

8

FORM 4
NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM AN ORDER OPPOSED BY THE COURT
OF COMMON PLEAS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas
Hon. Decker, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-1031

7th South Carolina
Commission for
Minority Affairs

Respondent,

v.

Glynn David Neal Fox

Appellant.

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

NOTICE OF APPEAL

I, Glynn David Neal Fox, do hereby
plead this Notice of Appeal in the
forementioned case.

Date: 13th of Mar. 2017

sl. B. Neal Fox
8783 University Blvd.
Suite 104-178
Ch. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se

*The bracketed language should be added to the body of the Notice of Appeal when the appeal is taken from a written order deciding a post-trial motion under Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP.

FORM 18
PETITION FOR APPEAL TO THE
COURT OF APPEALS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Her Donahis, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-1031

Ma Susan Goodie
Commissioner for
Minority Affairs

Respondent,

v.

Clyde Howard and Fox

Appellant.

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

PETITION FOR APPEAL

Co. and Fox
5983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
N. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Pro Se

Other Counsel of Record:

~~[Signature]~~

INDEX

Certificate of Counsel..... 1

Questions Presented..... 1

Statement of the Case..... 1

Arguments

 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS
 BARRED BY RES JUDICATA..... 2

 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER..... 2

Conclusion..... 2

RECEIVED

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Counsel for Petitioner is Himself Under *Pro Se*.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Should the Court of Appeals Hold that this action is barred by res judicata?
2. Did the Court of Appeals Receive This Appeal In A Timely Manner?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The issue in this case involves the allowance for *Ex Parte* papers and the ability to prove that *Ex Parte* papers. The *Ex Parte* papers is true and should be allowed under due process of the S.C. Court under Article 2, Sec. 3 and the reciprocal due process of the U.S. Constitution.

ARGUMENT

1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS BARRED BY RES JUDICATA.

The Court of Appeals should hold that due process is present for the same parties as Glynn v. Glynn & Co. Inc.


2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER.

The petitioner filed in a timely manner under S.C. Code sec. 14-1-10.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, petitioner asks the Court to grant the request for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 13 Mar 2017

1st: G. V. Fox

883 University Blvd.

Suite 104-124

N. Charleston, S.C. 29406

Tel: 843.330.7205

Pro Se

FORM 7
PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM Charleston County
Court of Common Pleas

Hon. Purdie, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-1031

27th South Carolina
Commission for Judicial
Affairs

Respondent,

v.

Glynn Davis vs. Fox

Appellant.

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals



PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on 14th of March 2017
by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on 14th of March 2017


[Or by personally delivering a copy of it to Her

13th Mar 2017

sl G. von Fox
8813 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel. 843.370.7200
Pro Se



MAR 14 2017



MAR 14 2017




Appal. Sec. Envelopes
S.C. Court of Appeals
p.c. Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals

29211\$1629 B012



C. Vox Fox

St. Onni - Goose Creek Park

8983 University Blvd.

Suite 104-174

St. Charles, S.C. 29406



THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT 

© USPS 2013