

FORM 4
NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM AN ORDER OPPOSED BY THE COURT
OF COMMON PLEAS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas
Hon. Dierks, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-1068
Town City of Charleston
vs. The State of S.C.

Respondent,

v.

Glynn Davis vs Fox

Appellant.

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

NOTICE OF APPEAL

I Glynn Davis vs Fox, place this
Notice of Appeal in the above-captioned case.

Date: 14th of Mar. 2017

sl. G. Glynn Fox
8883 U.S. Veterans Blvd.
Suite 104-1747
Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se

*The bracketed language should be added to the body of the Notice of Appeal when the appeal is taken from a written order deciding a post-trial motion under Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP.

FORM 18
PETITION FOR APPEAL TO THE
COURT OF APPEALS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. Debra L. ..., Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-1068

The City of Charleston
& The State of S.C.

Respondent,

v.

Glynn Davis vs. Fox

Appellant.

PETITION FOR APPEAL

G. vs. Fox
5983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
N. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Pro Se

Other Counsel of Record:

~~_____

_____~~

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

INDEX

Certificate of Counsel..... 1

Questions Presented..... 1

Statement of the Case..... 1

Arguments

 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS
 BARRED BY RES JUDICATA..... 2

 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER..... 2

Conclusion..... 2

1
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

RECEIVED

Counsel for Petitioner is Himself Under *Pro Se*.

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Should the Court of Appeals Hold that this action is barred by res judicata?
2. Did the Court of Appeals Receive This Appeal In A Timely Manner?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The issue in this case involves the allowance
for Ind forma pauperis and the ability to prove that
Fed forma pauperis. The Ind forma pauperis is non and
Should be allowed under due process or the S.C.
Constitution Article 2, Sec. 3 and the reciprocal due
process or the U.S. Constitution.

ARGUMENT

- 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS BARRED BY RES JUDICATA.

The Court of Appeals should hold that due process is present for the same papers as Stylized Vol. 100.

- 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER.

The petitioner filed it a timely motion under S.C. Code sec 14-1-10.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, petitioner asks the Court to grant the request for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 13 Mar 2017

Isl. C. Vard Fox

883 University Blvd

Suite 104-174

N. Charleston S.C. 29406

Tel: 843.330.7200

Pro Se

FORM 99

MOTION TO STOP ORDER FROM A COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. Dede's, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017 -cp-10-1068

The City of Charleston
vs. Tom Stone et al S.C.

Respondent,

v.

Glynn Davis et al

Appellant.

MOTION TO STOP ORDER

I, Glynn Davis et al, place this
motion to stop order in the above
case.

Date: 14th of Mar. 2017

sl. G. Val Fee
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
W. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel: 843. 330. 7000
Pro Se

*The bracketed language should be added to the body of the Notice of Appeal when the appeal is taken from a written order deciding a post-trial motion under Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP.

FORM 7
PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM Charleston County
Court of Common Pleas

Hon. Drexler, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-1068

The City of Charleston
vs. The State of S.C.

Respondent,

v.

Glynn Davis vs Fox

Appellant.


PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on 14th of March 2017
by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on 14th of March, 2017

[Or by personally delivering a copy of ~~it~~ to _____]

14th of March, 2017

S. G. vs Fox
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-178
Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel. 843.330.7200
Pro Se



ATTN: Sec. Envelopes
S.C. Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

9

29211\$1629 B012

