

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

CERTIORARI TO RICHLAND COUNTY
JOHN D. McLEOD, ADMIN. LAW JUDGE

RECEIVED

SEP 30 2016

SC Court of Appeals

JEFF DUNSIL, 115968,

PETITIONER,

Vs.

S.C. FFP SERVICES,

RESPONDENT.

PETITION FOR CERTIORARI

JEFF DUNSIL, 115968
LEE CORR. INST.
990 WISACKY HWY.
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010
PETITIONER

RECEIVED

JAN 19 2017

SC Court of Appeals

INDEX

INDEX.....2
ISSUES PRESENTED.....3
STATEMENT.....4
ARGUMENT.....6
CONCLUSION.....7

ISSUES PRESENTED

1. DID THE ALC ERRED WHEN IT DISMISSED THE APPEAL AS THE COURT DID NOT GIVE A RULING OF LAW TO THE APPELLANT'S ISSUES [PRESENTED] AS THEY WAS CLEARLY STATED THAT APPELLANT DID NOT RECEIVED A FAIR PAROLE HEARING FROM THE RECORD EVIDENCE THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN AVAILABLE AND CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD MEMBERS FOR THE HEARING AND BEING THAT THE PIVOTAL INFORMATION WAS NOT IN THE POSSESSION OF THE BOARD MEMBERS AT THE TIME OF THE [APPELLANT] PAROLE HEARING THE APPELLANT WAS DEPRIVED OF A FAIR HEARING AND THE PAROLE BOARD VIOLATED THE COURT ORDER ISSUED IN THE COOPER AND COMPTON COURTS, etc., THE FIFTEEN FACTORS AND THE REQUIREMENTS OF HAVING ALL RECORDS PURSUANT TO THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT. ?

STATEMENT

THE PETITIONER IS CURRENTLY INCARCERATED AT THE LEE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION BY ORDER OF THE GENERAL SESSION COURT, THE PETITIONER IS SERVING A LIFE SENTENCE FOR MURDER AND HAVE BEEN INCARCERATED SINCE MARCH 03, 1983. INDCT. 82-GS-18-395, etc., PETITIONER JEFF DUNSIL, 115968, APPEARED BEFORE THE PAROLE BOARD MAY 18, 2016, AND ON MAY 19, 2016, THE PETITIONER RECEIVED A NOTICE OF REJECTION AS THE RESULTS FROM THE PAROLE HEARING HELD ON MAY 18, 2016, THIS NOTICE LISTED THE FOLLOWING FACTORS AS TO WHY THE PAROLE BOARD DENIED THE PETITIONER PAROLE, SEE FACTORS (1), (2), (3), AND (4). per se S.C. CODE OF LAW § 24-21-640 AND § 24-21-10, THEREFORE AFTER THE PETITIONER APPEALED THIS PAROLE DENIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION LAW COURT JUDGE SEE APPEAL DATED 6/14/2016, FILED, DATED ASSIGNED 6/22/2016, HON: J.D. McLEOD, Judge, THE ALC DISMISSED THE APPEAL ON SEPTEMBER 15, 2016, WITH ISSUED ORDER AS ATTACHED, SEE ENCLOSURES, AS TITLED ALC ORDER, etc.

PETITIONER NOW COMMENCES HIS APPEAL AND PETITION FOR CERTIORARI TO THE ADMINISTRATION LAW COURT IN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AS FOLLOWS:

ARGUMENT

PETITIONER DUNSIL, MAKES CONTENTIONS SOLELY BASED UPON THE FOLLOWING FACTORS AS IS NOW STATED BY THE PROPERLY PRESENTED APPEAL ISSUES AND THE ALC ORDER OF DISMISSAL IN REGARDS TO THE COURT FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION FROM THE COOPER AND COMPTON COURTS, ie al.

THE PETITIONER CERTIORARI THE ALC TO THE APPELLATE COURT AT THE ADDITIONAL ISSUES FOR THE REASONS THAT THE COURT HAS BASED IT FINDINGS TO DENY THE PETITIONER'S APPEAL ON SUBSTANCE OF LAW QUESTIONS THAT WAS NEVER RULED UPON BY THE APPELLATE COURT AND BASED IT RULINGS ON UNSUPPORTED STATINGS BY THE S.C. PPP SERVS. OFFICIALS IN THE LETTER OF REJECTION, NOTICE, AND NO EVIDENCE OF THE SHOWING THAT ALL AVAILABLE RECORDS REQUIRED BY THE FOIA THAT CLEAR STATES THAT THE SCPPPS BOARD MEMBERS MUST HAVE IN POSSESSION TO GIVE A REQUIRED AND FAIR HEARING TO THE PETITIONER DURING A HEARING TO BE CONSIDERED FOR PAROLE RELEASE, etc.

THE ALC OVERLOOKED THE PLAIN AUTHORITY OF THE CASES THAT HE LISTED FOR MAKING IT DECISIONS TO DISMISS THE PETITIONER APPEAL, THIS WAS ERRONEOUS DUE TO THE FACT THAT THE ALC COURT PLAINLY STATED THAT THE COURT REQUIRES FOR THE SCPPPS TO FOLLOW IT OWN PROCEDURES AS TO COMPLYING WITH THE PAROLE BOARD GUIDELINE IT SET FOR FUTURE PAROLE REVIEWS, etc. AND IN THE PETITIONER'S CASE MATTERS FOR THE PAROLE HEARING AND THE REVIEW IT RENDERED TO HIM FOR THE PAROLE CONSIDERATION, FOR PAROLE RELEASE, THE PETITONER PROVIDED RECORD EVIDENCE THAT THE PAROLE BOARD NOT HAVING THE PETITIONER'S RECORDS OF CRUX SIGNIFICANT INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THE PETITIONER PERFORMING SELF-IMPROVEMENTS, MEDICAL ISSUES AND THE APPLICATION FOR MEDICAL FURLOUGH APPLIED FOR TO THE SCPPPS, THE PETITIONER CITED THAT THIS WAS A OVERLOOKINGS OF THE RESPONDENT FROM THE VERY BEGIN WITH IN HIS APPEAL, AND THE DOCUMENTS THAT THE PETITIONER PROVIDED TO THE COURT PROFFERED THIS MATTER WITH MERITS. THE COURT OVERLOOKED THE FOLLOWING POINTS THAT WARRANTED THE ALC TO CONSIDER AND RESOLVE THAT WOULD

WARRANT FOR THE COURT TO GRANT RELIEF TO THE PETITIONER IN HIS FAVOR DUE TO THE FACT THAT THE PAROLE BOARD MEMBERS DID NOT GIVE THE PETITIONER A FAIR PAROLE HEARING AS IT WAS DONE WITHOUT VITAL RECORDS (PRISONER RECORDS) THAT THE PAROLE DPET. REQUIRES FOR THE BOARD MEMBERS TO HAVE IN POSSESSION WHEN THE HEARING ARE HELD. THE PETITIONER SHOWED THE ALC THAT THE PAROLE BOARD MADE A ERRONEOUS CONCLUSION OF LAW AND AN ERRONEOUS FINDING OF FACTS IN DETERMINING THE PETITIONER BEING DENIED PAROLE. THE PETITIONER SHOWED THE COURT THAT THE PAROLE BOARD DID NOT HAVE THE SUFFICIENT PRISON RECORDS AND ALL OTHER AVAILABLE RECORDS TO AID THE BOARD MEMBERS TO MAKE A FINDING OF FACTS AND A CONCLUSION OF LAW TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE PETITIONER SHOULD OR SHOULDN'T BE GRANTED A PAROLE RELEASEMENT. THE PETITIONER FURTHER SHOWED THE COURT THAT THE ALC USED CASES OF COOPER AND COMPTON TO DENY THE PETITIONER APPEAL AND DISMISS IT AS IT IS SHOWN CLEARLY THAT THE CASE LAW OF COMPTON IS NOT RESOLVED AND STANDING AS A CASE TO ALLOW THE INAPPROPRIATE ACTIONS OF THE PAROLE AGENCY TO ACCEPTED AND GIVING THE AGENCY AUTHORITY TO DENY PAROLE TO PRISONERS WITHOUT GIVING A FAIR HEARING THAT IS REQUIRED BY THE GUIDELINES THAT ARE ESTABLISHED BY THE LAWS CREATED BY THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE S.C. CONSTITUTION THAT GUARANTEES THE PETITIONER TO A FAIR HEARING BASED UPON THE CORRECT REVIEWINGS AND CONSIDERATION TO HIS PAROLE ELIGIALBILITY AS TO MEET THE CRITERIAS OF THE SECT.s § 24-21-10 THRU 640, etc., THE PETITIONER MAKE FACTUAL CONTENTIONS THAT THE ALC COURT MADE ERRORS WHEN IT DISMISSED THE APPEAL BASED UPON UNSETTLED LAWS AND CASES THAT THE LAW IS NOT FINAL IN AND EVENMORESO THAT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT HAVE NOT FIXED THE LAWS IN AND GIVEN CLARITY TO.

THE PETITIONER IS NOT GIVING ANY PROTEST TO A METHOD THAT IS PRACTICED BY THE BOARD BUT IS CONTESTING THE ALC COURT NOT GIVING HIM A REVIEW TO HIS CLEAR DOCUMENTED ISSUES THAT ARE WITH MERITS AND SHOWN BY THE PROFFERED EVIDENCE THAT GIVES THE COURT THE AUTHORITY TO CORRECT. AS IT DID OVERLOOKED AND DISMISSED.

CONCLUSION

THE ALC DISMISSAL OF THE PETITIONER'S APPEAL SHOULD BE REVERSED AND REMANDED BACK TO THE COURT FOR A LEGAL REVIEWS TO THE PROPER SUBMITTED ISSUES STATED IN THE APPEAL THAT ARE WITH THE MERITS AND SHOWN BY THE RECORDS THAT ARE REVIEWABLE BY THE COURT. THE PETITIONER DOES NOT WAIVE HIS RIGHTS TO A REVIEW TO HE DISMISSAL TO HIS PAROLE HEARING THAT WAS NOT GIVEN TO HIM THROUGH THE DUE PROCESS RIGHTS PROCESS AS IS AND MUST BE AFFORDED BY THE S.C. PROBATION AND PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

s/ Jeff Dunsil

JEFF DUNSIL, 115968

LEE C.I.

990 WISACKY HWY.

BISHOPVILLE, S C. 29010

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

THIS 28 DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2016.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

JAN 19 2017

SC Court of Appeals

CERTORARI TO THE ADMIN. LAW COURT

JOHN D. MCLEOD, ALC JUDGE

CASE NO. 2016-002055

JEFF DUNSIL,....., PETITIONER,

Vs.

SCDPPPS,....., RESPONDENT.

DESIGNATION OF MATTER TO BE
INCLUDED IN THE RECORD OF APPEAL

PETITIONER PROPOSES THE FOLLOWING BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD OF
APPEAL:

- NOTICE OF PAROLE REJECTION DATED MAY 19, 2016..... 1
- INITIAL REVIEW INFORMATION FORM 1333..... 2
- CRITERIA FOR PAROLE CONSIDERATION DATED 12/12/12..... 3

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DESIGNATION CONTAINS NO MATTER WHICH IS
IRRELEVANT TO THIS APPEAL.

JANUARY 17, 2017

s/ Jeff Dunsil
JEFF DUNSIL,
990 WISACKY HWY.
BISHOPVILLE, S.C 29010
PETITIONER

State of South Carolina
Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services

NIKKI R. HALEY
Governor



JERRY B. ADGER
Director

EXHIBIT 1.

May 19, 2016

2221 Devine Street, Suite 600
Post Office Box 50666
Columbia, South Carolina 29250
Telephone: (803) 734-9220
Fax: (803) 734-9440
www.dppps.sc.gov

Mr. Jeffrey Dunsil #00115968
Lee Correctional Institution
990 Wisaky Hwy.
Bishopville, SC 29010

RE: NOTICE OF REJECTION

Dear Mr. Dunsil:

It is my responsibility to inform you, on behalf of the South Carolina Parole Board, that the Board has reached a decision regarding your parole hearing. The Board hereby makes the following CONCLUSION OF LAW:

After careful consideration of: (1) the characteristics of your current offense(s), prior offense(s), prior supervision history, prison disciplinary record, and/or prior criminal record, as described in the findings of fact below; (2) the factors published in Department Form 1212 (Criteria for Parole Consideration); (3) the factors outlined in Section 24-21-640 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, and (4) actuarial risk and needs assessment factors pursuant to Section 24-21-10 (F) (1) of the South Carolina Code of Laws; The Parole Board had determined that your parole must be denied.

You will be notified 30 days prior to your next scheduled parole consideration date.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Nature And Seriousness Of Current Offense
Indication Of Violence In This Or Previous Offense
Use Of Deadly Weapon In This Or Previous Offense

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Larry Ray Patton, Jr.".

Larry Ray Patton, Jr.
Director of Parole Board Support Services

5/18/2016

EXHIBIT 2

Instructions: If inmate wishes to be considered for parole, complete section A. If inmate wishes to waive parole consideration complete section B.

Inmate Name: JEFFERY DUNSI SCDC #: 115968

A. INITIAL INTERVIEW INFORMATION

Residence Plan

Date: 1-20-2016

Who will inmate live with? Name: TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

Relationship: _____

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

State/County: _____ Zip code: _____

Comments: _____

Employment Plan

Who will inmate work for? Name of Business: SSI

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Contact Person /Position: _____

Inmate Health Status (self report): POOR - NO FAMILY ON THE OUTSIDE

Comments: SCDR Applying for Medical Parole 11/2015

Interviewer's Signature: Thomas O'Wille Date: 1-20-16

B. WAIVER OF PAROLE CONSIDERATION

TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES: THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT I DO NOT WISH TO BE CONSIDERED FOR PAROLE. I UNDERSTAND THAT MY PROJECTED PAROLE HEARING DATE IS _____ AND I UNDERSTAND THAT BY SIGNING THIS WAIVER I WILL BE REJECTED FOR PAROLE AT MY REQUEST:

- 1) I FULLY UNDERSTAND THAT IF I AM SERVING FOR A VIOLENT OFFENSE, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 16-1-60 SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS, I MAY NOT BE ELIGIBLE FOR PAROLE CONSIDERATION UNTIL TWO YEARS FROM THE DATE OF MY PROJECTED PAROLE HEARING DATE, AND IF I AM SERVING FOR A NON-VIOLENT OFFENSE, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 16-1-70 SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS, I MAY NOT BE ELIGIBLE FOR PAROLE CONSIDERATION UNTIL ONE YEAR FROM THE DATE OF MY PROJECTED PAROLE HEARING DATE.
- 2) I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT ONCE THIS WAIVER IS SIGNED BY ME, AND IF I AM SERVING FOR A VIOLENT OFFENSE, IT MAY BE VALID FOR TWO YEARS AND CANNOT BE WITHDRAWN. IF I AM SERVING FOR A NON-VIOLENT OFFENSE IT IS VALID FOR ONE YEAR AND CANNOT BE WITHDRAWN.

Inmate Signature: _____

Witness Signature: _____

Date: _____

IF INMATE WAIVES PAROLE CONSIDERATION, FORWARD THE ORIGINAL COPY TO PAROLE SERVICES.

Unsil

South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services
Criteria For Parole Consideration

SC Board of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services
 P. O. Box 50666
 Columbia, SC 29250

Inmate Name <i>Jeffery Pansil</i>	SCDC # <i>115968</i>
--------------------------------------	-------------------------

3/13 P

Criteria For Parole Consideration

The South Carolina parole law creates no right to be released on parole. Parole in South Carolina is strictly a matter of privilege or grace. The South Carolina Board of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services has absolute discretion to grant or deny parole. As such, the publication of these parole criteria in no way creates an expectancy of release; nor does it bind the Parole Board in any way to a favorable parole decision or establish any presumptions of entitlement to parole.

In deciding whether or not to grant parole, the Parole Board considers, among other things, the inmate's record before incarceration as well as during incarceration. The record itself is prepared through investigations conducted for the Parole Board, and it becomes a part of the inmate's parole file. These files are maintained by the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services and are, by the statute, privileged and confidential. The confidentiality of the parole file is far reaching; inmates themselves have no right to inspect the contents of their files. If the inmate thinks his/her file is somehow incomplete or contains some error or other inaccuracy, he/she must notify the Board of the specific error or inaccuracy. The Board will investigate the inquiry and notify the inmate of the action taken.

Inmates do, however, enjoy certain rights in the parole process. The inmate has the right to appear at his parole hearing. If the inmate fails to appear, the Board may decide his/her case in absence. The inmate has the right to be represented by an attorney; however, he/she has no right to have an attorney appointed if he/she cannot afford one. At the hearing, the inmate has the right to present witnesses and evidence on his/her own behalf, but an inmate does not have a right to confront witnesses.

In deciding whether or not an inmate should be granted parole, the Board or Panel of the Board exercises its absolute discretion to the limits allowed by state and federal law. The discretion of the Board or panel aims at protecting the best interest of both society and the inmate being considered for parole. In its concern for the protection of society's and the inmate's best interests, the Board or Panel deliberates upon the "reasonable probability" that an inmate will not again violate the law, if parole is granted. When deliberating upon the reasonable probability that an inmate will not again violate the law, the Board or Panel weighs the factors listed below. The Board or Panel, in its absolute discretion, also considers any other factors not listed below which it considers relevant in a particular case.

1. The risk the inmate poses to the community;
2. The nature and seriousness of the inmate's offense, the circumstances surrounding the offense, and the inmate's attitude toward it;
3. The inmate's prior criminal records and his/her adjustment under any previous programs or supervision;
4. The inmate's attitude toward his/her family, the victim, and authority in general;
5. The inmate's adjustment while in confinement, including his/her progress in counseling, therapy, and other similar programs designed to encourage the inmate to improve himself/herself;
6. The inmate's employment history, including his/her job training and skills and his/her stability in the work place;
7. The inmate's physical, mental and emotional health;
8. The inmate's understanding of the cause of his/her past criminal conduct;
9. The inmate's efforts to solve his/her problems, such as seeking treatment for substance abuse, enrolling in academic and vocational education courses, and in general using whatever resources the Department of Corrections has made available to inmates to help with their problems;
10. The adequacy of the inmate's overall parole plan. This includes inmates living arrangements, where he/she will live and who he will live with; the character of those with whom the inmate plans to associate in both his/her working hours and his/her off-work hours; the inmate's plans for gainful employment;
11. The willingness of the community into which the inmate will be released to receive the inmate;
12. The willingness of the inmate's family to allow him/her to return to the family circle;
13. The attitudes of the sentencing judge, the solicitor, and local law enforcement officers respecting the inmate's parole;
14. The feelings of the victim's family, and any witnesses to the crime about the release of the inmate;
15. Other factors considered relevant in a particular case by the Board.

EXHIBIT 3

Reservation of Discretionary Power of the Parole Board

These criteria in no way limit the absolute discretion of the Parole Board or Panel to make parole decisions on a case-by-case basis and to grant or deny parole as it determines to be in the best interest of society and the inmate under review.

In some cases, the Board may decide that an inmate should be granted parole if the inmate completes one or more stated conditions. When this is the case, the Board may grant a parole that becomes effective when the inmate completes one or more stated conditions. Should the inmate disobey any rule or regulation of the South Carolina Department of Corrections before satisfying the stated conditions to make his parole effective, the Board may rescind the inmate's parole and treat the case as though parole had been rejected. In other cases, the Board may feel it needs more time to form its decision. In such cases, the Board may simply take the parole consideration under advisement and reschedule it at a later date. Similarly, the Board may postpone a parole hearing in order to dispose of detainers or pending charges.

If the Board rejects an inmate for parole, the inmate will be given written notice of rejection stating the reasons for rejection. Decisions of the Board have no precedential effect whatever and in no way limit the Board's absolute discretion at later parole hearings.

After rejection for parole, the procedure of scheduling of rehearing is as follows:

1. An individual serving time for a violent offense defined in §16-1-80 of the South Carolina Code of Laws 1976 will be reheard for parole two years following the date of parole rejections. Applicable legal exceptions may allow for a one year hearing.
2. An individual serving time for a nonviolent offense defined in §16-1-70 of the South Carolina Code of Laws 1976 will be reheard for parole one year following the date of parole rejections.

I certify that the above material has been explained to me, and I have received a copy.

Inmate's Signature <i>[Signature]</i>	Date <i>2/12/12</i>	Witness <i>[Signature]</i>	Date <i>2/12/12</i>
--	------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

JAN 19 2017

CERTORARI TO THE ADMIN. LAW COURT SC Court of Appeals

JOHN D. MCLEOD, ALC JUDGE

CASE NO. 2016-002055

JEFF DUNSIL,....., PETITIONER


Vs.

SCDPPPA,....., RESPONDENT.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE SERVED THE PETITION FOR CERTIORARI AND THE DESIGNATION OF MATTER TO INCLUDED IN THE RECORD OF APPEAL BE DEPOSITING A TRUE COPY OF IT IN THE U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, ON JANUARY 17, 2017, ADDRESSED TO HIS OFFICE ATTORNEY OF RECORD: TOMMY EVANS, Jr., PO BOX 50666, COLUMBIA, S.C. 29250, BY THE WAY OF ME PERSONALLY DELIVERING A COPY OF IT TO THE PRISON MAILROOM POSTAL OFFICIALS, etc. ON THIS 17th DAY OF JANUARY 2017.

JANUARY 17, 2017


JEFF DUNSIL 115968
LEE C.I.
990 WISACKY HWY.
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010
pro se PETITIONER

JEFF DUNSIL 115968
LEE C.I./F4A1213
990 WISACKY HWY.
BISHOPVILLE, S.C 29010

JANUARY 17, 2017

RECEIVED
JAN 19 2017
SC Court of Appeals

HON: J.A. KITCHINGS, CLERK
SC Ct. OF APPEALS
PO BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, S.C 29201

RE: JEFF DUNSIL, 115968 vs. SCDPPPS, C/A. NO. 2016-002055,
CERTORARI PETITION BRIEF, DESIGNATION OF MATTER RECORD, AND
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, etc.

DEAR MS. KITCHINGS:

PLEASE SEE ENCLOSED FOR THE COURT MY PERTINENT CASE FILE MATERIALS AS REQUIRED TO BE FILED IN MY ABOVE STATE PAROLE CASE MATTER ON APPEAL, I HAVE PROVIDED TO YOU A COPY TO BE RETURNED TO ME FOR MY FILES AND TO HAVE TO PROVED TO THE RESPONDENT OF RECORD IN THE PENDING CASE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS.

PLEASE SEE ALSO THAT I NEVER DID RECEIVED ALL OF THE COURT NOTICES AND THE ORDER COMMENCED IN MY CASE MATTERS AND THEREFORE THE INSTRUCTIONS ISSUED FROM YOUR OFFICE TO HAVE FILED WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF THE DATED OF THE LETTER DATED JANUARY 9, 2017, I HAVE PROVIDED TO YOUR OFFICE THE REQUIRED PETITIONER'S CASE FILES AND APOLOGIZED FOR ANY MISUNDERSTANDINGS OF WHAT THE COURT HAD CONVEYED TO ME IN THIS MATTER.


I APPRECIATE YOUR ASSISTANCE GIVEN TO ME IN THIS CRUX MATTERS AND I LOOK FORWARD IN HEARING FROM YOU IN THIS VERY NEAR FUTURE.

AGAIN THANK YOU !!!

JANUARY 17, 2017
ENCLOSURES:

cc: TOMMY EVANS, Jr.
FILES/jd

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,


JEFF DUNSIL, 115968
LEE CI F4B1213
990 WISACKY HWY.
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010

Jeff Dunsil #115968

Lee Corr - INST.

F-4-B-1213

990 Wisacky, Hwy
Bishopville S.C.
29010

SCDC

JAN 29 2017

MAIL ROOM

RECEIVED

JAN 19 2017

SC Court of Appeals

.89

South Carolina Court of Appeals
Hon: Jenny A. Kitchings, Clerk
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia S.C.
29211