

NOTICE OF NON-DENIAL FOR LACK OF FORM

In preparing "foundational documents", it has come to my attention that quite often "The Court" will refuse to act, or dismiss a case, under the ruse of "DENIED FOR WANT OF FORM".

The First Congress in 1789, clearly outlines the intent of what a court is to be and that **the court is the one who is "deemed to know the law"** and must assist sovereign people in **our** courts to plead **our** cases before a jury of **our** peers as **we** see fit to plead **our** cases, with **our** pick of counsel.

The court works for US, and has no discretion to refuse to hear cases of deprivation of rights and criminal injury, nor do they have the right to refuse a "trial by Jury".

Therefore; Any document, which is "an act of court", shall not be dismissed for lack of form or failure of process. All the pleadings must be as any reasonable man/woman would understand, clearly written, affidavits of facts and law.

*"And be it further enacted, that no summons, writ, declaration, return, process, judgment, or other proceedings in civil cases in any of the courts of the United States, shall be abated, arrested, quashed or reversed, for any defect or want of form, but the said courts respectively shall proceed and give judgment according as the right of the cause and matter in law shall appear unto them, without regarding any imperfections, defects or want of form in such writ, declaration, or other pleading, returns process, judgment, or course of proceeding whatsoever, except those only in cases of demurrer, which the party demurring shall specially sit down and express together with his demurrer as the cause thereof. And the said courts respectively shall and may, by virtue of this act, from time to time, amend all and every such imperfections, defects and wants of form, other than those only which the party demurring shall express as aforesaid, and may at any time, permit either of the parties to amend any defect in the process of pleadings upon such conditions as the said courts respectively shall in their discretion, and by their rules prescribe." **Judiciary Act of September 24, 1789, Section 342, FIRST CONGRESS, Sess. 1, ch. 20, 1789.***

RECEIVED

JAN 11 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Sincerely, I am,



Biafra Monique Curtis, Pro Se- Appellant
PO BOX 21294
Hilton Head Island, SC 29925

January 9, 2017

Attn: Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk
South Carolina Court of Appeals
PO BOX 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED
JAN 11 2017
SC Court of Appeals

RE: Curtis vs. South Carolina Department of Public Safety, et al
Appellate Case No. 2016-001239


Greetings,

Enclosed please find for filing the Appellants Response And Objection To Respondent's Objection And Motion To Compel Appellant To Conform To Rule 211 (b), as well as a Certificate of Service.

Also enclosed, please find a NOTICE OF NON-DENIAL FOR LACK OF FORM.

Thank you in advance.

Sincerely, I am,




Biafra Monique Curtis, Pro Se- Appellant
PO BOX 21294
Hilton Head Island, SC 29925

BIAFRA CURTIS
PO BOX 21294
HILTON HEAD, SC
29925



1000



29211

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
SAVANNAH, GA
31405
JAN 09, 17
AMOUNT

\$1.57

R2805E124365-12



THE HONORABLE JENNY ABBOT KITCHINGS
CLERK OF COURT
SC COURT OF APPEALS
PO BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

RECEIVED

JAN 11 2017

SC Court of Appeals