

ORIGINAL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

Appeal from Berkeley County  
Kristi Lea Harrington, Circuit Court Judge

---

RECEIVED

NOV 28 2016

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

STEVEN DWAYNE MOSES,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2016-000627

---

PETITION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE  
INITIAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT  
AND DESIGNATION OF MATTER

---

The undersigned counsel respectfully requests a thirty day extension in which to file the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the above-referenced case. In support of this motion, counsel would respectfully show the Court the following extraordinary circumstances:

1. The initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in this case are due to be served and filed today.

2. On November 21, 2016, counsel filed the brief of petitioner in the case of Keith Desue v. The State of South Carolina with the Supreme Court. On November 16, 2016, counsel filed the petition for writ of certiorari and the brief of appellant pursuant to White v. State in the case of Steven Spagnoli v. The State of South Carolina with the Supreme Court. On November 3, 2016, counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in the case of The


State v. Felix Kotowski with this Court. On October 10, 2016, counsel filed the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter in The State v. Jonathon Phillips with this Court. On October 4, 2016, counsel filed a petition for writ of certiorari to the Court of Appeals and an accompanying appendix in The State v. Roy Lee Jones with the Supreme Court. From September 26, 2016 through September 28, 2016, counsel attended the annual Public Defender Conference in Myrtle Beach. On September 22, 2016, counsel attended a remand hearing in Spartanburg County in Marion Lindsey v. The State before the Honorable Paul M. Burch. On September 16, 2016, counsel filed a Reply to Return Petition for Writ of Certiorari in Treveen Gethers v. The State with the Supreme Court.


3. This request is made in good faith, and not for purposes of delay. Counsel intends to continue to work on the cases with more than three extensions first so that the caseload will hopefully become more manageable in the near future, and less extensions will need to be requested.

4. As indicated by the consent below, counsel for the state graciously consents to or does not oppose this request.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned counsel would respectfully request a thirty day extension. Counsel respectfully requests that the time limits for filing the initial brief of appellant and designation of matter be held in abeyance pending a ruling on this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Lara M. Caudy  
Appellate Defender



Robert M. Dudek  
Chief Appellate Defender

November 28, 2016

I do not oppose:



J. Benjamin Aplin, Esquire