

South Carolina Supreme Court

Vincent Rice

Petitioner

vs.

State of South Carolina

Respondant

In the South Carolina Supreme Court

Motion to Amend
Questions of Law

CLA. 2015-002479

In accordance to the SCRC Rule 243(e)(1), Petitioner is compelled to request the court to allow him to amend Questions of Law as to the following:

- 1.) Did the court err in finding the counsel's testimony more credible and persuasive?
- 2.) Did the court err in finding there were no deficiencies in the case?
- 3.) Did the counsel become familiar with the facts, evidence and elements in relation to the law?
- 4.) Would Petitioner have plead guilty to the greater offenses if not for the ineffectiveness of counsel?

Respectfully Submitted,

Vincent Rice

Vincent Rice #216178

E.C.1

MB-205

410 Hwy 9

W. Bennettsville, S.C 29812

December 7, 2014

RECEIVED

DEC 12 2016

S.C. SUPREME COURT

State of South Carolina
In The Supreme Court

Certiorari to Richland County
Honorable Tanya A. Gee, Circuit Judge

Vincent Rice,

petitioner

v.

State of South Carolina

respondent

Certificate of Service

I certify that a copy of a motion to amend questions of law have been served on Jessica Kinard, Esquire, at 1000 Assembly St Room 519, Columbia, S.C 29201, this 8th day of December 2014



Vincent Rice #314178
Petitioner

Vincent Rice # 780778
F.C.I.
MB-205
W. Hwy 9 East
W. Bennettsville, S.C 29512

S.C Supreme Court
Clerk of court
Assembly St
Columbia, S.C 29201

COLUMBIA SC 292

08 DEC 2016 PM

02 1P
0000880240
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 29512
PITNEY BOWES
000.465
DEC 08 2016

DEC 08 2016

LEGAL MAIL USE ONLY

9599910202

