

Submitted: August 16, 2016

Decided: August 24, 2016

Before TRAXLER, KING, and AGEE, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Glynndeavin von Fox, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

RECEIVED

JAN 11 2017


S.C. SUPREME COURT

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Glynndeavin von Fox appeals the district court's orders accepting the recommendations of the magistrate judge and dismissing each case without prejudice for failing to state a claim. We have reviewed the records and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeals for the reasons stated by the district court. von Fox v. South Carolina, No. 2:16-cv-00106-RMG (D.S.C. Feb. 19, 2016); von Fox v. South Carolina, No. 2:16-cv-00132-RMG (D.S.C. Feb. 29, 2016); von Fox v. Med. Univ. of S.C., No. 2:16-cv-00179-RMG (D.S.C. Feb. 19, 2016); von Fox v. Ariz. State Univ., No. 2:16-cv-00097-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 7, 2016); von Fox v. Market St. Pavilion Hotel, No. 2:16-cv-00187-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. Coll. of Charleston, No. 2:16-cv-00188-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. Ritz Carlton Corp., No. 2:16-cv-00186-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. Japan, No. 2:16-cv-00225-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 7, 2016); von Fox v. Prenner & Marvel PA, No. 2:16-cv-00184-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. City of Charleston Police Dep't, No. 2:16-cv-00098-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 7, 2016); von Fox v. South Carolina, No. 2:16-cv-00227-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. U.S. State Dep't, No. 2:16-cv-00185-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. South Carolina, No. 2:16-cv-00131-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 7, 2016); von Fox v. Charleston Police Dep't, No. 2:16-cv-00136-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 7, 2016); von Fox v.

South Carolina, No. 2:16-cv-00228-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. Nava, No. 2:16-cv-00394-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. Savage Law Firm, No. 2:16-cv-00180-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. Waid, No. 2:16-cv-00181-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. Seaton Law Firm, No. 2:16-cv-00182-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016); von Fox v. Keefer & Keefer, No. 2:16-cv-00183-RMG (D.S.C. Mar. 10, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.


DISMISSED



JAN - 9 2017



1000



29201

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
LADSON, SC
29456
JAN 09, 17
AMOUNT
\$1.15
R2304M114617-02

SCOTUS CASE No: 2016-001673

S.C. Supreme Court (SCOSC)

1231 Garden St

Columbia, S.C. 29201

FIRST CLASS

Glycolosoma virid. var. Fox
St. Phillips parish
144 Market Street
Site 117
Charleston, S. C. 29401