

82438

**RECEIVED**

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

FEB 09 2017

**SC Court of Appeals**

Anthony Derone Richardson

v.

Motion to Reduce Page Limitation

Jackie Swindler Chief of Police, Newberry County

Municipal Police, Respondent

Appellant Case No. 2014-002532

Appellant moves this court asking that the page be limited to how many judges to send copies involving Defendant Answer, to the court order is very costly. Appellant is requesting that the court reduce how many judge receive the same copies in order to rule on this case is very costly and is a burden. Sending multiple copies of the same papers be sent to 8-10 judges is very costly and the Appellant cannot afford the numbers of copies to send each judge. Therefore the Appellant is asking the court to reduce how many original copies that are sent to 8-10 judges be reduce down to three judge s or less unless or must be allow to be filed unless the court require a different number or by order in a particular case. Due to financial issue the multiple copies requiring to send to each judge a copy is costly. So the Appellant is asking this court to allow the 3 original copies to the judge and one copy to the respondent must be allow to be filed unless the court require a different number or by order in a particular case.


Defendant memorandum in support of motion for summary judgement. Appellant is requesting that the court reduce how many copies be sent to judges in order to rule on this case is very costly and is a burden. Sending multiple copies of the same papers be sent to 8-10 judges is very costly and the Appellant cannot afford the numbers of copies sent to each judge. Therefore the Appellant is asking the court to reduce how many original copies that are sent to 8-10 judges be reduce down to three judge s or less unless or must be allow to be filed unless the court require a different number or by order in a particular case. Due to financial issue the multiple copies requiring to send to each judge a copy is costly. So the Appellant is asking this court to allow the 3 original copies to the judge and one copy to the respondent must be allow to be filed unless the court require a different number or by order in a particular case. Due to financial issue the multiple copies requiring to send to each judge is costly.

However, in the omitted disposition of transcript pages the Appellant do not have the omitted deposition transcript pages due to house fire as result me losing everything in reference to this case including the omitted copies. The Appellant moves this court to allow the Respondent to submit remain of the omitted transcript pages due to the house fire as the result of me losing everything pertain to the omitted copy. However, the Appellant ask the court to reduce pages limit amount to each judge, and to allow respondent to submit omitted transcript due to house fire.

*MM* 2-7-17

Certificate of Proof

I certify Appellant placed in the mail 2/7/2017, proof of Motion to Reduce Page Limitation to the address list beneath: 7453 Irmo Dr # B, Columbia, SC 29212

.....Date 2/7/2017

Kassi B. Sandifer, Esquire

David Leon Morrison, Esquire

Robert G. Cooper, Esquire

7453 Irmo Dr # B, Columbia, SC 29212

**RECEIVED**

FEB 09 2017

**SC Court of Appeals**


Anthony Richardson

P.O. Box 221

NBY. S.C. 29108

COLUMBIA SC 290

07 FEB 2017 PM 3 L



**RECEIVED**

FEB 09 2017

SC Court of Appeals

South Carolina Court of Appeal

P.O. Box 11629

Columbia, S.C. 29211

29211-152529

