

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Kendall M. Baccus, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2015-001280

Lower Court Case No. 2014-CP-21-00119

---

## ORDER

---

By vote of the Court, after careful consideration of the entire record as required by *Johnson v. State*, 294 S.C. 310, 364 S.E.2d 201 (1988), and petitioner's *pro se* responses,<sup>1</sup> we deny the petition and grant counsel's request to withdraw.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FOR THE COURT C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina

December 2, 2016

cc:

LaNelle Cantey DuRant, Esquire  
Johanna Catalina Valenzuela, Esquire  
Kendall Marquise Baccus, #355137

---

<sup>1</sup> In a *pro se* letter dated November 24, 2016, petitioner has advised this Court that he has requested the release of health care records. He asserts these records will support his claim that he is entitled to relief in this case. The letter also contains additional argument regarding the merits of this case.

To the extent this letter may be seeking to supplement the appendix with these health records, the request is denied. See *Jamison v. State*, 410 S.C. 456, 765 S.E.2d 123 (2014) (stating the appendix may include only matter that was presented to the post-conviction relief judge). This Court has, however, treated this letter as an additional *pro se* response by petitioner.