

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Carolyn M. Nicholson, Claimant, Respondent,

v.

S.C. Department of Social Services, Employer, and State
Accident Fund, Carrier, Defendants, Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2012-206507

ORDER

After careful consideration of the suggestion for rehearing en banc, the Court has determined that en banc consideration is not necessary to secure or maintain the uniformity of its decisions, nor is the proceeding one involving a question of exceptional importance. Further, the Court finds no other ground appearing to warrant a rehearing en banc. Accordingly, the suggestion for rehearing en banc is denied.

Thomas E. Saff

J.

Paul E. Short, Jr.

J.

H. Z. Wo

J.

Paul Thomas

J.

Daniel G. Pieper

J.

John O. Deane J.

James E. Shur J.

_____ J.

_____ J.

I would vote to grant the rehearing en banc.

John C. ... J.

[Signature] J.

_____ J.

_____ J.

_____ J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:
Lawson Brenn Watson, Esquire
Kathryn Williams, Esquire
Zachary McIver Smith, Esquire

FILED
January 24, 2014