

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND

Frank J. Cumberland, Jr., Jennifer B.
Gardner and Michael R. Ugino

Plaintiffs,

vs.

City of Columbia,

Defendant.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Case No. 2011-CP-40-6705


**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO RECONSIDER**


RICHLAND COUNTY
FILED
2016 NOV -3 AM 10:30
JEANETTE B. HINDS
C.C.P. CLERK

This matter comes before the Court by way of Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter and/or Amend the Judgment pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRCF filed October 27, 2016. Specifically, Plaintiffs ask this Court to reconsider its Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend and Granting Defendant's Motion to Strike that was filed October 17, 2016.

After careful consideration of the record in this case and the submissions of the parties, this Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that has either been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or facts not appropriately considered. Accordingly, this Court hereby **DENIES** Plaintiffs' Motion under Rule 59(e), SCRCF, to reconsider this Court's October 17, 2016, Order. Furthermore, pursuant to Rule 59(f), SCRCF, the Court is of the opinion that oral argument is not necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


_____, South Carolina
November 2, 2016



G. Thomas Cooper, Jr., Judge
Fifth Judicial Circuit

RECEIVED

DEC 05 2016

SC Court of Appeals