

82165

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEALS FROM SUMTER COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Richard L. Booth, Master-In-Equity

Case No. 2014-CP-43-00270

DLJ Mortgage, LLC, Respondent,

vs.

AMEER AKEEM AMIN Defendants,

Of Whom AMEER AKEEM AMIN is the Appellant.

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Caroline Glenn, Esquire,
BROCK & SCOTT, PLLC
3800 Fernandina Road Suite 110
Columbia, SC 29210
Attorney for Respondent

Amin, Ameer Akeem
4240 Amelia Dr.
Sumter, SC 29154
Pro Se

RECEIVED
JAN 12 2017
SC Court of Appeals

I, Amin, Ameer Akeem comes now in the capacity of AMEER-AKEEM AMIN, to make a request for reconsideration of the dismissal of my case. It has been brought to my attention upon research that the following facts must be considered.

1. The letter I received postmarked January 6, 2017, stated that a certificate for appellant's final brief was not provided. It does not state the type of certificate expected to receive. (See Exhibit A) Which certificate are you referring to on your letter?


2. The letter received on November 17, 2016, stated that the required certificate of counsel was not accompanied with my document. In my final brief it was stated that (See Exhibit B)


Note:

THEREFORE, PLEASE TAKE IN CONSIDERATION, that this brief was not prepared by an attorney and that Law and precedent and in accordance with the Supreme Court of the United States pro se Pleadings MAY NOT be held to the same standard as a lawyer's and/or attorney's; and whose motions, pleadings and all papers may ONLY be judged by their functions and never their form. See: Haines v. Kerner; Platsky v. CIA; Anastasoff v. United States; Litigants are to be held to less stringent pleading standards; See: Platsky v. C.I.A., 953 F.2d. 25; In ref Platsky: court errs if court dismisses the pro se litigant without instruction of how pleadings are deficient and how to repair pleadings.

3. Upon careful research I discovered that the Respondent filed a Certificate of Compliance. (See Exhibit C)

Because of the finding facts, I ask this court to reconsider the dismissal of my appeal and reinstate this case.


Amin, Ameer Akeem
4240 Amelia Dr.
Sumter, SC 29154


Date

DLJ Mortgage, LLC, Respondent,

vs.

AMEER AKEEM AMIN Defendants,

Of Whom AMEER AKEEM AMIN is the Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Ameer Akeem Amin certify that I am of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers. That on the 12th day of January, 2017, I have served a copy of the documents listed below, by Certified Mail, sent to each of the following persons at the locations stated below, which is the last known location, and by depositing said envelope and contents in the U.S Mail.

Documents: -Motion for Reconsideration
-Proof of Service

Party (ies) Served:

Caroline Glenn, Esquire,
BROCK & SCOTT, PLLC
3800 Fernandina Road Suite 110
Columbia, SC 29210

RECEIVED
JAN 12 2017
SC Court of Appeals

By: Amin, Ameer-Akeem
Amin, Ameer Akeem, UCC 1-308
4240 Amelia Drive
Sumter, SC 29154

January 12, 2017
Date

Exhibit A

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

DLJ Mortgage Capital, Inc., Respondent,

v.

Ameer A. Amin, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2015-001341

The Honorable Richard L. Booth
Sumter County
Trial Court Case No. 2014CP4300270

ORDER

Appellant has failed to provide a certificate for the appellant's final brief, as required by Rule 211(a) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules and this Court's letter dated November 17, 2016. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

FOR THE COURT

BY V. Claire Allen, Deputy
CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:
Ameer Akeem Amin
Caroline Richardson Glenn, Esquire

FILED
January 16, 2017 S.S.

Exhibit B



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

November 17, 2016

Ameer Akeem Amin
4240 Amelia Drive
Sumter SC 29154

Re: DLJ Mortgage Capital, Inc. v. Ameer Amin
Appellate Case No. 2015-001341

Dear Mr. Amin:

Upon reviewing your appellant's final brief, the following deficiency has been noted under the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), and any deficiency must be corrected within ten (10) days of the date of this letter:

- The document is not accompanied by the required certificate of counsel.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen, Deputy

CLERK

cc: Caroline Richardson Glenn, Esquire

CONCLUSION

In Conclusion, based upon the issues of the case, statement of the case, and my argument, this administrative procedure was a direct violation of due process of law, as indicated in Charles Hill vs. SEC (security exchange commission). The judge in this case pointed out that since the establishment of administrative court that it was always illegal and unlawful, because it denies one of due process of law. Therefore, upon the issues that been raised in this appeal, the order for a writ of assistance should be rendered void as well as the entire case, and should be dismiss with prejudice.

Note:

THEREFORE, PLEASE TAKE IN CONSIDERATION, that this brief was not prepared by an attorney and that Law and precedent and in accordance with the Supreme Court of the United States pro se Pleadings MAY NOT be held to the same standard as a lawyer's and/or attorney's; and whose motions, pleadings and all papers may ONLY be judged by their functions and never their form. See: Haines v. Kerner; Platsky v. CIA; Anastasoff v. United States; Litigants are to be held to less stringent pleading standards; See: Platsky v. C.I.A., 953 F.2d. 25; In ref Platsky: court errs if court dismisses the pro se litigant without instruction of how pleadings are deficient and how to repair pleadings.

November 07, 2016

Respectfully submitted,



Amin, Ameer-Akeem
4240 Amelia Dr.
Sumter, South Carolina 29154
Appellate

Exhibit C

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

The undersigned hereby certifies that Respondent's Final Brief complies with Rule 211(b).

This the 17 day of October, 2016

Caroline Glenn

Caroline Glenn, Esq. (SC Bar# 77157)

Brock and Scott, PLLC

3800 Fernandina Road, Suite 110

Columbia, SC 29210

(803) 454 3540

Caroline.Glenn@brockandscott.com

Attorney for the Respondent

RECEIVED

OCT 17 2016

SC Court of Appeals