 witness stand]

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: Then with that Mr. Ziegler?

2 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, Your Honor. The State rests.

3 Can we do that in front of the jury?

4 THE COURT: I will allow you to do that in front of  
5 the jury but we have a lot of housekeeping that we can  
6 get done so I don't have to keep bringing them in and  
7 out. So I'll allow you to do that in front of the jury.  
8 And with that Mr. DeTreville do you have any motions or  
9 matters?

10 MR. DETREVILLE: One matter of law, Your Honor.  
11 The defense would move for -- the State has rested on the  
12 record Your Honor is that satisfactory?

13 THE COURT: Correct. Then again reserving I  
14 always allow you to go through and make sure at the close  
15 of both the State's and the defendant's case to make sure  
16 that all the evidence that you think has been admitted is  
17 properly admitted. So that would be the only other  
18 housekeeping matter.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Motion for Directed Verdict-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. DETREVILLE: Your Honor, the defense would move  
2 for a directed verdict in this matter. We would ask that  
3 the court pass judgment on whether there has been  
4 competent evidence presented in this matter that would --  
5 the existence of competent evidence that would allow a  
6 jury to find the defendant guilty in this case.

7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ziegler?  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Motion for Directed Verdict-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. ZIEGLER: Thank you, Your Honor. The State has  
2 presented a number of pieces of evidence. The victims  
3 have testified that a robbery did occur on May 7th, 2013.  
4 They testified that there were three individuals and that  
5 property was taken from them. That a gun was presented  
6 by the individuals and that when the police arrived the  
7 individuals ran away.

8 There were three individuals later located one of  
9 them had the victim's property on his person. That that  
10 individual implicated others, two other people in the  
11 robbery and that individual has testified indicating that  
12 the defendant participated with him in this robbery. The  
13 State has presented facts that would support  
14 corroboration of that as far as the defendant's proximity  
15 to the robbery located when located and connection was  
16 shown to two other individuals who were allegedly  
17 participating in the robbery.

18 THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

19 MR. ZIEGLER: No, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Anything further?

21 MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing further, Your Honor.  
22  
23  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Motion for Directed Verdict-Ruling by the Court  
September 17, 2015

1       THE COURT: All right. In ruling on a motion for  
2 directed verdict per Rule 19 of the South Carolina Rules  
3 of Criminal Procedure I must view the evidence and all  
4 reasonable inferences in the light most favorable to the  
5 non moving party; in this case the State. I am concerned  
6 only with the existence or non existence of the evidence.

7       Mr. Belt is charged with two counts of armed  
8 robbery. In order to prove this offense the State must  
9 prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant took  
10 personal property from the person or presence of another  
11 person. Property is in the presence of another person if  
12 it is within the person's reach, inspection, observation  
13 or control. The State must also prove that the defendant  
14 carried the property away intending to permanently  
15 deprive the owner of the property and to keep the  
16 property for the defendant's own use. The slightest  
17 removal or the complete possession even for an instant is  
18 sufficient.

19       Further, the State must prove beyond a reasonable  
20 doubt that the defendant was armed with a deadly weapon  
21 during the robbery. There has been testimony presented  
22 by several witnesses that it was a revolver and that  
23 would meet the statutory definition of a deadly weapon.  
24 Mr. Gillespie testified that while he was on patrol he  
25 observed three black males approach two white males and

State v Darren Belt  
Motion for Directed Verdict-Ruling by the Court  
September 17, 2015

1 saw one of the white males put his hands in the air. He  
2 further testified that when he approached the scene one  
3 of the white males told him that they had been robbed at  
4 gunpoint. Mr. McElhenney testified he threw his wallet  
5 and phone on the ground and the black males took those  
6 items. Mr. Burnett testified he threw his cell phone,  
7 change, pocket knife, cigars and a lighter on the ground  
8 and that those items were taken as well.

9 Mr. Burnett testified that one of the three males  
10 held a gun to his stomach and to his head and further  
11 testified that he noticed one of the three black males  
12 had a scar on his eye facial area. Detective Eckert  
13 testified as to his observations when the defendant was  
14 stopped for a traffic violation an armed robbery had  
15 occurred. The police had arrested two suspects and were  
16 canvassing the area for a third. The officers had  
17 stopped the defendant for speeding which was a valid  
18 traffic stop as conceded to by the defense.

19 And based upon the totality of the circumstances  
20 Officer Eckert testified that that was the probable cause  
21 to arrest the defendant. Viewed in the light most  
22 favorable to the non moving party the motion for directed  
23 verdict as to both counts of the armed robbery are  
24 denied. I'll note your exception to my ruling.

25

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: Anything further? Any other pretrial  
2 motions -- excuse me, any other motions or matters?

3 MR. DETREVILLE: No, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: And you are again renewing all previous  
5 pretrial motions and matters at this time as well?

6 MR. DETREVILLE: That's correct, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: And again I have heard nothing based  
8 upon the testimony presented throughout the State's case  
9 that would make me change my initial ruling for the  
10 pretrial motions and matters that we heard. With that is  
11 there anything further?

12 MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing additional, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Anything further from the State?

14 MR. ZIEGLER: No, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: And Mr. DeTreville now do you have any  
16 additional witnesses?

17 MR. DETREVILLE: We do not, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Belt, please stand.

19 [Whereupon, the defendant stands]

20 THE COURT: We now have reached the stage of this  
21 trial where you could present your defense. You indicated  
22 to me prior to our lunch break that you did not wish to  
23 testify. Do you wish to testify at this time?

24 MR. BELT: No, I do not.

25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. So with that

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 Mr. DeTreville you will have last close is that correct?

2 MR. DETREVILLE: That's correct, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Do you need any time to get your  
4 thoughts together? Now would be the time to do it. You  
5 need just a few moments?

6 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Okay. How many moments do you need?

8 MR. ZIEGLER: Ten minutes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Perfect. Thank you.

10 [Whereupon, court is in recess from 2:18 p.m. until  
11 2:26 p.m.]

12 THE COURT: So when I bring the jury in you will  
13 rest and then I will say reserving all motions and  
14 matters because you'll have the opportunity then at the  
15 close of the instructions to the jury to renew all these  
16 motions again. Then you will rest and then I will  
17 instruct -- you will do the closing Mr. Ziegler?

18 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: And approximately how long is your  
20 closing?

21 MR. ZIEGLER: Approximately a half hour, Your  
22 Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right. And approximately how long  
24 is yours?

25 MR. DETREVILLE: I will confess that I am dreadful

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 at these estimations probably a bit longer than that; 45  
2 minutes.

3 THE COURT: All right. Then I will instruct the  
4 jury and then they will retire to consider their verdict.  
5 Let's bring in the jury.

6 [Whereupon, the jury enters at 2:29 p.m.]

7 THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen of  
8 the jury did every member of the panel comply with the  
9 court's instruction over your lunch break? If you did  
10 not comply please stand. Thank you. All 14 jurors have  
11 complied with the court's instructions. Mr. Ziegler, on  
12 behalf of the State?

13 MR. ZIEGLER: The State rests, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Reserving all  
15 motions and matters Mr. DeTreville?

16 MR. DETREVILLE: Your Honor, the defense rests as  
17 well.

18 THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen you  
19 have now heard all of the testimony that you will hear in  
20 this case and have received into evidence all of the  
21 exhibits that will be made part of the record in this  
22 case. As I instructed you earlier in the trial what is  
23 marked as evidence and entered into evidence will go back  
24 with you when you consider your verdict in your jury  
25 room. Ladies and gentlemen we have reached the stage in

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 the trial where you will hear closing arguments on behalf  
2 of counsel. Mr. Ziegler on behalf of the State will give  
3 his first close and then you will hear Mr. DeTreville on  
4 behalf of the defendant give his close. After that I  
5 will instruct you on the law applicable to this case and  
6 then you will retire to consider your verdict.

7 Ladies and gentlemen just as I instructed you what  
8 was contained in opening statements is not evidence in  
9 this case neither is what is contained in their closing  
10 arguments. It's merely the attorney's contention as to  
11 what the evidence has shown you. If you will, please  
12 give your strict attention? Mr. Ziegler?

13 MR. ZIEGLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 CLOSING ARGUMENT

2 BY MR. ZIEGLER:

3 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury defense counsel in  
4 this case would like you to focus on Randi Parks. He  
5 lied more than once before he owned up to this armed  
6 robbery. He admits that he lied. He did it because he  
7 was protecting himself and he was protecting his friends.  
8 He greatly minimized his involvement in this robbery when  
9 he spoke to the police initially. He didn't admit to  
10 being the gunman but something changed between May of  
11 2013 when this incident occurred and November of 2013.

12 Over that time period Randi Parks took  
13 responsibility for his actions and he owned up to his  
14 role as the gunman in this robbery and he put it on the  
15 record. He put it on the record in the Solicitor's  
16 office and he put it on the record when he pled guilty in  
17 open court to two counts of armed robbery. He will be  
18 sentenced and he said he'll take what is coming to him.  
19 He pled guilty. That's not changing.

20 What else never changed? What has never changed  
21 from the moment this robbery occurred are the two people  
22 Randi identified as the individuals who robbed the  
23 victims with him; Ricky Lamont Hayes and Darren Belt.  
24 You know that Randi Parks has lied about this case  
25 before. You know he has a criminal record. But the

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 State did not choose Randi Parks. No the defendant chose  
2 Randi Parks when he chose to associate with him and  
3 commit armed robbery with him. This case is not just  
4 about Randi Parks. Randi did not commit this armed  
5 robbery alone. In fact he did not even orchestrate it.  
6 He woke up in an area unfamiliar to him, was handed a gun  
7 and he was encouraged to rob victims and he had help  
8 doing it. And Randi told you who handed him the gun, who  
9 showed him who to rob and who helped him do it. He told  
10 you, the jury, that that person was Ricky Lamont Hayes.

11 And Randi told you who looked on as the gun was  
12 handed over and who joined in the robbery of two  
13 pedestrians and who ran away when a police officer  
14 appeared. He told you, the jury, that person was the  
15 defendant. And Randi Parks' word alone is not the only  
16 piece of evidence to show the defendant was an active  
17 participant in this armed robbery. So I'd like to  
18 introduce you to some concepts, some key words.

19 Three robbers. Officer Gillespie and the victims  
20 stated that there were three robbers. Mr. McElhenney  
21 specifically remembers that there were three. Mr.  
22 Burnett said maybe there was a fourth person behind him  
23 but couldn't be sure. But Officer Gillespie saw three.  
24 Mr. McElhenney saw three. And three people have been  
25 charged with this armed robbery. They were all

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 apprehended not long after the robbery; two of them  
2 apprehended blocks away minutes after the robbery.  
3 Detective Ivey testified that it was about four minutes  
4 after the robbery when he first made contact with Ricky  
5 Lamont Hayes and just a few blocks away in the direction  
6 in which they had run and very close to where Mr. Hayes  
7 was found Mr. Randi Parks was located under a dump truck  
8 minutes later. And on Mr. Parks was a wallet.

9 Mr. McElhenney the victim testified that this is his  
10 wallet. He threw this wallet down during the robbery and  
11 this wallet was located on the person of Randi Parks.  
12 Well that's two, that's two. There is a third person who  
13 is involved and that person was located approximately an  
14 hour and 22 minutes after the robbery occurred. Think  
15 about where that person was located. That's a longer  
16 period of time than when Mr. Parks and Mr. Hayes were  
17 located but it's the same night, an hour and 22 minutes  
18 later.

19 And Officer Gillespie testified that he first made  
20 contact with that person's vehicle, that third person's  
21 vehicle near Morrison and Royall in the Old Village of  
22 Mount Pleasant. And that is right in this area  
23 [indicates]. And look how close that is to where Mr.  
24 Parks and Mr. Hayes were located. And Officer Gillespie  
25 testified that he saw that person, that third person

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 driving out of the Old Village of Mount Pleasant away  
2 from the Old Village towards Coleman Boulevard and this  
3 is Coleman Boulevard [indicates]. And Officer Gillespie  
4 testified that that person was driving on Whilden Street  
5 when he began to make the traffic stop. And this is  
6 Whilden Street [indicates].

7 And that direction is toward Coleman Boulevard. And  
8 the stop occurred, the vehicle stop stopped at a gas  
9 station right here [indicates], the edge of the Old  
10 Village. That's proximity. That's proximity in time  
11 just over an hour. That's proximity in location; the  
12 defendant was coming out of the same area the police were  
13 searching and he was first seen very near where Mr. Parks  
14 and Mr. Hayes were found.

15 A distinctive eye. Who was the driver of this  
16 vehicle that Officer Gillespie stopped, Mr. Gillespie  
17 stopped? It was a person with a distinctive eye. And  
18 you heard from Mr. Burnett and he told you that during  
19 the robbery he noticed that one of the robbers had a  
20 facial scar or an eye injury; something was not right.  
21 Something was wrong with this eye; it stuck out to him.  
22 And many officers have testified that when they made  
23 contact with the defendant they quickly noticed that  
24 something was unusual about his eye and it stuck out to  
25 them too. Mr. Burnett testified that the third

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 individual was stockier than the gunman. There is an  
2 apparent height differential between Mr. Burnett and the  
3 defendant. Mr. Burnett testified that he was about five  
4 nine and defense counsel stated that the defendant is  
5 about five two. That's a seven inch difference.

6 But on that night Mr. Burnett and Mr. McElhenney  
7 were under the pressure of a gun. Things were happening  
8 quickly. It was chaotic. The individuals who were  
9 robbing them were shuffling about, they were moving  
10 about. They weren't standing still; they weren't  
11 standing back to back comparing heights. It was a  
12 chaotic situation.

13 Participation. Participation. Did this defendant  
14 participate in the armed robbery? Yes. The victims  
15 testified that all the robbers were moving about and  
16 shuffling in a coordinated effort to contain the victims  
17 on the sidewalk and prevent them from running away. And  
18 all three of the robbers at some point the victims have  
19 testified went to the ground to pick up property dropped  
20 by the victims.

21 Mr. Burnett's cell phone was taken and he said a  
22 pocket knife and some cigars. Mr. McElhenney's wallet  
23 was taken and his cell phone was taken. So all of them,  
24 all three of these individuals were participating. They  
25 were all pitching in. Mr. Parks was the gunman but

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 everyone had a viable role. Dark clothing. You would  
2 have noticed on the video of Mr. Gillespie's traffic stop  
3 that the defendant exited the vehicle and it appeared  
4 that he was wearing khakis and it appeared a blue tank  
5 top. Perhaps you would not describe that as dark  
6 clothing but Officer Gillespie testified that when he  
7 approached the defendant's vehicle he observed in the  
8 backseat some black clothing and a black hat. And keep  
9 in mind over an hour passed between the time of this  
10 robbery and the time the defendant was first located.  
11 That's plenty of time to change clothes, plenty of time  
12 to remove dark clothing.

13 What was in the defendant's vehicle? Did he have  
14 any connection to Mr. Parks and Mr. Hayes? Well, he was  
15 close by in proximity but how do we know that he knew Mr.  
16 Parks or Mr. Hayes? How do we know that he had any  
17 association with them? Well there was a backpack found  
18 in his vehicle in the backseat and it's got some shoes in  
19 it, some general life possessions. But also in that  
20 backpack were letters addressed to Ricky L. Hayes.

21 Well now it's starting to seem like there is a  
22 connection. Not only is the defendant found in close  
23 proximity to where Mr. Parks and Mr. Hayes were found but  
24 there is a backpack in his vehicle and that backpack  
25 seems to belong to Mr. Hayes and contains letters

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 addressed to him. So that was another connection. What  
2 was the defendant doing in Mount Pleasant? Officers  
3 asked him that. What are you doing here? And he  
4 testified that he was looking for a neighborhood that the  
5 officers said they had not heard of it. Shadow Drive.  
6 Shadow Drive? Where does that come in? Well, these  
7 letters addressed to Ricky Hayes was from Mount Pleasant  
8 and are on Shadow Drive. And officers who know Mount  
9 Pleasant Detective Eckert testified that is in the  
10 Hickory Shadows neighborhood.

11 Detective Eckert told you that Randi Parks showed  
12 him where the defendant and he and Ricky Hayes had been  
13 prior to the robbery. They were visiting a female and  
14 Randi Parks showed Detective Eckert that house they were  
15 at visiting that female was it the Hickory Shadows  
16 neighborhood on Shadow Drive.

17 So Detective Decamp and other officers asked the  
18 defendant where he was going. And yes he seemed to have  
19 mentioned Shadow something numerous various associations  
20 of Shadow but he wasn't getting the name of the  
21 neighborhood Hickory Shadows right. But testimony shows  
22 that he had already been there in Hickory Shadows in some  
23 Shadow area earlier in the night before the armed  
24 robbery. So it doesn't seem unusual that perhaps he was  
25 indicating he was trying to go back there. He had

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 already been there something he knew of in Mount Pleasant  
2 maybe the only thing he knew of in Mount Pleasant; he  
3 wasn't from there. You heard about the cell phone that  
4 belonged to Mr. McElhenney and it was found on the  
5 roadside by Mr. Ford the morning after the robbery. Mr.  
6 Ford was going to work and he located on the side of the  
7 road it was in two pieces. We can't be sure how that  
8 phone got to that location. It could have been placed  
9 somewhere on the truck by any of these three robbers and  
10 then fallen off the truck as Mr. Able drove it the next  
11 morning to pick up a load of asphalt.

12 The phone really could have been placed on any  
13 vehicle in the Old Village in any area where the robbers  
14 were found. Suppose that vehicle was then driven the  
15 next morning down Coleman Boulevard over the Ravenel  
16 Bridge and the phone fell off the vehicle. So that may  
17 explain how the phone ended up down Coleman Boulevard.  
18 At that location where it ended up was in line with the  
19 direction that the truck driver drove. It was on the  
20 way. It was on the truck driver's route.

21 When defense counsel was asked how do you believe  
22 Randi Parks? How do you believe Randi Parks?  
23 corroboration? How do you corroborate what Randi Parks  
24 is saying? Well you heard about contemporaneous text  
25 messages. Randi Parks said that he sent text messages to

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 his wife before the robbery while the three were on their  
2 way to Charleston from Columbia. And he testified that  
3 those text messages included him telling his wife who he  
4 was with, whose car he was in, and what they were going  
5 to do. He was with Lamont and Lizard. He was in  
6 Lizard's vehicle and he was going to Charleston to get  
7 some money.

8 Now those text messages occurred before the robbery,  
9 before Randi knew there would ever be any investigation  
10 of this matter, before Randi knew there would be a  
11 robbery. He told his wife he was on the way to  
12 Charleston with Lamont and Lizard in Lizard's vehicle.

13 Mr. Belt the defendant himself corroborates what  
14 Randi is saying. You heard it on his jail call that he  
15 had just come back from Columbia last night. You heard  
16 him call himself Lizard on that jail call and you'll hear  
17 it again. So that corroborates some of the things that  
18 Randi Parks is saying. And Randi Parks does not seem to  
19 be lying about where the gun was located, where he hid;  
20 all that lines up. He hid under a dump truck and that  
21 dump truck was located.

22 Near that dump truck was a gun that officers found  
23 the next morning after the robbery. And Randy fully  
24 admits that this is the gun he used in that robbery. And  
25 he told you that when he was trying to evade police he

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 dropped the gun. He hopped the fence and he dropped the  
2 gun and he lost it at that point. He tried to find it  
3 but it was dark and he couldn't find it. Well when the  
4 officers got to the scene where Randi was located the  
5 next morning very close to the fence they located the  
6 gun.

7 Here's the fence [indicates] and Detective Hembree  
8 told you that he found the gun under this paper plate  
9 [indicates] -- well he placed the plate on top of the gun  
10 but the gun was found in that spot [indicates] very close  
11 to that fence. So Randi knows the details and that gun  
12 was loaded; four rounds.

13 You heard from Officer Mitchell when she found that  
14 gun she opened it up and noticed there were four rounds  
15 in it and she collected them. And the gun was a revolver  
16 and both of the victims told you they knew that the gun  
17 used in that robbery, they could see it, they were  
18 focused on it, they both knew it was a revolver, a .38  
19 revolver.

20 With that evidence and those concepts there is  
21 another addition to it; the defendant's own words. And  
22 if you'll allow me to play some of those words.

23 [Whereupon, the video of jail call plays]

24 MR. ZIEGLER: This call was from Darren Belt. Its  
25 Lewis isn't. Man this is Lizard, man, locked up. I'm

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 locked up. I've been getting into it over the truck.  
2 The other person says you two been getting into it over  
3 the truck. Yes, we done get into over the truck. You  
4 know what I mean. Like he act like he never been in my  
5 shit. He said I don't know what type of shit that boy  
6 been on. I was supposed to have a certain amount of  
7 money for them everything been good. Next thing you know  
8 that money gonna be all the money now. Type of shit that  
9 is, you see what I'm saying. So yes, I'm in some shit.  
10 So it seems the other person is asking what did you get  
11 locked up for fighting. After the defendant was saying  
12 he got into it over the truck. The other person says  
13 well did you get locked up for fighting? What is the  
14 defendant's answer? Oh, no I was just saying that's why  
15 I needed money and shit, man.

16 So you've heard all the evidence in this case. That  
17 evidence shows beyond a reasonable doubt that Darren Belt  
18 was a participant in this robbery. On May 6th, 2013 the  
19 defendant, Ricky Hayes, and Randi Parks were together in  
20 Columbia and the defendant was there; he says so himself.  
21 Yes, I was in Columbia and I come back down here last  
22 night. Corroboration. These three individuals were  
23 together in Columbia on May 6th, 2013 and they had the  
24 idea of going to Charleston, Ricky Hayes idea. Go to  
25 Charleston to get some money. So all three travelled to

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. Ziegler  
September 17, 2015

1 Mount Pleasant where Hayes is from and they were in the  
2 defendant's vehicle and the defendant was with them. And  
3 Hayes directed them to several houses in Mount Pleasant  
4 where he was talking to a female about how he could get  
5 some money. And the defendant was there and Randi Parks  
6 was there. After all, the defendant himself in  
7 explaining why he was locked up said he needed money.

8 And as midnight passed on a quiet Coleman Boulevard  
9 the defendant saw on the sidewalk two men happily walking  
10 home from a bar. He saw that he could get what he  
11 needed; money. Not by punching a time clock and doing a  
12 day's work, but by taking it. Making money by taking  
13 money. Shane Burnett and Glenn McElhenney don't deserve  
14 what happened to them that night and the defendant does  
15 not deserve to get away with it. Thank you.

16 THE COURT: Mr. DeTreville?

17 MR. DETREVILLE: Thank you, Your Honor. May it  
18 please the court, counsel?  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 CLOSING ARGUMENT

2 BY MR. DETREVILLE

3 Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen. Again on  
4 behalf of my client, Mr. Darren Belt I'd like to thank  
5 you for your service, for your patience, and your  
6 diligence in attending to this matter. It's not always  
7 an enjoyable process but is a very fundamental part of  
8 our Constitution of our republic and we want to thank you  
9 and I think you on behalf of my client for what you are  
10 doing today.

11 I'd like to start off my argument by bringing you  
12 full circle back to the very beginning of this case back  
13 to the very first words that you heard from the attorney  
14 when you were seated in that jury box; the State's  
15 opening argument. I don't generally take notes while  
16 opposing counsel is summarizing their case in an opening  
17 statement but I did take particular note of a single  
18 statement made by the State because I thought at the time  
19 that it would perfectly encapsulate their case.

20 The State told you from the very beginning that the  
21 evidence would show that during this robbery both of the  
22 victims emptied their pocket and threw items on the  
23 ground and that two of the robbers involved in this  
24 robbery then picked those items up off the ground. Now I  
25 don't put that before you at the present time because I

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 think that that issue is dispositive in this case. It's  
2 a small part of counsel's opening argument but I think it  
3 crystallizes a most important point in this case. The  
4 State's most important witness, the lynch pin of the  
5 State's whole case Mr. Randi Parks disputed the State's  
6 own version of the facts in this case from that  
7 statement.

8       You may recall me asking one of the victims Mr.  
9 McElhenney the very first witness to testify in this case  
10 if he recalled dumping his stuff on the ground or if the  
11 robbers were going through his pockets. His response to  
12 me he was crystal clear, and I put that in quotes, he was  
13 crystal clear that nobody went through his pockets that  
14 he had dumped all of his stuff on the ground. Randi  
15 Parks, Darren Belt and Lamont Hayes went through their  
16 pockets and took all the stuff out of them.

17       The State told you from the very beginning what the  
18 evidence was going to prove in this case and it was  
19 contradicted by their lynch pin witness. I ask you to  
20 keep that fresh in your mind as you consider this case  
21 and I would suggest to you from that point on that the  
22 wheels started to come off the prosecution's case even  
23 before the State had completed their opening argument.  
24 And Ladies and gentlemen of the jury I think that I can  
25 summarize the State's case for you in but a couple of

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 sentences. One, Randi Parks; two black clothes in the  
2 car and a black hat with white lettering on it; three,  
3 identification; four, wrong place wrong time; and five,  
4 letters in a car. That is the sum total of the case they  
5 presented to you in asking you to convict Darren Belt of  
6 two counts of armed robbery. There is nothing else.

7 Now I told you at the outset of this case that Randi  
8 Parks is what we lawyers call in technical legal language  
9 a bad witness. I trust that during the trial of this  
10 case, during his testimony that Mr. Parks did nothing to  
11 disabuse you of my analysis of him. I also ask you the  
12 jury if you are so inclined to keep notes in your  
13 notepads how many times did Randi Parks admit to lying on  
14 the stand. How many lies was he caught in while he was  
15 on the stand? If you were able to keep track of how many  
16 times he admitted to being a liar for lying how many  
17 times I caught him lying.

18 I trust that tally will further support my  
19 description of him as bad because from the time he took  
20 the stand until the time he left Randi Parks lied. He  
21 admitted to lying, he admitted to being a liar and he  
22 contradicted his own testimony literally from sentence to  
23 sentence. Even on occasions when he knew he was lying,  
24 that I knew he was lying and I hope all you knew he was  
25 lying he lied by he insisted he was telling the truth.

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 It's almost metaphysical. It's almost artistry. Lying  
2 is part of Randi Parks's' D-N-A. He cannot escape that  
3 and the State cannot escape the fact that their case  
4 hinges on a lying, bad witness.

5 I'm not going to bore you with a laundry list of all  
6 of the time that I think that Randi Parks lied. We could  
7 be here all afternoon. We could be here until tomorrow  
8 but I'll give you a hint. I counted 22 times. I hope  
9 some of you were keeping track and I hope your number is  
10 close to mine. I'll ask that you consider this humble  
11 proposition as you consider the number of times that you  
12 caught Randi Parks lying.

13 Once somebody admits to lying at what point can you  
14 parse through the litany of things that that person has  
15 said in an effort to find little nuggets of truth because  
16 that's what the State wants you to do. They want you to  
17 go through his testimony, sort through the lies, find  
18 little pieces of truth and use those little pieces of  
19 truth to convict Darren Belt.

20 Is that possible? Is that possible? Is it  
21 something that you want to do? Do we want to go through  
22 the testimony of a liar and give him the benefit of the  
23 doubt on some things and not the benefit of doubt on  
24 others? Can we strip somebody of their liberty based on  
25 the testimony of a liar? Or is it the case that once

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 somebody has been caught in so many lies, once they have  
2 admitted to lying so many times that they simply must be  
3 disregarded as a valid source of truth altogether once a  
4 person testifies that they have given two, not one but  
5 two wholly false statements.

6 Mr. Parks testified that he admitted that the first  
7 two statements that he gave could be disregarded as total  
8 fabrications. That he gave two entirely false statements  
9 to yours truly sent them to me in the mail. Can that  
10 person look at you with a straight face and say trust me  
11 now I'm telling the truth? I'd ask you to give  
12 thoughtful consideration to that question ladies and  
13 gentlemen of the jury as you enter your deliberations.

14 And I believe when you couple that problem, that  
15 fundamental problem with truthfulness with Randi Parks's  
16 utter contempt for the philosophical concept of truth  
17 when you couple that with his bias, with his motivations,  
18 with his admitted concern for self-preservation I don't  
19 believe that you can believe a word that he said.

20 Here's a man who looked at you and said -- let me  
21 back that up -- Randi Parks never looked at you when he  
22 was testifying. I'm sure some of you probably noticed  
23 that. When he said to you under oath I pled guilty of  
24 two counts of armed robbery in August of 2014. I haven't  
25 been sentenced yet. But there are no deals from the

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 State. I'm not expecting anything in return for my  
2 testimony. If they gave me 60 years, that would be fine.  
3 No, I don't expect to get a minimum sentence. But my  
4 escape charge? That got dismissed. Cool. I guess Randi  
5 Parks thinks that you the jury aren't possessed with the  
6 ability, aren't possessed with critical thinking skills.  
7 I think that is his underlying assumption.

8 But I think you are. I think you know a charade  
9 when you see one particularly one as obvious as hand  
10 handed and as ill concealed as this one was. And I think  
11 that you know very well that Randi Parks travelling show  
12 doesn't have the faintest whiff of truth about it. Not  
13 only is Randi Parks an unrepentant compulsive liar who  
14 possesses not an iota of conscience about his lies but he  
15 is a highly motivated unrepentant compulsive liar who  
16 possesses not an iota of conscience about his lies.

17 Think about those things while you are deliberating  
18 in this case ladies and gentlemen. When I called him a  
19 bad witness was I being kind? Was that an understatement  
20 or is he conceivably the worse witness that the State  
21 could put on the stand. I told you I wasn't going to  
22 give you a laundry list of the lies that Randi Parks told  
23 but I think some of them bear repeating and I think some  
24 of them are important because some of them are especially  
25 repellant. You heard Detective Ivey testify. He took

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 the stand. And he testified that when he arrested Randi  
2 Parks he asked him where the gun was. He clarified that.  
3 He said I begged him to tell me where the gun was. There  
4 could be kids around here Mr. Parks and somebody could  
5 get hurt. Tell me where the gun is. Did Randi Parks  
6 tell him where the gun was? At trial oh he knew exactly  
7 where it was the whole time. He told you that he knew  
8 within a few square feet of where that gun was the entire  
9 time he was talking to Detective Ivey.

10 Lieutenant Hembree got up on the stand and told you  
11 he spotted it in seconds. And where was it? Within  
12 spitting distance of where Randi Parks was arrested.  
13 Self preservation? I'm not the gunman; don't look at me.  
14 Remember he didn't know anything about a gun until later  
15 he admitted oh yes, I was the gunman. And then he  
16 expects you to believe that he came in and gave a  
17 truthful statement because it was just time for him to  
18 man up.

19 I don't know how I got the gun. I don't know how I  
20 got the wallet. How did those things happen to me? I  
21 don't know but it's time to man up. And by manning up he  
22 means pointing the finger at people other than himself.  
23 I think of particular importance as well was the  
24 particularly egregious lie that Mr. Parks told about Mr.  
25 Belt holding a knife to the throats of the victims in

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 this armed robbery. Literally not a single other person  
2 ever mentioned that and they all specifically denied that  
3 it ever happened. I asked Mr. Parks about that  
4 specifically and he said oh, well Mr. Belt was holding  
5 the victims by their shoulders and he was holding the  
6 knife to their throats at the same time.

7 Self preservation, deflection; I don't know what it  
8 is that motivates Mr. Parks to tell the stories he tells.  
9 That's two of them, maybe three of them. There are 20  
10 more just like that. You heard them. I hope that you  
11 took notes about it because the creditability of Randi  
12 Parks is a central issue in this case.

13 The State would have you believe that because this  
14 little tiny thing that he said is corroborated by  
15 something else. Okay, they were in Columbia earlier in  
16 the day. Okay. They came down from Columbia. Or this  
17 other little piece of something was corroborated by  
18 somebody else but you should just accept what he tells  
19 you from the witness stand as being the whole truth.  
20 That you shouldn't have any reasonable doubt about the  
21 things that he is telling you. They want to minimize for  
22 you ladies and gentlemen of the jury the importance of  
23 this witness's creditability. And I can't emphasize it  
24 to you enough. This is the lynch pin of their case.  
25 This is what they have; his testimony. And if you don't

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 believe that it is credible I'd submit to you ladies and  
2 gentlemen of the jury that you can't convict Darren Belt.  
3 If you can't believe Randi Parks, if you have problems  
4 with his creditability, if his creditability or lack  
5 thereof gives you reasonable doubt, what else is there?  
6 The black shirt and the black hat with white lettering on  
7 it?

8       You had the testimony of Mr. Gillespie formerly  
9 Officer Gillespie. He was the one who pulled Mr. Belt  
10 over. Mr. Gillespie would strangely expect you to  
11 believe and keep in your head two completely opposite  
12 notions at the same time I saw a black hat and a black  
13 shirt in the backseat of Darren Belt's car when I walked  
14 up to it. But no, I don't know where they are. I never  
15 mentioned them to anybody. I didn't put them in a  
16 report. I didn't mention it to my supervisor. I never  
17 collected them. I never suggested to anybody else to  
18 collect them. That wouldn't be my place. I discovered a  
19 key piece of evidence in plain view in the defendant's  
20 car but it really wasn't my job to collect it.

21       There is a table of evidence over here that has been  
22 submitted for your consideration. There is no black  
23 shirt. There is no black hooded sweatshirt or whatever  
24 it was that he said it was. There is no hat with white  
25 lettering on it. Was it black or was it navy blue Mr.

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Gillespie? I can't say. Was it a shirt or was it a  
2 hoodie? You testified previously under oath that it was  
3 a hoodie now you're saying it's a shirt. I'm not sure.  
4 You said the hat had white lettering on it. What was the  
5 letter? I don't know.

6 Mr. Gillespie said that he saw a suspect at the  
7 scene when he first arrived wearing a black shirt and a  
8 black baseball cap with white lettering on it. Literally  
9 nobody else in this case supported that testimony. Not  
10 even the victims when they were pressed. The only person  
11 who saw that shirt and hat but never provided that  
12 description to anybody ever until the trial of this case  
13 two and a half years later is the only person who says  
14 that he also saw those items in Darren Belt's car. Oh,  
15 and latex gloves; just for the added insinuation of  
16 guilt.

17 I hope that strikes you as odd. It strikes me as  
18 terribly odd because it is odd. It's odd to the point of  
19 being disturbing. You heard Corporal Myers testify that  
20 he did the inventory search on Darren Belt's vehicle.  
21 That he did a quote unquote full inventory of everything  
22 that was in the car and he said I didn't see a shirt.  
23 No, I don't remember that; no hoodie, no hat, no gloves.  
24 You heard Detective Eckert say I looked around the car.  
25 I was looking for a gun. You know I didn't -- I wasn't

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 exactly moving things around or anything like that but I  
2 was looking for a gun. I didn't see a black shirt and I  
3 didn't see a black hat. You heard the victims Mr.  
4 McElhenney and Mr. Burnett and neither one of them saw  
5 them. I haven't seen them.

6 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury you haven't seen  
7 them. But the State expects you to believe that they  
8 exist anyway. That's part of their case. They expect  
9 you to believe in something that you can't see with your  
10 own eyes. I used to believe in things I couldn't see  
11 with my own eyes; the Easter Bunny.

12 This is a request of you, the jury, to convict  
13 somebody on faith, literally on faith. Trust me the  
14 black shirt was in there. I don't know where it is now.  
15 They want you to convict Mr. Belt on the basis of their  
16 Easter Bunny evidence. They want you to accept that  
17 these things exist and that you should deprive Mr. Belt  
18 of his liberty on the basis of that.

19 Ladies and gentlemen I'm all in favor of faith in a  
20 house of worship. We go to church to celebrate faith but  
21 we're not in a church right now we're in a courthouse.  
22 And we go to courthouses to celebrate proof beyond a  
23 reasonable doubt. And the mysterious disappearing black  
24 shirt and hat, the ones that apparently sprouted legs and  
25 walked away they don't help prove anything beyond a

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 reasonable doubt. But there they are part of the State's  
2 case. What else do they have? A puzzling mismatch of  
3 physical descriptions the likes of which I've never heard  
4 before. Let me do you the service of cutting straight to  
5 the chase. These identifications stink. They are  
6 contradictory and the methodology with which they were  
7 reported is highly suggestive. Not only are they  
8 unreliable but that they are false memories created after  
9 the fact and reported to you two and a half years later.

10 Who provided descriptions in this case? Mr.  
11 Gillespie and we've talked about him already. He was  
12 formerly Officer Gillespie. He testified very clearly,  
13 was very clear that he was trained to provide the  
14 greatest detail possible in both his reports and in his  
15 dispatches. No argument from him on that. He agreed.

16 Minutes after his observation of the suspects from  
17 30, 60 feet away he dispatched three black males in dark  
18 clothing; nothing else, three black males in dark  
19 clothing. Minutes had passed since he observed these  
20 individuals and he was trained to give the greatest  
21 amount of detail possible; three black males in dark  
22 clothing. Again, did he mention did he specify black  
23 shirts, black hoodies, black hats with white letters on  
24 them? No. But wouldn't it have been great if he did.  
25 Would we be here right now? Did he mention an eye injury

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 in his report? Did he mention an eye injury in his  
2 dispatch? A deformity, a scar; anything of that nature?  
3 No. Well wouldn't that have helped? Did he mention  
4 hoodies? Did he mention braids? Did he mention  
5 cornrows? Did he mention dreads; anything of that  
6 nature? No. Three black males in dark clothing. That's  
7 as much detail as he could provide minutes after seeing  
8 the suspects and two and a half years later he recalls a  
9 black shirt, a black hat with white lettering, and a  
10 problem with one of the suspects eyes never mentioned  
11 before. And he expects you -- he expects that to hold  
12 water with you.

13       You saw the video from the traffic stop or you saw  
14 portions of it at least so you saw what Mr. Belt was  
15 wearing. He was wearing a light blue tank top and light  
16 khaki pants. The State would have you believe that  
17 somehow he escaped the scene and was on the run for an  
18 hour and a half in the Old Village and managed to elude  
19 capture during all that time. And in that time period he  
20 also managed to change clothes completely.

21       He wasn't wearing black. He wasn't wearing a hat.  
22 They weren't in his car. Mr. Belt is not possessed of  
23 magic powers. He cannot go into a phone booth and change  
24 his appearance instantly. So what are you left with from  
25 Mr. Gillespie's description? If you throw out the black

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 shirt, the black hoodie, the black hat I hope that we can  
2 all agree that those things are bogus. You're left with  
3 an eye injury and cornrows or braids or cornrow braids,  
4 dreads, whatever. It didn't really seem to matter.

5 But, and this is a big but, Mr. Gillespie observed  
6 all of those things after he had already decided that  
7 Darren Belt was a suspect in this case. Yes, he saw a  
8 problem with Darren Belt's eye but not at the scene. He  
9 did it at the Exxon station after he pulled him over.  
10 You can look at Mr. Belt. You're walking up to his car  
11 window that's going to be one of the first things you  
12 notice.

13 He developed that description having already seen  
14 Mr. Belt in the context of him being a suspect; something  
15 he assumed from the moment he got behind Mr. Belt's car.  
16 Now two and a half years later I don't know if he  
17 actually believes that he saw those things before  
18 observing Mr. Belt or not.

19 But two and a half years later he wants you to  
20 believe that from the very moment he saw this robbery  
21 taking place he saw a messed up eye, a black hat, a black  
22 hoodie and some kind of cornrows or braids or something.  
23 None of these things, none of these things and I can't  
24 emphasize this enough, none of these things were included  
25 in the report. None of them were included in a dispatch.

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 There is no contemporaneous record of Mr. Gillespie  
2 having observed these characteristics of Mr. Belt at the  
3 time of the robbery. All of these characteristics pop up  
4 in Mr. Gillespie's head after he's seen Mr. Belt.

5 Who else saw the suspects? Mr. McElhenney? Mr.  
6 McElhenney provides you with a description of three black  
7 males at least two of them were wearing hoodies. I am in  
8 fact ready at this point in the trial to concede that  
9 Darren Belt is a black male. He fits that description.

10 But can we all agree that Mr. McElhenney's  
11 description of the suspects doesn't exactly provide us  
12 with proof here which leaves us with the description that  
13 to me personally I find the most puzzling and troubling  
14 in this entire case and that's the one provided by the  
15 second victim Mr. Shane Burnett.

16 Now please ladies and gentlemen of the jury don't  
17 mistake my problems with Mr. Burnett's description of the  
18 suspects with some lack of sympathy for the victim of a  
19 violent crime. Please don't take that away. I do have a  
20 lot of sympathy for Mr. Burnett. But I do have some deep  
21 concerns about his testimony at trial in this case. I  
22 stayed awake. I couldn't sleep last night and the reason  
23 why I couldn't sleep last night is because I kept going  
24 over Mr. Burnett's testimony in my head over and over and  
25 over again. I'm exhausted. I only slept an hour last

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 night. And after that one hour power nap that I had I  
2 woke up more puzzled I think than when I started. I  
3 still haven't figured it out. Where do you begin with  
4 Mr. Burnett's testimony? I'll start with the written  
5 statement that he gave that he provided to Detective  
6 Decamp.

7 Detective Decamp was also a witness in the trial of  
8 this case. That written description of the suspects  
9 stated as follows and I put this in quotation marks for  
10 you. Four black males 18 to 25 years old all wearing  
11 black clothing, no facial hair, hair length equal  
12 shoulder length parenthesis all the same description.

13 Later in testimony that he would give under oath he  
14 states that the whole robbery took place in the span of  
15 about eight to ten seconds. That there were definitely  
16 two men in front of him, which I think I can accept as  
17 true because Randi Parks said he was the only person in  
18 front of him and if Randi Parks said it I'm pretty sure  
19 that it's a lie.

20 At this trial we had so much more details from Mr.  
21 Burnett that nobody had ever heard before. He described  
22 the gunman, Mr. Parks, as young and not very big, dark  
23 colored clothing, dreads or a hoodie. The other guy in  
24 front of him had a scar on his eye. He looked like he  
25 had been in a lot of fights. He was lots of trouble; he

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 was kind of scared of him. He then went on to tell you  
2 specifically and gave you great detail about the news  
3 reports that he viewed the next day pertaining to this  
4 robbery. And he also told you that as part of those news  
5 reports there were mug shots. He saw pictures of all  
6 three suspects the next day.

7 He read you his previous sworn testimony which  
8 contradicted the testimony he gave at trial in this case.  
9 Now the gentleman is about 25. He's a light skin black  
10 male. He's a little bit shorter than me. I'm five --  
11 and this is in quotes -- he's a little bit shorter than  
12 me I'm five nine. I couldn't really tell if there was  
13 facial hair because the gun was in the way and I was  
14 paying attention to the gun. He kept reading.

15 The second person in front quote this guy was maybe  
16 my height but quite a bit heavier than the first guy. He  
17 was heavier, a little stockier. He looked to me like he  
18 had some kind of a facial scar or something maybe wasn't  
19 right about his eye or his face. I was mainly focused on  
20 the gun but this guy was also fairly light skinned, same  
21 age range 25 to 30. He looked like he had been in  
22 trouble and I didn't want to mess with him.

23 And it's I think at this point that we first devolve  
24 into a truly bizarre exchange. I pressed Mr. Burnett  
25 about the second suspect's height. His testimony that

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 the second suspect was maybe my height Darren Belt is  
2 five foot two. Shane Burnett is five foot nine. That's  
3 a seven inch height difference. Did that bother Mr.  
4 Burnett? Not in the slightest. Maybe my height. A  
5 seven inch difference that's what I meant. Mr. Belt has  
6 some distinguishing characteristics and one of them is  
7 his eye. The other one is the fact that he is five foot  
8 two.

9 Mr. Burnett insisted to you, the jury, that a seven  
10 inch height differential meant maybe my height. Then  
11 went on to say that in his view maybe my height meant two  
12 feet in either direction meaning that he felt that he  
13 could truthfully testify that somebody who was either  
14 three foot nine or seven foot nine was maybe his height.  
15 Somebody who suffers from dwarfism, the tallest player in  
16 the history of the NBA is maybe Mr. Burnett's height.  
17 And Mr. Burnett never retreated from this. He was  
18 insistent upon it.

19 He had no problem with the seven inch height  
20 differential and maybe I don't blame him because you know  
21 why? Mr. Burnett wants Mr. Belt to be convicted. It's  
22 plain and simple. The fact that Mr. Belt is seven inches  
23 shorter than him doesn't really bother him that much.  
24 And if I had been robbed at gunpoint I might want  
25 everybody to get convicted too. I can't say; nobody has

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 ever stuck a gun in my face. I can only describe to you  
2 the testimony that Mr. Burnett gave about the physical  
3 characteristics of Mr. Belt as absurd. I can't think of  
4 another word for it. Three foot nine or seven foot nine?

5 When I say that maybe somebody is maybe my height,  
6 and this is from my prospective I'm six foot three. Mr.  
7 Burnett is five foot nine. He's not maybe my height.  
8 He's not even close to my height. Somebody who is maybe  
9 my height is an inch or two in either direction maybe.  
10 Not two feet, not the tallest player in the history of  
11 the NBA. I assure you I don't use the word absurd  
12 lightly but I think it's merited here.

13 And the problems with his testimony didn't stop  
14 there. I pressed him about his testimony that the second  
15 suspect had some kind of facial scar or something wasn't  
16 right about his eye or his face. I asked him which one  
17 was it which I think is a fair question because there are  
18 two ors in that statement; two. Some kind of facial scar  
19 or something wasn't right about his eye or something  
20 wasn't right about his face. Which one was it Mr.  
21 Burnett?

22 And Mr. Burnett responded that he was certain that  
23 when he gave that testimony that he was referring only to  
24 the suspect's eye; the eye and the eye only. There was  
25 no other possible interpretation of that statement. But

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 there are other interpretations in that statement. It's  
2 not a description at all. It's a list of possibilities.  
3 There's something wrong with his face or there is  
4 something wrong with his eye or he had a facial scar.  
5 You pick. Or he was four feet tall.

6 I would suggest to you ladies and gentlemen of the  
7 jury that similar to the description provided by Mr.  
8 Gillespie that the news coverage that Mr. Burnett viewed  
9 the morning after this incident took place colored his  
10 memory of what the suspects looked like. He developed  
11 this description that he testified to you about after he  
12 saw mug shots of all three defendants. Before seeing the  
13 mug shots? Three black males. And like I said I'm not  
14 sure I blame him. But it doesn't get you beyond a  
15 reasonable doubt.

16 Let's also not forget that he testified that the  
17 whole robbery took eight to ten seconds. And he spent  
18 four of those seconds staring down the barrel of the gun  
19 counting the rounds in the revolver's chambers. He was  
20 sure of that because at first he thought it was unloaded  
21 but then in that four second period realized that they  
22 were hollow points. So that gives him four to six  
23 seconds. Let's be optimistic. Let's say he has six  
24 seconds to look at these fellows and all that detail he  
25 poured on you he developed in six seconds and

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 regurgitated it for you two and a half years later for  
2 the first time; nothing at the time, four black males all  
3 with shoulder length hair 18 to 25 years old wearing dark  
4 clothing. That's it. Two and a half years later we've  
5 got problems with eyes and all sorts of stuff.

6 I pressed Mr. Burnett on that issue because I think  
7 it's important. Why didn't you provide details that  
8 night? Wouldn't that have been helpful? Didn't you want  
9 these guys to get caught? Wouldn't you have run up to  
10 the cops and tell them everything that you knew about  
11 what these guys looked like so they could catch them  
12 lickety-split?

13 He said he didn't think it was really necessary that  
14 night. They had already caught the three guys; why  
15 bother. He was tired. He was exhausted. He was  
16 stressed out; he had a baby at home. I get that but his  
17 justification for not providing details was that they had  
18 already caught the guys.

19 Then you hear from Detective Decamp who directly  
20 contradicts Mr. Burnett's assessment about providing  
21 details. He says and he describes Mr. Burnett again in  
22 quotations as very eager to provide details while he is  
23 giving his statement at the police station. Very -- let  
24 me find the quote -- was eager to provide details of the  
25 reported robbery. He further testified that all of those

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 details were included in that report.. That based on his  
2 observations Detective Decamp who doesn't have an axe to  
3 grind in this case believed that Shane Burnett had  
4 provided as great of detail as he was able.

5       Then he watched the news the next day. And he told  
6 you he complained to the news media he wanted his name  
7 out of the story. He couldn't believe it was in there.  
8 He was angry. He also told you there were mug shots and  
9 that he saw them. Having provided no detail on the night  
10 of the incident despite a neutral party saying that he  
11 had provided as great of detail as he possibly could two  
12 and a half years later he's ready to tell you exactly  
13 what these dudes looked like.

14       I find it odd. I cannot square it in my head ladies  
15 and gentlemen. I cannot figure it out. A witness who  
16 claims to have been so filled to the brim with details  
17 and described as eager to provide those details by a  
18 third party gives no details. Wouldn't that have been  
19 helpful? Would that have helped y'all?

20       But again he saw the mug shots. I submit to you  
21 ladies and gentlemen of the jury it is false memory of  
22 what he saw. And I don't say that lightly. I think he  
23 wants a conviction so bad that he believes that that's  
24 what he actually saw. But it isn't possible to ignore  
25 the fact that his memory is tainted by his observation of

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 that news story. You heard Detective Eckert get on the  
2 stand and say that there is no way that he didn't conduct  
3 a lineup the next day. Why didn't he conduct a lineup  
4 the next day? Well everybody had seen the news coverage  
5 and seen the mug shots on the news already and so it was  
6 impossible for that lineup to not be tainted; his word  
7 not mine.

8 This is the lead detective in the case. He told you  
9 that these descriptions were going to be tainted. No  
10 mention, I cannot repeat this enough there is no mention  
11 of any description of Mr. Belt's eye, no description of  
12 his size, no description of his clothes other than dark  
13 clothing prior to a news story being attained by all  
14 parties involved all of whom say that they saw that news  
15 story and all of whom later give you a detailed  
16 description.

17 What do you see when you see a mug shot of Darren  
18 Belt? What is going to stand out to you? I know what  
19 would stand out to me. His eye. I'll admit that. There  
20 is no hiding it. It would stand out to me. And it would  
21 stand out to me if I was looking at a news story with  
22 three mug shots I'd look at the three mug shots and say  
23 there is something wrong with that dude's eye. Odd. The  
24 testimony that Detective Decamp provided also provides us  
25 with a segue into the wrong place wrong time theory of

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 the case that the State wants you to believe. Darren  
2 Belt was black -- is black, excuse me. He's going ten  
3 miles an hour over the speed limit in the Old Village a  
4 quarter of a mile away from the scene of a robbery that  
5 had taken place 90 minutes previous. Presto bang-o; he's  
6 guilty.

7 But what did Darren Belt tell Decamp when he gave a  
8 statement that night not two and a half years later but  
9 that night and it's written down? I was looking for  
10 Shadow Creek neighborhood. The neighborhood is -- you  
11 turn at the Kangaroo station on Chuck Dawley Boulevard  
12 and that's where the neighborhood is. Coincidentally I  
13 happen to know if you take a left at the Kangaroo station  
14 off of Chuck Dawley Boulevard you go into the Hickory  
15 Shadows subdivision.

16 Now if you're not familiar with the area I submit to  
17 you ladies and gentlemen that it is not a difficult leap  
18 in logic to connect the mistaken Shadow Creek with  
19 Hickory Shadow. But no officer involved in this case had  
20 ever heard of that neighborhood so he must be guilty even  
21 though he told them exactly where the neighborhood was.

22 Detective Decamp told you that he was very familiar  
23 with the area as part of his regular beat. How far away  
24 is Hickory Shadows? How far away is the Kangaroo station  
25 on Chuck Dawley Boulevard from the Exxon station on

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 Coleman Boulevard Detective Decamp? About two miles.  
2 How long would it take to drive from the Exxon station on  
3 Coleman Boulevard to the Kangaroo station on Chuck Dawley  
4 Boulevard? A couple of minutes.

5 Was Mr. Belt lost or is he guilty beyond a  
6 reasonable doubt because he was a quarter of a mile away  
7 90 minutes later. Presto bang-o; that's a big part of  
8 their case. They show you maps. Here's where he was.  
9 Here's where the robberies took place. Just 90 minutes  
10 later there he was again.

11 Wrong place, wrong time. The phone thing the State  
12 played for you during their closing arguments, replayed  
13 for you repeatedly audio from a jail phone call that Mr.  
14 Belt made. I don't think they meant to play the clip  
15 that they didn't play for you before the one where you  
16 clearly hear Mr. Belt say man I was in the wrong place at  
17 the wrong time. It doesn't take a huge leap in logic  
18 Shadow Creek Hickory Shadows, told them exactly where it  
19 was a couple of minutes away. Wrong place, wrong time.

20 And then there is the letters, the backpack. Let me  
21 start my argument with this by asking you a rhetorical  
22 question. What do letters addressed to somebody in a car  
23 tend to prove? Do they prove that you committed a crime?  
24 No. Does it prove that probably at some point in the  
25 past that person was in that car? I'm ready to go there.

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 But I don't have a real clear understanding of how  
2 letters addressed to Ricky Hayes found in Darren Belt's  
3 car in a closed backpack really have anything at all to  
4 do with proving that Darren Belt was an active  
5 participant in an armed robbery somewhere.

6       You've seen the letters. They are postmarked 2012.  
7 This happened in May of 2013. I'm not suggesting that  
8 that backpack had been in there for a year. I think that  
9 would be absurd. But does anybody really know how long  
10 they were in there for? I don't. I asked everybody that  
11 got on the stand please tell me do you know how long that  
12 backpack had been in there? No.

13       Now don't get me wrong had these letters addressed  
14 to Ricky Hayes been letters from Darren Belt saying hey  
15 let's go rob somebody on Coleman Boulevard on May 6th,  
16 2013 we'd probably have a different story right now. But  
17 they don't. They're medical bills and things like that.  
18 I think there is a water bill in there. A water bill  
19 addressed to Ricky Hayes on North Shadow Drive which is  
20 in the neighborhood that Darren Belt said that he was  
21 looking for.

22       Does it take a big leap in logic to think that  
23 Darren Belt actually was trying to go back to his friend,  
24 Ricky Hayes's house? That he got lost at some point that  
25 he wasn't with Ricky Hayes. He wasn't with Randi Parks

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 but that he was trying to find his way back to Ricky  
2 Hayes's house because it's really the only place he knows  
3 in Mount Pleasant and he got lost. I don't think that  
4 takes a huge leap in logic. It's my opinion and I hope  
5 you share it.

6 Beyond the fact that it tends to prove that Ricky  
7 Hayes was in Darren Belt's car at some point between 2012  
8 and 2013 those letters prove absolutely nothing. Guilt  
9 by association. Presto bang-o. And those letters in  
10 that backpack those constitute the sum total of the  
11 incriminating evidence found in Darren Belt's car at the  
12 time that he was pulled over. Nothing else.

13 Did they find a gun in his car? No, they looked.  
14 No gun. Did they find any of the victim's property in  
15 Darren Belt's car? No. They looked. Did they find  
16 anything in Darren Belt's car besides four letters  
17 addressed to Ricky Hayes that would suggest that Darren  
18 Belt participated in this crime in any fashion  
19 whatsoever? No, they did a full inventory.

20 I'm going to ask you to ignore the black shirt and  
21 the black hat for the time being. No, I'm going to ask  
22 you to ignore that forever. There is no contraband in  
23 that car that was stolen property. Nothing but four  
24 letters in a backpack addressed to somebody else. What  
25 else do they have ladies and gentlemen? I don't know. I

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 listened to the whole case. I've been here since the  
2 beginning sitting right over there. I don't know what  
3 else they have. I'm hoping that -- I've been trying to  
4 figure that out but to my estimation that seems to be the  
5 sum total of the evidence that has been presented against  
6 Mr. Belt.

7 And the State based on that sum total of evidence  
8 asks you to strip away my client's liberty to call him an  
9 armed robber and to send him to prison. Randi Parks,  
10 they have Randi Parks which I would submit to you means  
11 they got nothing. I'll let you decide if he's credible  
12 or not on any level. He isn't -- I'll let you decide if  
13 he isn't cloaked in a self preservation bias that renders  
14 him completely incapable of telling the truth.

15 The mysterious disappearing black shirt and hat even  
16 got mentioned in the State's closing. They still want  
17 you to believe that that was there. Somehow it never got  
18 inventoried. Somehow only one person ever saw it and  
19 nobody else ever did. But that's evidence of a crime.

20 A plethora of conflicting, vague and questionable  
21 descriptions of Darren Belt all of which were given two  
22 and a half years later despite the clear opportunity even  
23 the duty to provide those details on May 7th or May 8th  
24 of 2013 all of these descriptions materialized either  
25 after seeing Darren Belt firsthand or seeing mug shots on

State v Darren Belt  
Closing Argument-Remarks by Mr. DeTreville  
September 17, 2015

1 the news. No lineup, no six pack; nothing of the sort  
2 ever conducted. Is that something that they have?  
3 That's a big part of their case. Wrong place, wrong time  
4 we just covered that and some letters. That is the sum  
5 total of the case. I ask you ladies and gentlemen of the  
6 jury to consider all of these parts of the case as  
7 individual parts.

8 Does the State's theory of this case fall apart when  
9 you look at these things individually when you look at  
10 them from a prospective of beyond a reasonable doubt?  
11 I'd submit to you that the only common thread in this  
12 story with all of the contradictory stories that have  
13 been told to you the only common thread in this case is  
14 reasonable doubt that exists everywhere.

15 Take your time when you are deliberating ladies and  
16 gentlemen. I don't want you to cherry pick through  
17 things. I'm not going to ask you to cherry pick through  
18 things. The State needs you to cherry pick through  
19 things. Don't do that. I want you to look at this case  
20 and the totality of the circumstances. Do any of the  
21 pieces of this puzzle fit?

22 Take your time and see if you agree with me. Don't  
23 leave your common sense at the door. If you do those  
24 things I'm confident that you will return with the  
25 verdict of not guilty. Thank you.

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

JURY CHARGE

THE COURT: Mr. Foreman, are you prepared to go forward with the charge at this time. Does anybody need a comfort break? All right. We will proceed.

Ladies and gentlemen I am now going to instruct you on the law applicable to this case. I typically do not send back a written charge of my instructions so please pay close attention. As I instructed you Mr. Foreperson you will be responsible for writing the verdict but I'm going to go over those verdict forms right before I send you back to consider your verdict.

I remind you that during this trial you and I have had certain duties to perform. As the trial judge it is my responsibility to preside over the trial of this case. I have the duty to rule on the admissibility of the evidence offered during this trial. You are to consider only the evidence before you. If there was any testimony ordered stricken from the record you must disregard that testimony. You are to consider only the testimony that you heard from the witness stand, the exhibits that have been made part of the record in this case as well as the stipulations of counsel.

I have the additional duty to charge you the law applicable to this case. As the presiding judge I am the sole judge of the law in this case. You are not to infer

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 from anything that I have said or done throughout the  
2 progress of this trial that I have any opinion about the  
3 facts in this case. The law does not allow me to have an  
4 opinion about the facts in this case. This is solely a  
5 matter for you to determine.

6 The indictments in this case charge Mr. Belt with  
7 two counts of armed robbery. I remind you the fact that  
8 Mr. Belt was arrested, charged and indicted in this case  
9 is not evidence and cannot be considered by you as  
10 evidence of guilt nor does it create any presumption or  
11 inference of guilt.

12 An indictment is simply the formal written  
13 instrument which contains the charge made against the  
14 defendant. It is the formal document by which this case  
15 is brought into court. Just as the indictments in this  
16 case are not evidence any terminology or label used to  
17 refer to the individuals involved in this case cannot be  
18 considered as evidence. For example the use of the words  
19 defendant or victim are merely labels and may not be  
20 considered by you as evidence.

21 There were two indictments in this case. Indictment  
22 2013-GS-10-4529, which was a true billed indictment for  
23 armed robbery involving the victim Robert McElhenney.  
24 Indictment 2013-GS-10-4530 armed robbery involving the  
25 victim Shane Burnett. You must decide each indictment

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 separately on the evidence and the law applicable to it  
2 uninfluenced by your decision as to any other indictment.  
3 The defendant may be convicted or acquitted on one or  
4 both of the offenses charged. You will be asked to write  
5 a separate verdict for each indictment.

6 Mr. Belt has pled not guilty to each indictment. A  
7 person charged with committing a criminal offense in  
8 South Carolina is never required to prove himself  
9 innocent. I charge you it is an important rule of law  
10 that the defendant in a criminal trial no matter what the  
11 seriousness of the charge may be will always be presumed  
12 to be innocent of the crime for which the defendant was  
13 indicted unless guilt has been proven by evidence  
14 satisfying you of that guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

15 The presumption does not end when you begin your  
16 deliberations but it accompanies the defendant throughout  
17 the trial until you reach a verdict of guilt based upon  
18 evidence satisfying the standard of guilt beyond a  
19 reasonable doubt.

20 The presumption of innocence is not mere legal  
21 theory. It is not just a legal phrase. It is a  
22 substantial right to which every defendant is entitled  
23 until you, the jury, are satisfied from the evidence of  
24 the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The  
25 State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 beyond a reasonable doubt. Some of you may have served  
2 as jurors before in civil cases where you were told that  
3 it was only necessary to prove that a fact is more likely  
4 true than not true such as by a greater weight or  
5 preponderance of the evidence. In criminal cases the  
6 State's proof must be more powerful than that. It must  
7 be beyond a reasonable doubt.

8 Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves  
9 you firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt. There are  
10 very few things in this world that we do know with  
11 absolute certainty. And in criminal cases the law does  
12 not require proof that overcomes every possible doubt.  
13 If based upon your consideration of the evidence you are  
14 firmly convinced that the defendant is guilty of the  
15 crime charged you must find the defendant guilty. If on  
16 the other hand you think there is a real possibility that  
17 the defendant is not guilty you must give the defendant  
18 the benefit of the doubt and find him not guilty.

19 There are two types of evidence generally presented  
20 throughout a trial; direct evidence and circumstantial  
21 evidence. Direct evidence is the testimony of a person  
22 who claims to have actual knowledge of a fact such as an  
23 eye witness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of a chain  
24 of facts and circumstances indicating the existence of a  
25 fact. The law makes absolutely no distinction between

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 the weight or value to be given either direct or  
2 circumstantial evidence, nor is a greater degree of  
3 certainty required of circumstantial evidence than of  
4 direct evidence. You should weigh all the evidence in  
5 this case and after weighing all of the evidence you are  
6 not convinced of the guilt of the defendant beyond a  
7 reasonable doubt you must find the defendant not guilty.

8       Necessarily you must determine the creditability of  
9 the witnesses who have testified in this case.  
10 Creditability simply means believability. It becomes  
11 your duty as jurors to analyze and to evaluate the  
12 evidence and determine which evidence convinces you of  
13 its truth.

14       In determining the believability of the witnesses  
15 who have testified in this case you may believe one  
16 witness over several witnesses or several witnesses over  
17 one witness. You may believe a part of the testimony of  
18 a witness and reject the remaining part of the testimony  
19 of that same witness. You may believe the testimony of a  
20 witness in its entirety or reject the testimony of a  
21 witness in its entirety.

22       You may consider whether any witness has exhibited  
23 to you any interest, bias, prejudice or other motive in  
24 this case. You may also consider the manner and the  
25 appearance of a witness while on the witness stand.

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 There has been evidence presented that witnesses have  
2 made prior statements which are not consistent with the  
3 witness's testimony here in court during this trial. You  
4 may use this evidence to decide whether to believe the  
5 witness. You may also use the evidence of the earlier  
6 contradictory statements to determine the truth of those  
7 statements. It is up to you to decide whether to believe  
8 the earlier statements or the testimony given at trial.

9 If a witness has shown to have knowingly testified  
10 untruthfully concerning any material matter you may  
11 consider this in determining whether to trust the witness  
12 testimony as to other matters. You may reject all  
13 testimony of that witness or give all or part of the  
14 testimony the weight you think it deserves.

15 A person who has committed prior bad acts or has a  
16 past criminal record is competent to testify during a  
17 trial. Prior bad acts or a past record does not affect  
18 the ability of that witness to testify. The prior bad  
19 acts or past record may only be considered by you if at  
20 all in determining the witness's believability.

21 Remember you are the sole judges of the facts in  
22 this case and of the believability of any and all of the  
23 witnesses. In order to establish criminal liability  
24 criminal intent is required. For example the mental  
25 state required to be proven by the State for a particular

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 crime might be purpose, intent, knowledge, recklessness  
2 or criminal negligence. Criminal intent must be proven  
3 by the State beyond a reasonable doubt. Criminal intent  
4 is always a matter that must be determined by the jury  
5 from the circumstances surrounding the situation.

6       There is no way to prove intent to a mathematical  
7 certainty. There is no way medical science can dissect a  
8 person's brain and determine what the person had in mind.  
9 So the law says that criminal intent may be inferred from  
10 the circumstances shown to have existed. This is how you  
11 make a determination of whether or not the element  
12 requiring intent was present.

13       It is not necessary to establish intent by direct  
14 and positive evidence but intent may be established by  
15 inference in the same way as any other fact by taking  
16 into consideration the acts of the parties and all of the  
17 facts and circumstances of the case. Criminal intent is  
18 a mental state, a conscious wrongdoing. It is up to you  
19 to determine what the defendant intended to do based upon  
20 the circumstances shown to have existed.

21       Criminal intent can arise from action or a failure  
22 to act. It may arise from negligence or recklessness or  
23 an indifference to duty or to consequences that is  
24 considered by the law to be the equivalent of criminal  
25 intent. The defendant is charged with two counts of

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 armed robbery. In order to prove this offense the State  
2 must first prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the  
3 defendant took personal property from the person or  
4 presence of another person. Property is in the presence  
5 of a person if it is within the person's reach,  
6 inspection, observation or control so that the person  
7 could if not overcome with violence or presented -- or by  
8 prevented by fear keep possession of the property.

9 The State must also prove beyond a reasonable doubt  
10 that the defendant carried the property away intending to  
11 permanently deprive the owner of the property and to keep  
12 the property for the defendant's own use. The slightest  
13 removal of the property or the complete possession of the  
14 property even for an instant by the defendant is  
15 sufficient to show a taking and carrying away of the  
16 property.

17 The taking and carrying away of the property must  
18 have been done with violence or by putting the owner of  
19 the property in fear of violence. Finally the State must  
20 prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant was  
21 armed with a deadly weapon during the robbery. A deadly  
22 weapon is any article, instrument or substance which is  
23 likely to cause death or great bodily harm. Whether an  
24 instrument has been used as a deadly weapon depends upon  
25 the facts and circumstances of each case. If a crime is

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 committed by two or more people who are acting together  
2 in committing a crime the act of one is the act of all.  
3 A person who joins with another to commit an unlawful act  
4 is criminally responsible for everything done by the  
5 other person which happens as a natural and probable  
6 consequences of the acts done in carrying out the common  
7 plan or purpose.

8 If two or more people are assisting or in acting  
9 together in committing the offense the act of one is the  
10 act of all or as sometimes said the hand of one is the  
11 hand of all. Prior knowledge that a crime is going to be  
12 committed without more is not sufficient to make a person  
13 guilty of that crime. Mere knowledge that another person  
14 is going to commit a crime even if the defendant is  
15 present when the crime is committed is not sufficient to  
16 convict the defendant as a principal.

17 Guilt as a principal is shown by actual or  
18 constructive presence at the scene as a result of prior  
19 arrangement. Therefore a finding of a prior arranged  
20 plan or common scheme is necessary for a finding of guilt  
21 as a principal. The State must prove beyond a reasonable  
22 doubt by competent evidence the theory of the hand of one  
23 is the hand of all. A principal in a crime is one who  
24 either actually commits the crime or who is present  
25 aiding abetting or assisting in committing the crime.

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 When a person does an act in the presence of and with the  
2 assistance of another the act is done by both, or two of  
3 more acting with a common plan or intent are present at  
4 the commission of a crime it does not matter who actually  
5 commits the crime; all are guilty. The hand of one is  
6 hand of all. Present at the commission of a crime means  
7 to be sufficiently near to aid and abet and assist in the  
8 commission of a crime. However mere presence at the  
9 scene of a crime is not sufficient to convict one as a  
10 principal on the theory of aiding and abetting.

11 Intent is also a necessary element for there must  
12 have been a common design or scheme or intent to commit  
13 the crime and the crime must have been committed pursuant  
14 thereto with the person aiding and abetting by some overt  
15 act. Intent means intending the result which actually  
16 occurs not accidentally or involuntarily. Intent may be  
17 shown by acts and conduct of the defendant and other  
18 circumstances from which you may naturally and reasonably  
19 infer intent.

20 The State must prove these elements beyond a  
21 reasonable doubt. Mere presence at the scene is not  
22 sufficient to prove someone guilty of a crime. A  
23 defendant's presence where a crime is being committed or  
24 a mere association does not make a defendant an  
25 accomplice or an aider or abettor of the person

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 committing the crime. The burden is on the State to  
2 prove every element of the crime charged. If you find  
3 after reviewing the evidence that the State has proved  
4 that the defendant was only present at the scene of the  
5 crime and that they have not proved beyond a reasonable  
6 doubt any other participation in the crime then you must  
7 find the defendant not guilty. The law is that proof of  
8 at the scene of the crime is not sufficient to find  
9 someone guilty.

10 An issue in this case is the identification of the  
11 defendant as the person who committed the crime charged.  
12 The State has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable  
13 doubt the identity of the person as the defendant  
14 committing the crime. You must be satisfied beyond a  
15 reasonable doubt of the accuracy of the identification of  
16 the defendant before you may convict the defendant.

17 Identification testimony is an expression of belief  
18 or impression by a witness. You must determine the  
19 accuracy of the identification of the defendant. You  
20 must consider the believability of each identification  
21 witness in the same way as any other witness. You may  
22 consider whether the witness had an adequate opportunity  
23 to observe the offender at the time of the offense. This  
24 will be affected by things like how long or short a time  
25 was available, how far or close the witness was, the

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 lighting conditions and whether the witness had the  
2 chance to see or know the person in the past. Once again  
3 I instruct you the burden of proof on the State extends  
4 to every element of the crime charged and this  
5 specifically includes the burden of proving beyond a  
6 reasonable doubt the identity of the defendant as the  
7 person who committed the crime. If after examining the  
8 testimony you have a reasonable doubt as to the accuracy  
9 of the identification you must find the defendant not  
10 guilty.

11 Ladies and gentlemen throughout the progress of this  
12 trial I have instructed you to disregard certain evidence  
13 by striking it from your notes. I have determined that  
14 it was not proper evidence and you should not consider it  
15 for any purpose during your deliberations. As to any  
16 questions to which an objection was sustained or as to  
17 any testimony ordered stricken you must not speculate as  
18 to what the answer might have been or as to the reason  
19 for the objection.

20 During examination of a witness in this trial  
21 reference was made to the witness's testimony in a  
22 previous trial. The previous trial referred to was not a  
23 trial of this defendant but was a trial of a co-defendant  
24 in this case. A statement alleged to have been made by  
25 the defendant has been admitted into evidence in this

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 case. While the court has determined that the statement  
2 was admissible I instruct you that you do make the  
3 ultimate decision of whether or not the defendant made  
4 the statement. If the defendant did make the statement  
5 you must determine whether the statement was made by the  
6 defendant voluntarily and of his own free will.

7 This means that the statement was not caused by  
8 pressure, force, fear, threats, coercion or intimidation  
9 or by hope or a promise of leniency or a reward of any  
10 kind. In determining whether the statement was voluntary  
11 you should consider both the characteristics of the  
12 defendant and the details of the questioning.

13 Some of the factors that you must consider are the  
14 age of the defendant, the defendant's education or lack  
15 thereof, the defendant's mental ability or capacity, the  
16 defendant's I.Q. or intelligence, the defendant's  
17 background -- excuse me, the defendant's background and  
18 environment, the place and length of detention, the  
19 nature of the questioning, the advice or lack thereof to  
20 the defendant of his Constitutional rights including but  
21 not limited to the right to remain silent, that any  
22 statement could be used against him in a court of law,  
23 the right to have a lawyer present, that if he could not  
24 afford a lawyer a lawyer would be appointed to represent  
25 him without any cost and that he could stop making a

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 statement at any time. You must carefully consider all  
2 the surrounding circumstances before you give any weight  
3 to an alleged statement. The State has the burden of  
4 proving beyond a reasonable doubt that the alleged  
5 statement was voluntary. If you determine it was you may  
6 give the statement any further consideration that you  
7 deem proper. You must decide what weight if any should  
8 be given to the alleged statement. If you determine the  
9 alleged statement was not the free and voluntary  
10 statement of the defendant you should not consider it at  
11 all.

12 I instruct you and emphasize the fact that the  
13 defendant did not testify is not a factor to be  
14 considered by you in any way in your deliberation and in  
15 your consideration on the question of the guilt or the  
16 innocence of the defendant. It must not be considered by  
17 you in any manner whatsoever. A defendant has the  
18 Constitutional right to remain silent and the assertion  
19 of this right must not be considered by you in your  
20 deliberations.

21 I repeat under your oath you are draw no conclusion  
22 whatsoever from the fact that the defendant in this case  
23 did not testify. The fact that this defendant did not  
24 testify should not even be discussed in the jury room.  
25 The burden of proof as I have indicated to you is on the

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 State. The defendant is not required to prove his  
2 innocence. The burden of proof remains on the State to  
3 prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. You have heard  
4 testimony concerning the penalty a person can serve for  
5 the crime alleged in these indictments.

6 In determining the guilt or the innocence of this  
7 defendant you cannot consider any possible penalty for  
8 any particular crime. The punishment for the crime is a  
9 matter for me to determine and you should never consider  
10 it in any manner in arriving at an impartial verdict as  
11 to the guilt or innocence of the defendant.

12 Ladies and gentlemen I'm now going to go over the  
13 verdict form with you. Please understand there is no  
14 significance in the way that I read this to you. It is  
15 simply has to go on the paper first. Mr. Foreperson you  
16 are responsible for filling out the verdict forms.

17 In the Court of General Sessions for the 9th  
18 Judicial Circuit indictment number 2013-GS-10-4529 on the  
19 charge of armed robbery of victim Robert McElhenney we,  
20 the jury, find Darren Keith Belt you will either indicate  
21 guilty or not guilty. And once you have reached a  
22 unanimous decision you will sign it and date that verdict  
23 form. In the Court of General Sessions indictment 2013-  
24 GS-10-4530 on the charge of armed robbery of victim Shane  
25 Burnett we, the jury, find Darren Keith Belt and again

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 you will either indicate guilty or not guilty on the  
2 verdict form. There is no significance in the way those  
3 words appear on the verdict form. It's simply I have to  
4 place them on the verdict form in one way -- one has to  
5 go before the other. Once again it must be a unanimous  
6 decision meaning all 12 of you must agree.

7       Once you have completed that verdict form you will  
8 sign it and date it. And once both are completed you  
9 will knock on the jury room door indicating to the  
10 bailiff that you have completed both verdict forms. We  
11 will bring you back into the jury room [sic] and we will  
12 take the verdict forms from you. I will make sure they  
13 are in order and they will be read by the Clerk.

14       Ladies and gentlemen this is the last time that I  
15 will say this to you. Do not begin your deliberations.  
16 Do not discuss this case amongst yourselves. We will be  
17 collecting the verdict forms and the evidence to go back  
18 with you.

19       Ladies and gentlemen to my first 12 is there any  
20 member of the jury panel who for whatever reason feels  
21 that you would be unable to complete your service to this  
22 case by continuing into the verdict -- into the jury room  
23 to retire to consider your verdict. If there is anyone  
24 who cannot accomplish that please stand at this time.  
25 Thank you. All 12 members have indicated that they can

State v Darren Belt  
Jury Charge  
September 17, 2015

1 continue their deliberations. To my two alternates at  
2 this time you have completed your service but same  
3 admonitions and I will come and release you from this  
4 case once the first 12 have begun their deliberations.  
5 Ladies and gentlemen please rise.

6 [Whereupon, the jury exits at 4:19 p.m.]

7 THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated. Any objection,  
8 correction to the charge as read from the State?

9 MR. ZIEGLER: None, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: From the defendant?

11 MR. DETREVILLE: None, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Thank you. Please come and get the  
13 information -- or the evidence collected.

14 [Whereupon, counsel and the court reporter review  
15 the evidence]

16 THE COURT: Evidence is out. Very nice job  
17 gentlemen. Thank you.

18 [Whereupon, court is in recess from 4:27 p.m. until  
19 4:38 p.m.]

20

21

22

23

24

25

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: We got a note. Do you want your client  
2 out for it? I've already responded to it. We received a  
3 note. I was actually releasing the alternates so I was  
4 already back there and received a note and the jury has  
5 asked for a transcript of the jail tape and I just simply  
6 responded you've received into evidence all the exhibits  
7 that have been made part of the record of this case. Is  
8 that sufficient? There was no transcript correct?

9 MR. ZIEGLER: No.

10 MR. DETREVILLE: That's sufficient, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Thank you. Anything? I just returned  
12 the note back. It will be court's 4 when it comes back  
13 out.

14 [Whereupon, court's exhibit number 4 is marked by  
15 the court reporter]

16 THE COURT: Anything further?

17 MR. ZIEGLER: No, Your Honor.

18 MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: All right. Counsel approach.

20 [Whereupon, an off the record bench conference is  
21 held]

22 [Whereupon, court is in recess from 4:39 p.m. until  
23 5:14 p.m.]

24 THE COURT: Be seated. We have a note.

25 [Whereupon, court's exhibit number 3 is marked by

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 the court reporter]

2 THE COURT: So what's the plan playing the jail  
3 tape, playing the audio?

4 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes. We are relying on you for the  
5 plan on that as far as -- we're ready to play it.

6 THE COURT: Okay. There was no redaction  
7 requested is that correct?

8 MR. DETREVILLE: I'm sorry?

9 THE COURT: The entire tape is in. So can I -- but  
10 was there some concern about any redaction that is  
11 needed?

12 MR. DETREVILLE: I was of the belief that they  
13 would just hear the portions that had already been played  
14 for them but not the whole ---

15 THE COURT: --- well the entire audio is in without  
16 objection, correct Madame Court Reporter?

17 THE COURT REPORTER: 35 was admitted.

18 THE COURT: So the only redaction that was  
19 discussed was I just got out.

20 MR. DETREVILLE: That's correct, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: All right.

22 MR. ZIEGLER: All the jury heard as I -- previously  
23 was the clips that we played. The entire...

24 THE COURT: Well the entire tape was introduced  
25 correct, not just the clips?

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 MR. ZIEGLER: Correct. Your Honor, I'm not sure.  
2 My inclination is the jury would only hear what they have  
3 already heard. But I don't have the case law to support  
4 that right now.

5 THE COURT: Do you want them to hear the entire  
6 thing minus the redaction or do you want them just to  
7 hear just what Mr. Ziegler played for them?

8 MR. DETREVILLE: I think I would prefer just the  
9 portion Mr. Ziegler played.

10 THE COURT: All right. Let's bring in the jury.  
11 They are concerned that they cannot hear it so can you  
12 play it louder?

13 MR. ZIEGLER: I can yes. We do have more volume on  
14 it so.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 [Whereupon, the jury enters at 5:17 p.m.]

17 THE COURT: Mr. Foreman I understand that you wish  
18 to hear the audio from the jail tape so Mr. Ziegler will  
19 be in charge of playing those clips.

20 [Whereupon, video clip plays]

21 THE COURT: You want to have that last part played  
22 again?

23 THE FOREPERSON: Yes, ma'am.

24 THE COURT: Can you play that last clip again?

25 Thank you.

State v Darren Belt  
Proceedings  
September 17, 2015

1 [Whereupon, the video clip plays]

2 MR. ZIEGLER: That's the end of what we played.

3 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Foreman do you need to  
4 hear any of those clips again?

5 MR. FOREPERSON: No, ma'am.

6 THE COURT: Please go and continue your  
7 deliberations. Please rise for the jury.

8 [Whereupon, the jury exits at 5:24 p.m.]

9 THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated. All right.  
10 We're at ease.

11 [Whereupon, court is in recess from 5:25 p.m. until  
12 5:53 p.m.]

13 THE COURT: You're renewing all your previous  
14 motions?

15 MR. DETREVILLE: I'm sorry. I am renewing all my  
16 previous objections.

17 THE COURT: And the court stands by the initial  
18 rulings. Please bring in the jury.

19 [Whereupon, the jury enters at 5:51 p.m.]

20 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Mr.  
21 Foreman I understand you have reached a verdict. If you  
22 will fold the verdict forms and hand them to my bailiff.

23 [Whereupon, the Foreperson complies]  
24  
25

State v Darren Belt  
Verdict  
September 17, 2015

1 VERDICT

2 THE COURT: Mr. Belt please stand.

3 [Whereupon, the defendant complies]

4 CLERK OF COURT: The verdict forms in the matter of  
5 the State of South Carolina versus Darren Keith Belt,  
6 defendant, as to one charge. On the charge of armed  
7 robbery of victim Robert McElhenney we, the jury, find  
8 Darren Keith Belt guilty. Signed by the Foreperson of  
9 the jury on September 17, 2015. Ladies and gentlemen of  
10 the jury if this was your verdict please raise your right  
11 hand.

12 [Whereupon, the jury complies]

13 CLERK OF COURT: Thank you. Please let the record  
14 reflect that all 12 jurors raised their right hand. On  
15 the charge of armed robbery of victim Shane Burnett we,  
16 the jury, find Darren Keith Belt guilty. Signed by the  
17 Foreperson of the jury on September 17, 2015. Ladies and  
18 gentlemen of the jury if this was your verdict please  
19 raise your right hand.

20 [Whereupon, the jury complies]

21 CLERK OF COURT: Thank you. Please let the record  
22 reflect that all 12 jurors raised their right hand.

23 THE COURT: Anything further requested of the jury  
24 from the defendant?

25 MR. DETREVILLE: No, Your Honor.

State v Darren Belt  
Verdict  
September 17, 2015

1 THE COURT: From the State?

2 MR. ZIEGLER: Nothing from the State, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat.

4 Ladies and gentlemen this completes your service to this  
5 case. If you will please be escorted back to your jury  
6 room I will be there in just a few moments to personally  
7 thank you for your service. Please rise for the jury.

8 [Whereupon, the jury is excused and exits at 5:53  
9 p.m.]

10 THE COURT: Thank you. Outside the presence of  
11 the jury any motions or matters?

12 MR. ZIEGLER: Nothing from the State, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: All right.

14 MR. DETREVILLE: Your Honor, we would request a  
15 period of time to file post trial motions.

16 THE COURT: What post trial motions do you think  
17 that you will be....

18 MR. DETREVILLE: Perhaps a motion for a new trial,  
19 Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: How long do you think? I typically do  
21 not give additional time. You were advised of that  
22 during the pretrial.

23 MR. DETREVILLE: May I have until the beginning of  
24 next week, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Are you requesting to defer sentencing

State v Darren Belt  
Verdict  
September 17, 2015

1 until you file the motions or can we proceed with  
2 sentencing at this time?

3 MR. DETREVILLE: I would leave that in the court's  
4 discretion if Your Honor prefers to proceed.

5 THE COURT: Let me -- do you have your sentencing  
6 sheets?

7 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: I'm going to release the jury. I'm  
9 going to go get the indictments for the juror to sign so  
10 do you have any additional -- anyone that we need to hear  
11 from for sentencing?

12 MR. DETREVILLE: I'm sorry, Your Honor I didn't  
13 understand ---

14 THE COURT: --- do you have any family members or  
15 anyone for sentencing?

16 MR. DETREVILLE: I do not, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right. Would you if we delayed  
18 sentencing?

19 MR. DETREVILLE: May I speak with my client  
20 briefly?

21 [Whereupon, Mr. DeTreville and the defendant confer]

22 MR. DETREVILLE: That would be helpful Your Honor  
23 and we could have somebody come speak on Mr. Belt's  
24 behalf if we delayed sentencing.

25 THE COURT: Any objection? I notice that the

State v Darren Belt  
Verdict  
September 17, 2015

1 victims are not here. Do you want to delay sentencing to  
2 allow for the victims to be present as well?

3 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, Your Honor. That would be fine.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Ziegler do you have a preference on  
5 sentencing?

6 MR. ZIEGLER: As far as a request from the State?

7 THE COURT: Date, date, a date?

8 MR. ZIEGLER: For the date? Anytime next week  
9 would be ---

10 THE COURT: --- next week you're at a conference.

11 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: And then the following week I will be  
13 in Berkeley. So we will do it the week of October 5th,  
14 which is a GS week so do you have a preference on the  
15 day?

16 MR. ZIEGLER: Preferably that Monday I suppose. It  
17 really doesn't matter during that week actually, Your  
18 Honor.

19 THE COURT: Any?

20 MR. DETREVILLE: Any day but Friday I think  
21 probably would be okay Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: I'm going to go ahead and set it at 10  
23 a.m. I already have a group of the Turning Leaf pleas so  
24 I'll go ahead and set it at that time as well.

25 MR. DETREVILLE: I'm sorry Your Honor, what day is

State v Darren Belt  
Verdict  
September 17, 2015

1 that?

2 THE COURT: 10 a.m. on the 6th. And if you will  
3 file -- I'll give you until Tuesday to file your post  
4 trial motions and we'll hear your post trial motions at  
5 that time as well.

6 MR. DETREVILLE: At the sentencing, Your Honor?

7 THE COURT: Correct. Mr. Ziegler anything else  
8 before we recess?

9 MR. ZIEGLER: No, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Mr. DeTreville anything before we  
11 recess?

12 MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: I'm going to give you these sentencing  
14 sheets back Mr. Ziegler.

15 [Whereupon, the court confers with the Clerk]

16 THE COURT: Oh, we do need to stamp them. Give  
17 them to Mike; we're going to stamp them deferred. All  
18 right. Thank you.

19 [Whereupon, the jury trial concludes at 6:01 p.m.]

20

21

22

23

24

25

|                         |   |                                |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA | ) | THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  |
|                         | ) | FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT |
| COUNTY OF CHARLESTON    | ) | CASE NOs. 2013A1010202453-4    |
| STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA | ) |                                |
|                         | ) | <b>DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR</b>  |
| vs.                     | ) | <b>NEW TRIAL</b>               |
|                         | ) |                                |
| DARREN KEITH BELT,      | ) |                                |
|                         | ) |                                |
| Defendant.              | ) |                                |
| _____                   | ) |                                |

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT THE DEFENDANT, by and through his counsel, J. Michael DeTreville, appointed, hereby moves this Court to grant him a new trial pursuant to Rule 29 of the South Carolina Rules of Criminal Procedure. In support of this motion, Defendant would show:

1. At trial of this matter, the State elicited testimony regarding the existence of a black shirt and a black hat alleged to have been observed in the back seat of Defendant's vehicle at the time he was stopped and subsequently arrested. Neither the black shirt nor the black hat were ever produced as evidence at trial in this matter, denying the Defendant his constitutional right to effectively cross-examine the witness testifying regarding the existence of these items. The existence of a black shirt and hat in such close proximity to the Defendant was a key issue at trial in this matter, as the suspects in this case were all described as having been wearing "all black" and "a black hat or hoodie" (or some minor variation thereof). The police officer who testified regarding his observation of the black shirt and hat also testified that he made no effort to collect these items, despite having described the items as having given him probable cause to prolong the Defendant's traffic stop and having described them as a "key piece of evidence." Defendant suffered unfair prejudice as a result of being denied the right to effectively cross-examine this witness regarding the existence of and/or characteristics of the black shirt and hat. Moreover, the lack of introduction of key evidence at trial, with only unsubstantiated testimony regarding its existence,


would lead to confusion amongst the jury regarding what ultimately was the paramount issue at trial of this matter --- eyewitness identifications and suspect descriptions, and the Defendant's match or lack thereof to such descriptions. Defendant timely objected to the introduction of this testimony and renewed his objection at the close of evidence.

2. This Court should also grant a new trial to the Defendant in this matter as the jury's verdict is against the weight of the evidence presented at trial, to-wit:

- a) Each eyewitness provided wildly varying descriptions of the Defendant, under oath, on several occasions, and each admitted at trial to having seen pictures on the news of the Defendant prior to giving detailed physical descriptions of the Defendant, such that no trier of fact could credibly rely upon such testimony;
- b) The Defendant did not match the description provided by witnesses at the scene, was not arrested at the scene, and was in possession of no contraband at the time of his arrest;
- c) Defendant's co-defendant, who testified at trial, admitted to having lied both during the course of the police investigation and trials of this Defendant and another co-defendant on no less than twenty (20) occasions, and was so obviously biased by his own self-interests (having not been sentenced for his guilty plea almost two years hence) that no trier of fact could credibly rely upon such testimony; and
- d) Without such flawed and biased testimony the Defendant would have been found not guilty at trial.

For the reasons stated above, Defendant would humbly request this Court set aside his guilty verdict and grant him a new trial, to commence forthwith.

Respectfully submitted:

By: 

J. Michael DeTreville, SC Bar # 73693  
DETREVILLE LAW & MEDIATION, LLC  
Counsel for Def., Darren K. Belt  
714 St. Andrews Blvd.,  
Charleston, South Carolina 29407  
Phone: (843) 571-0537  
Facsimile: (843) 556-7147  
michael@detrevillelaw.com

Charleston, South Carolina  
October 22, 2015

|                          |   |                           |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  | ) | COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS |
|                          | ) | NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT    |
| COUNTY OF CHARLESTON     | ) |                           |
|                          | ) |                           |
| STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, | ) | CASE NO. 2013-GS-10-4529  |
|                          | ) | 2013-GS-10-4530           |
|                          | ) |                           |
| PLAINTIFF,               | ) | TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD      |
|                          | ) |                           |
| VS.                      | ) |                           |
|                          | ) |                           |
| DARREN BELT,             | ) | POST-TRIAL MOTION AND     |
|                          | ) | SENTENCING                |
| DEFENDANT.               | ) |                           |
|                          | ) |                           |

October 23, 2015

Charleston, South Carolina

B E F O R E:

The Honorable Kristi L. Harrington

A P P E A R A N C E S:

Alexander Ziegler, Assistant Solicitor  
For the State

Michael Detreville, Esquire  
For the Defense

I N D E X

Post-Trial Motion by Mr. Detreville -- 03

Sentencing -- 18

EXHIBITS - None Proffered

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT -- 19

Look for an original signature in blue ink on the certification sheet to verify that the court reporter has certified the transcript you are viewing.

If you need an additional copy of this transcript or a sealed transcript or if opposing counsel requires a copy of the transcript, you should contact the court reporter.

Certification will satisfy Rule 80, Stenographic Report of Transcript as Evidence.

1 (WHEREUPON, Darren Belt was duly sworn.)

2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Detreville, what are we  
3 doing here today?

4 MR. DETREVILLE: Your Honor, we filed a post-trial  
5 motion for a new trial. And I believe my client is also set  
6 for sentencing today as well, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. I see that y'all are here.  
8 Just have a seat. This is going to take a little longer  
9 than standing. But feel free to stand, but just we are  
10 going to be here a while.

11 All right. Mr. Ziegler, are you prepared to go  
12 forward?

13 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right. And so as to your motion for a  
15 new trial I have reviewed everything. I will be happy to  
16 hear from you.

17 MR. DETREVILLE: Thank you, Your Honor. Our motion for  
18 a new trial is based substantially on the motion to suppress  
19 that we filed at the time of the trial regarding certain  
20 items that were found -- or not found, shall we say, in Mr.  
21 Belt's vehicle at the time that he was initially arrested  
22 pursuant to a traffic stop.

23 The initial items that we asked the court to suppress  
24 pertain to a black shirt and a black hat that the officer  
25 who initially stopped Mr. Belt testified at trial that he

1 observed in Mr. Belt's vehicle. Those items were not  
2 produced at trial.

3 I think -- I believe that there was testimony that none  
4 of the officers who participated in the inventory search of  
5 the vehicle saw those items or thought to collect those  
6 items despite a couple of the witnesses stating that those  
7 were key pieces of evidence.

8 We've -- we asked that Your Honor suppress testimony  
9 regarded those items as a denial of my client's  
10 constitutional right to effect cross-examination of  
11 witnesses against him.

12 There were -- there was conflicting testimony about  
13 characteristics of the black shirt and black hat at trial as  
14 well as some question as to whether it was even a hat or a  
15 hoodie or things of that nature.

16 Without those items actually being present at trial  
17 there was simply no way for us to effectively cross-examine  
18 the witness regarding those and potentially additional  
19 witnesses as well, Your Honor.

20 In addition to -- we have included that matter in the  
21 written motion for a new trial. Your Honor, as an oral  
22 motion there was also as part of that motion to suppress -  
23 and this was included in the motion to suppress - it was  
24 filed with the court, the issue of the traffic stop and what  
25 we view as an illegal second detention of Mr. Belt pursuant

1 to that traffic stop.

2 The traffic stop lasted for a period of approximately  
3 45 minutes. And our argument was that there was no probably  
4 cause to hold him for that period of time, that the -- that  
5 the arresting officer should have issued him a traffic  
6 citation because of the lack of probably cause and let him  
7 go about his way, and that any items that were seized  
8 pursuant to that traffic stop should have been suppressed  
9 essentially as fruit of the poisonous tree, Your Honor.

10 Those two items are the primary bases for our request  
11 for a new trial. The -- we also included in our written  
12 motion that to request that the court find that the jury's  
13 verdict was against the weight of the evidence presented at  
14 trial. And we were -- cited in the written motion, which I  
15 believe Your Honor has a copy of, the bases for that which  
16 would include - and without taking up too much of the  
17 court's time just simply reciting things that are written,  
18 Your Honor - problems with eyewitness identifications,  
19 matching of descriptions, clear -- clear bias and problems  
20 with truthfulness regarding certain witnesses at trial and  
21 that without those particular -- without that particular  
22 testimony that Mr. Belt in all likelihood would not have  
23 been found guilty at trial. Those are the bases for our  
24 request for a new trial, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Ziegler, I have your State's response

1 to the motion for new trial. Anything further I need to  
2 know?

3 MR. ZIEGLER: Your Honor, just to summarize the State's  
4 response briefly. First of all the State would renew its  
5 arguments from -- from the trial and the pretrial motions  
6 about the lawfulness of the traffic stop and the detention  
7 regarding the black shirt and the black hat and the right to  
8 confront the witness.

9 The State's position is that there is -- it was  
10 completely acceptable to allow the officer to testify to his  
11 personal observations about what he saw in the vehicle even  
12 though those items that he claimed to see were not  
13 collected. In addition other witnesses could be -- were  
14 cross-examined about the existence of those items and the  
15 collection of them.

16 Regarding the second main argument that the defense  
17 makes about the verdict being against the weight of  
18 evidence, first the State argues that all the witnesses in  
19 this case were cross-examined and it was for the jury to  
20 evaluate the credibility of those witnesses and the weight  
21 of the evidence presented. So that was properly in the  
22 hands of the jury.

23 And as to the second aspect of that response the State  
24 argues that there was a significant amount of competent  
25 evidence presented over the course of the trial, more than

1     ten witnesses, which the jury could use to support its  
2     verdict of guilty in this place.

3             And those -- some of those pieces of evidence and some  
4     of that testimony is highlighted in the State's written  
5     motion.

6             THE COURT: Anything further before I rule on your  
7     motion for a new trial?

8             MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing additional, Your Honor.

9             THE COURT: Based upon my review of your argument, the  
10    State's response, as well as the testimony that was  
11    presented throughout the trial of Mr. Belt, I deny your  
12    motion for a new trial.

13            I do find that there was competent evidence for which  
14    the jury to reach that verdict.

15            Are there any other post-trial motions, Mr. Detreville?

16            MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing, Your Honor.

17            THE COURT: Prepared to go forward with sentencing at  
18    this time?

19            MR. DETREVILLE: We are, Your Honor.

20            THE COURT: Mr. Ziegler, I notice that one of the  
21    victims is here as well as the lead investigator. I heard  
22    testimony from both of them, but I will be happy to hear  
23    from the victim if he wishes to address the court.

24            MR. ZIEGLER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Would you  
25    like to hear from Mr. Burnett now?

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 MR. BURNETT: Darren, I don't know if it is appropriate  
3 for you to look at me or not, but if it's okay ---

4 THE COURT: I would ask that you not ask -- make that  
5 request. But first of all I need you to state your full  
6 name for the record and spell your last name. Thank you.

7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Shane Burnett. Last name  
8 B-U-R-N-E-T-T.

9 THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Burnett, I appreciate your  
10 patience. We have gotten a little off schedule today, so I  
11 appreciate your patience. And just as if I would not let  
12 Mr. Belt turn around and address you, your comments need to  
13 be made to the court.

14 I'm happy to hear from you. Thank you again. And I  
15 hope your new job is going well.

16 MR. BURNETT: It is. Thank you very much.

17 I guess the only thing I have to say to the court is in  
18 the eyes of God Darren Belt is my brother and for that I  
19 forgive him. I don't hold any bad feelings for him.

20 I hope that whatever time he spends in jail that he  
21 spends those years reflecting on what he has done and that  
22 he can have a good life.

23 I have got a beautiful wife and two beautiful children,  
24 and I am going to go home tonight and be with them. And  
25 that night he wanted to take something for me. But the

1 truth is he could have everything I have if he works. He  
2 could have all of those things. And every day is a chance  
3 to turn it around. That's all.

4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Burnett. I appreciate your  
5 compassion and your kind words. Thank you.

6 Anything further from the State?

7 MR. ZIEGLER: Your Honor, Mr. McElhaney is the other  
8 victim in this case, and he is not present today. He has  
9 been ill the past couple of days.

10 But I have spoken to him about his -- heard from him  
11 about his position on sentencing. He just wanted to convey  
12 that he thought that he was going to be killed during this  
13 incident, there was a gun involved, and that he would  
14 request a significant sentence. He called it a full  
15 verdict.

16 I am just interpreting what that would mean but ---

17 THE COURT: Say that one more time.

18 MR. ZIEGLER: Mr. McElhaney asks for a, quote, full  
19 verdict. I am just assuming that means ---

20 THE COURT: Remind me of Mr. Belt's previous  
21 convictions.

22 MR. ZIEGLER: It is 2005 drinking alcohol in public,  
23 entry on lands after notice; 2007 possession of cocaine and  
24 possession of cocaine base that he was given a suspended YOA  
25 sentence for; 2009 a federal conviction for felon in

1 possession of a firearm; 2011 possession of marijuana.

2 THE COURT: How long has your client been in custody,  
3 Mr. Detreville?

4 MR. DETREVILLE: Exactly 900 days, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Anything further from the State?

6 MR. ZIEGLER: Yes, Your Honor, this case just to remind  
7 Your Honor of the facts this was ----

8 THE COURT: You don't need to re- -- I remember.

9 MR. ZIEGLER: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Thank you.

11 MR. ZIEGLER: But this was a situation in which the  
12 victims were complete strangers to the defendants and they  
13 were confronted on the side of the road on the sidewalk as  
14 they were walking home.

15 And it was a very dangerous situation and a very  
16 frightening situation for the victims, and the State  
17 requests significant prison sentence.

18 THE COURT: Was there an offer made?

19 MR. ZIEGLER: Your Honor, the offer was 12 years. The  
20 State would request a sentence in line with that of what the  
21 codefendant received.

22 THE COURT: And what was the codefendant what did he  
23 receive?

24 MR. ZIEGLER: He received the maximum -- well, not the  
25 maximum but a 30-year sentence.

1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Detreville, I am happy to  
2 hear from you, your client, and whomever regarding  
3 sentencing.

4 MR.. DETREVILLE: Thank you, without -- again, without  
5 rehashing the facts from the trial of this case I believe  
6 that the facts as presented indicate that my client was  
7 while a participant in this -- in this crime was not one of  
8 the main actors here.

9 I think that the great weight of evidence suggested  
10 that Mr. Belt's codefendant, Mr. Hayes, was the de facto  
11 ringleader in this -- in this action. And he is the  
12 individual who received the maximum sentence previously, the  
13 30 years. And Mr. Hayes had a substantial criminal record  
14 to go along with that as well.

15 We also heard testimony from another codefendant in  
16 this case, Mr. Parks, who by his admission was the  
17 individual carrying and pointing the firearm in this -- in  
18 this case.

19 I don't believe that there is any evidence that  
20 suggests that my client was ever in possession of a weapon.  
21 No weapons were found on him in his vehicle. No contraband  
22 of any sort was found in his vehicle or anything of that  
23 nature. All the contraband was found on and the weapons  
24 were found on the other two codefendants.

25 From speaking with my client, from speaking with his

1 family, I believe that there was some outside pressure shall  
2 we say from the -- from the codefendant Mr. Hayes to get Mr.  
3 Belt to participate in this activity. I don't believe that  
4 this is something that he would have done without that poor  
5 association.

6 And certainly he recognizes that he is, you know, he is  
7 responsible for the crowd that he runs with. This was not  
8 somebody who is a usual -- a usual person that he associated  
9 with. He is responsible for associating with him, but I  
10 think there was some outside pressure on him from Mr. Hayes  
11 to participate in this activity.

12 I would also ask that the court note that despite there  
13 being a weapon involved in this case that nobody was hurt.  
14 I do note that Mr. -- and I'm sorry, I forget his name. The  
15 other victim in this case was transported to the hospital.  
16 I think his testimony was that Mr. Parks placed the gun in  
17 his stomach and then he had I think he said a watermelon-  
18 sized hernia, something -- a pre-existing condition that  
19 caused that hospitalization. Other than that there were no  
20 injuries. There's no -- nobody was physically attacked  
21 other than the gun that Mr. Parks pointed at them.

22 Your Honor, we are asking for leniency in sentencing as  
23 a result of some of those factors. But I would also ask he  
24 has numerous family members present here today who I think  
25 can shed some more light on the situation as well, and I

1 would ask that they be heard at this time as well.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 Ma'am, I need you to state your full name for the  
4 record and please spell your last name.

5 MS. BELT: Amy Addison Belt, B-E-L-T. I am his  
6 step-mother. And this is my mother-in-law.

7 MS. ANDERSON: Mildred Anderson.

8 THE COURT: Ms. Belt, I am happy to hear from you  
9 regarding anything you wish to tell the court.

10 MS. BELT: Okay. My mother-in-law has most of the  
11 information because being his dad and I have been only  
12 married nine years. But the time that I have been around he  
13 has been very respectable and he is very remorseful for  
14 anything that he has done wrong.

15 And I do have a message from his father which is in  
16 Tennessee working and couldn't get here. But his father  
17 wants to state that he feels partially at fault for the --  
18 some of the routes that he's -- Darren has chosen to take  
19 because of his drug addiction.

20 His father struggled with drugs for many, many years.  
21 But we are happy to say that he is 14 years clean. He is an  
22 electric -- electrical journeyman.

23 And aside from the fact that he -- his mother and his  
24 father were military people so they were often left in the  
25 care of others. As a matter of fact as of this moment his

1 mom is deployed in Liberia. So he kind of thinks that he  
2 wasn't there, you know, in his life enough to make a real  
3 difference.

4 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Belt.

5 Ms. Anderson, do you wish to address the court?

6 MS. ANDERSON: Just a brief comment, Your Honor.

7 Please forgive me; I am upset.

8 THE COURT: I am going to need you to speak up.

9 MS. ANDERSON: I would just like to say that I  
10 apologize for Darren's behavior and that Darren was brought  
11 up in a pretty stable environment. And when his mother and  
12 father were divorced he just started to drift apart. And at  
13 that time I thought he needed counseling because he always  
14 seemed angry. And not able to get him that help.

15 And once he and his family moved back to the states and  
16 moved into Columbia Darren experienced a traumatic  
17 experience when he was injured. Someone broke into an  
18 apartment where he was visiting and shot him. And so I  
19 think all those things had an effect on Darren and he just  
20 never could get his -- get himself together. And I do  
21 apologize for that. And I hope you will consider that --  
22 those things.

23 Please forgive me for my ....

24 MS. BELT: In reference to the comment that the victim  
25 made to -- about him working and being able to afford the

1 things that ---

2 THE COURT: I don't -- I don't need you to respond to  
3 that.

4 MS. BELT: Okay.

5 THE COURT: I think sometimes people want to back and  
6 forth.

7 MS. BELT: But I just ---

8 THE COURT: But I just need to know -- I am getting  
9 ready to sentence your step-son, so that is what I need to  
10 hear about. All right?

11 MS. BELT: He -- he was attending ITT Tech when he got  
12 in trouble and was actively looking for employment but had  
13 been denied some positions because of his injury.

14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anything else, Mr.  
15 Detreville?

16 MR. DETREVILLE: I didn't know if anybody else wanted  
17 to speak. No, that would be it, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: And does your client wish to address the  
19 court?

20 MR. DETREVILLE: I believe he does, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Belt, you do not have to  
22 address the court. I will not hold the fact that you do not  
23 address the court against you if you choose not to.

24 I know that you -- I have placed you under oath and you  
25 have the right to appeal this charge so anything that you

1 say will be part of the record.

2 Mr. Belt, you also need to know that this is classified  
3 as a violent and a most serious offense. Do you understand  
4 what those two classifications mean to you?

5 MR. BELT: Yes, ma'am, I do.

6 THE COURT: And you understand that you have the right  
7 to appeal this conviction and the sentence that I will  
8 impose here today?

9 MR. BELT: Yes, ma'am.

10 THE COURT: And you have ten days to do so.

11 MR. BELT: Yes, ma'am.

12 THE COURT: Happy to hear from you, Mr. Belt.

13 MR. BELT: Okay. I just want to say that I -- I  
14 apologize to the victims and their families. And Mr.  
15 Burnett he said that he forgives me, but I apologize to his  
16 family because I know that they are affected by this too.  
17 So I hope that they can also forgive me.

18 I apologize to the other victim and his families and  
19 hopefully they can forgive me too. And hopefully they can  
20 be at peace now that this situation has come to this what it  
21 is now.

22 I just wanted to say that I apologize to my family for  
23 making them come out of town just to go through this  
24 situation right here. I apologize to them for just getting  
25 myself in this situation and everything that it has caused

1    them.

2           And I know there's a lot of things in my life that I  
3    never got a chance to experience, and there's going to be a  
4    lot of things in my life that I won't get a chance to  
5    experience due to me being there. And I don't have any  
6    kids. I don't have no girlfriend. I don't have any  
7    friends. All I do have is just a few family members that  
8    love and care for me.

9           And I just hope that when this is all over with I can  
10   get a chance to get back out there with those few family  
11   members that I do have.

12          Because right now I have got to go make things right  
13   with society. But I just hope that when I get out I can  
14   make it up to my family.

15          So I just want to apologize to anybody that this has  
16   affected. I apologize to the court system for taking time  
17   out of their lives to deal with this situation when they  
18   could have be doing other things.

19          And I just apologize to just anybody who was affected  
20   by this, because it affects other people more than it  
21   affects me because I'm just one person. And there's two  
22   victims and their families, my family, the court system.  
23   That is way more than me, so I just apologize to anybody who  
24   is affected by the situation.

25          THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Belt. I appreciate those

1 words.

2 Anything else, Mr. Detreville?

3 MR. DETREVILLE: Nothing, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Taking into account all the facts of the  
5 case, the jury's verdict, as well as Mr. Belt's prior  
6 record, as well as the opportunities that he had, it is the  
7 order of the court on 2013-GS-10-4529 and 4530 that will run  
8 concurrent that you be committed to the State Department of  
9 Corrections for a term of 18 years.

10 Good luck to you, sir. Credit for 900 days.


11 (WHEREUPON, the hearing concluded.)

(NOTE: A transcript which has been certified by the court reporter will bear an original signature on the below certification sheet. Please contact the court reporter for additional certified transcripts.)

### CERTIFICATE

I, the undersigned Phyllis Norton, Official Court Reporter for the Ninth Judicial Circuit of the State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, accurate, and complete transcript of record of all the proceedings had and evidence introduced in the captioned case, relative to appeal, in the Court for Charleston County, South Carolina, on October 23, 2015.

I do further certify that I am neither of kin, counsel, nor interest to any party hereto.



PHYLLIS NORTON, CVR  
(Signature in blue ink.)

Date: January 6, 2016

Certified Transcript Provided For: SCCID  
Certification Reference # 010616 0215

752  
FGN20130503414

WITNESSES

Mt. Pleasant Police Department

AGENCY CASE NUMBER

2013P0003429

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2013A1010202454

DATE OF ARREST

May 7, 2013

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

**TRUE BILL**

ACTING FOREPERSON

Foreperson of Grand Jury  
Date:

SEP 10 2013

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury

Date:

INDICT

DOCKET NO: 2013GS1004530

The State of South Carolina

County of Charleston

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

September Term 2013

THE STATE

vs.

DARREN KEITH BELT

DOB: [REDACTED]

B/M

Indictment for

Armed Robbery

**FILED**

9/23/2013 11:01:03 AM

JULIE J. ARMSTRONG

CLERK OF COURT

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY

JULIE J. ARMSTRONG (SEALED)

CLERK, C.P., S.S. & F.C.

By: *Michelle Han*

DEPUTY CLERK

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )


## INDICTMENT


At a Court of General Sessions, convened on September 9, 2013 the Grand Jurors of Charleston County present upon their oath:

Armed Robbery

That on or about May 7, 2013, in Charleston County, South Carolina, the Defendant, Darren Keith Belt, by use of force, threats or intimidation and while armed with a deadly weapon, or while alleging, either by action or words, he was armed while using a representation of a deadly weapon or any object which a person present during the commission of the robbery reasonably believed to be a deadly weapon, did take and carry away goods and/or monies from the person or immediate presence of Shane Burnett with the intent to permanently deprive the victim of possession thereof, in violation of §16-11-330(A) of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.

  
EMMANUEL FERGUSON  
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY  
JULIE J. ARMSTRONG (SEAL)  
B.   
DEPUTY CLERK

754  
FGN20130503414

WITNESSES

Mt. Pleasant Police Department

AGENCY CASE NUMBER

2013P0003429

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2013A1010202453

DATE OF ARREST

May 7, 2013

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

TRUE BILL  
ACTING FOREPERSON

Foreperson of Grand Jury  
Date:

SEP 10 2013

VERDICT

Foreperson of Petit Jury

Date:

INDICT

DOCKET NO. 2013GS1004529

The State of South Carolina

County of Charleston

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

September Term 2013

THE STATE

vs.

DARREN KEITH BELT

DOB: [REDACTED]

B/M

Indictment for

Armed Robbery

FILED

9/23/2013 11:01:03 AM

JULIE J. ARMSTRONG

CLERK OF COURT

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY

JULIE J. ARMSTRONG (SEAL)

CLERK, C. G. S. & F.C.

DEPUTY CLERK

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )

## INDICTMENT


At a Court of General Sessions, convened on September 9, 2013 the Grand Jurors of Charleston County present upon their oath:

**Armed Robbery**

That on or about May 7, 2013, in Charleston County, South Carolina, the Defendant, Darren Keith Belt, by use of force, threats or intimidation and while armed with a deadly weapon, or while alleging, either by action or words, he was armed while using a representation of a deadly weapon or any object which a person present during the commission of the robbery reasonably believed to be a deadly weapon, did take and carry away goods and/or monies from the person or immediate presence of Robert McElheney with the intent to permanently deprive the victim of possession thereof, in violation of §16-11-330(A) of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.


  
EMMANUEL FERGUSON  
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY  
JULIE L ARMSTRONG (SEAL)  
CLERK, C.P.S. REC.  
By   
DEPUTY CLERK

## CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

Counsel for appellant certifies that this Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material and that this Record on Appeal complies to the best of my ability with the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled "Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings."

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Laura R. Baer", written over a horizontal line.

Laura R. Baer  
Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense  
Division of Appellate Defense  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia, S.C. 29211-1589


ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

This 28th day of November, 2016.

## CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

Counsel for appellant certifies that this Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material and that this Record on Appeal complies to the best of my ability with the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled "Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings."

Respectfully Submitted,



Laura R. Baer  
Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense  
Division of Appellate Defense  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia, S.C. 29211-1589

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

This 28th day of November, 2016.

**RECEIVED**  
NOV 28 2016  
SC Court of Appeals