

Glynndeavin von Fox  
St. Phillips Parish  
164 Market Street, Suite 117  
Charleston, South Carolina 29401

**RECEIVED**  
NOV 15 2016  
S.C. SUPREME COURT

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse  
Clerk, South Carolina Supreme Court  
Post Office Box 11330  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

*Return* **Motion To Dismiss Petition For Writ of Certiorari**

The response in whole by Glynndeavin von Fox, *Pro Se* is that the ability to have a recorded audio transcribed hearing is to determine what is said in a room, and as the Attorney General of South Carolina's office again is mis-understanding, outside of filing time periods, is that the order was for Glynndeavin von Fox to receive a mental evaluation to access the court *Pro Se* on his own behalf in the general sessions hearing. Glynndeavin von Fox already has in the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court District a mental evaluation by Dr. Randolph Waid, Phd, who is heavily used by the State of South Carolina, stating that there is no mental issue with Glynndeavin von Fox, and that the standardized testing is the state requirement for determining such. The ability of Glynndeavin von Fox to stand *Pro Se* for himself is what is being sought in the order, and the audio transcriptions by the Honorable Judge Deadra Jefferson.

In ability to file an appeal in regards to an order in the State of South Carolina, it is very clear in the South Carolina Code of Law that any and all orders or decisions are appealable to the court of appeals, and likewise to the United States Supreme Court under Rule 13 from a lower appellant court of a state court with a state court of last resort and the regular ability to file for the United States of America writ of certiorari through the 90 day filing window from the date of order. Under South Carolina Code of Law the Attorney General's Office is still amidst a cloud of issues with the law and rules in South Carolina, as the law clearly reads that ability to appeal is not in the last portion of a criminal trial, but throughout the trial when an order is made to stop a trial that has come to a four year turning stone due to issues with the Sovereign Country of Japan ruling that is being brought into a state court without any documents from Japan stating an outcome of an issue with United States Navy seal, United States of America Embassy of Japan seal, or the United States of America Department of Justice seal from itself or Interpol.

In ability of the understanding of the South Carolina Court of Appeals in the matter of the motion, it clearly states that the Charleston County Court of the Honorable Judge Deadra Jefferson has the right to request any mental evaluations within the pre-trial nature of a case. It does not state that because the issue is a criminal matter that the case has to be concluded for an appeal to take place under the South Carolina Code of Law Title 18, Chapter 1, Section 18170, which conducts a stay of execution of a sentence until an appeal is heard making no indication of the muddled response in the motion to dismiss of an inability to hear an appeal of an order in pre-trial status, and invoking the introduction of Title 18 of the South Carolina Code of Law in Title 18, Chapter 1, Section 18130, which allows an aggrieved party the ability to make an appeal to the appellants process of South Carolina.

In ability of the understanding the challenge of the South Carolina Court of Appeals by Glynndeavin von Fox regarding the writ of certiorari that clearly states that the mental evaluation was already completed with Dr. Randolph Waid, Phd. in the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit District Court, Glynndeavin von Fox has numerous university level courses in Mock Trial (4.0), USAF SERE, and the Supreme Court (4.0) understanding case review and procedures of the court domestically and internationally, showing also that Glynndeavin von Fox is not in a murder trial with a mental illness history or past criminal record of any kind, and lastly that Glynndeavin von Fox, like the aristocratic surname in the United Kingdom of Sir Henry Fox, 3<sup>rd</sup> Baron of Holland knows that a foreign judgment of any kind concerning an International country has no ability in a South Carolina court regarding South Carolina Code of Law Title 15, Article 11, Section 15-35-910 (1) and any other matter than would be allowed to be pursued under the United States of America Constitution regarding state's rights is not allowed to enter judgement; nor a Rule 902 violated document at the state or federal rule. All of these things have influenced the decision of the Honorable Deadra Jefferson by the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Solicitor's Office under Assistant Solicitor's Charles W. Patrick, III and Stephanie Linder, Esq.

I, Glynndeavin von Fox, did not and will not concede to the appellants decision in South Carolina Court of Appeals decision for two reasons:


**Can a South Carolina Court of Law place a trial on the docket to undergo jury qualifications, overall hearing, and then remove it from the docket to make use of information that was already gained in 2014 when the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Solicitor's Office placed Glynndeavin von Fox in a PTI program?**

**Can the State of South Carolina hear issues of a foreign country to influence a decision regarding a private citizens General Sessions trial in South Carolina under the South Carolina Code of Law Title 15, Article 11, Section 15-35-910?**

These are the reasons why Glynndeavin von Fox accessed the South Carolina Court of Appeals and the South Carolina Supreme Court, and Glynndeavin von Fox is strongly adamant that through statement in the South Carolina Court of Charleston County he objects to the very nature of the decisions of the appellants court in South Carolina. The factual evidence deciphering of the documents explaining such to the South Carolina Court of Appeal was included, and the South

Carolina Court of Appeals overlooked the fact that the State of South Carolina is a state in a union; not a country in the world. The notion that even if the South would have won the Civil War it would have still been subservient to the Army of Northern Virginia, and the West Point graduate of Jefferson Davis (CSA) not giving South Carolina sole custody of the South; just a democratic element that is under the spell of Plato's *Republic*. I request that the motion of the Attorney General of South Carolina be dismissed regarding timely filing issues with the State of South Carolina Supreme Court, and that the case be either heard, order overturned, or case returned to the lower court of appeals for hearing.

Sincerely and Ever Yours in Deo Vindice,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Glynndeavin von Fox', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the left.

Glynndeavin von Fox

*Pro Se*

C.C. Attorney General of South Carolina

FORM 7  
PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

RECEIVED

NOV 15 2016

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Supreme Court

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Court of General Sessions

Deadra Jefferson, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2016-001673

Glynndeavin von Fox,

Respondent,

v.

The State of South Carolina,

Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the response to **Motion To File Extension Request Out Of Time, Motion For First Extension Of Time Within Which To Serve And File Response To Petition For Writ Of Certiorari, And Motion To Dismiss Petition For Writ Of Certiorari** on the Attorney General of South Carolina, The Honorable Alan Wilson, by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, on the 23<sup>rd</sup> of September, 2016, addressed to Post Office Box 11549, Columbia, SC 29211 given by his assistant, a one Mr. Mark R. Farthing, Esq.

September 21, 2016

s/ Glynndeavin von Fox  
Glynndeavin von Fox  
St. Phillips Parish  
164 Market Street, Suite 117  
Charleston, South Carolina 29401  
(843) 330-7200  
*Pro Se*

