

Form 99

MOTION TO STOP ORDER FROM A COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

JAN 12 2017

SC Court of Appeals

2017 JAN -6 PM 3:23

JULIE J. ARMSTRONG
CLERK OF COURT

FILED

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. Hon. Robert B. St. John, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2016-CP-10-1272

Applied Psychological Services, LLC, Respondent,

v.

Glyndor Lewis and Fox Appellant.

MOTION TO STOP ORDER

The Appellant Glyndor Lewis and Fox seeks to
have the order of the Hon. Robert B. St. John
stopped regarding the case no. 2016-CP-10-1272
in its entirety.

Date: 6th of January, 2017

sl Glyndor Lewis and Fox
St. Phillip parish
164 Market Street, Suite 117
Charleston, S.C. 29401
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se

*The bracketed language should be added to the body of the Notice of Appeal when the appeal is taken from a written order deciding a post-trial motion under Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP.

FORM 18
PETITION FOR APPEAL TO THE
COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

JAN 12 2017

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Mr. Hon. Robert St. Hill, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2014-cp-10-1272

Applied Psychological
Services, LLC

Respondent,

v.

Glyxlonaxid Vortox

Appellant.

PETITION FOR APPEAL

G. Vortox
Sr. Phillip Parise
164 Market St., Suite 117
Charleston, S.C. 29401
Pro Se

Other Counsel of Record:

Joseph D. Thompson, III.
40 Calhoun St. Suite 550
Charleston, S.C. 29401

INDEX

Certificate of Counsel 1

Questions Presented 1

Statement of the Case 1

Arguments

 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS
 BARRED BY RES JUDICATA 2

 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER 2

Conclusion 2

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

RECEIVED

JAN 12 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Counsel for Petitioner is Himself Under *Pro Se*.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Should the Court of Appeals Hold that this action is barred by res judicata?
2. Did the Court of Appeals Receive This Appeal In A Timely Manner?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The answer for the question is clear, the Hon. Robert D. Stittwell did not allow for the legalities of federal issues to be heard regarding conspiracy against rights on the South Carolina Court of Appeals under the clear malpractice on Dr. Susan Knight regarding the radiologist in Santee, SC from which the South Carolina Court clearly ruled by the Hon. Jeffrey J. Cook. The case was dismissed on Dec. 14, 2014 and instructed to refile with a malpractice issue regarding a second opinion.

ARGUMENT

1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS BARRED BY RES JUDICATA.

The Argument is clear South Carolina has laws on place concerning conspiracy against rights. These laws protect United States Constitutional rights within a population of South Carolina residents and the Hon. Robert Spivey heard the issue with documentary evidence from Dr. Susan Knight through emails which also barred attorneys within South Carolina code of conduct.

2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER.

The petitioner Glynda Davis did not fail to timely object to the trial judge's order through South Carolina Code of Conduct.

For the reasons stated, petitioner asks the Court to grant the request for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Jan 9th, 2017

Isl: G. Vent Fox
St. Phillip's Parish
164 Market Street, Suite 117
Charleston, S.C. 29401
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se



1000




29211

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
LADSON, SC
29456
JAN 10, 17
AMOUNT

\$0.63

R2304M115995-07

FOREVER



USA

South Carolina's Court of Appeals

P.O. Box 11629


Columbia, S.C. 29211

RECEIVED

JAN 12 2017


SC Court of Appeals

2921131629 B012



Glyndra David You Fox
St. Phillips parish
144 Market Street
Suite 117
Charleston, S.C. 29401



THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT 

© USPS 2013