

FORM 4
NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM AN ORDER OPPOSED BY THE COURT
OF COMMON PLEAS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. DeLois, Circuit Court Judge

~~Mr. Sean York~~ Case No. 2017-CV-10-1024
Mr. Sean York
Dept

Respondent,

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

v.

Glyphosate and Vol. Fee

Appellant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

I, Glyphosate and Vol. Fee, place this
notice of appeal in the above-captioned matter.

Date: 14th Mar. 2017

Sl. G. Vol. Fee
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
St. Charleston, S.C. 29404
Tel: 843.370.7200
Pro Se

*The bracketed language should be added to the body of the Notice of Appeal when the appeal is taken from a written order deciding a post-trial motion under Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP.

FORM 18
PETITION FOR APPEAL TO THE
COURT OF APPEALS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. Dennis, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-60-1024

Mrs Susan de Sant
York & Mrs de Sant
York City Police Department

Respondent,

v.

Geplomas and Val Fox

Appellant.

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

PETITION FOR APPEAL

Ge Val Fox
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
N. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Pro Se

Other Counsel of Record:

~~[Signature]~~

INDEX

Certificate of Counsel..... 1

Questions Presented..... 1

Statement of the Case..... 1

Arguments

 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS
 BARRED BY RES JUDICATA..... 2

 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER..... 2

Conclusion..... 2

RECEIVED

1
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Counsel for Petitioner is Himself Under *Pro Se*.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Should the Court of Appeals Hold that this action is barred by res judicata?
2. Did the Court of Appeals Receive This Appeal In A Timely Manner?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The issue in this case involves the entitlement
for indigent paupers and the ability to prove that
indigent paupers. The indigent pauper is poor and
should be afforded under due process in the S.C.
Constitution Article 2, Sec. 3 and the reciprocal due
process in the U.S. Constitution.

ARGUMENT

1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS BARRED BY RES JUDICATA.

The Court of Appeals should hold that due process is provided for T.D. James papers as Glynn County v. O.J. Fox

2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER.

The petitioner filed it a timely motion under S.C. Code Ann. § 15-2-10.

3
CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, petitioner asks the Court to grant the request for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 13 March 2017

Isl. G. Vard RAO
8883 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
N. Charleston S.C. 29406
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se

FORM 7
PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM Charleston County
Court of Common Pleas

Hon. Presler, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-60-1024

Tom Hunt York State
Tom Hunt York City
Police Dept

Respondent,

RECEIVED
MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals

v.

Christopher York Fox

Appellant.


PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on 14th of Mar. 2017
by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on 14th Mar. 2017

~~[] [Or by personally delivering a copy of it to 1/11~~

14th of Mar. 2017

sl. B. York Fox
883 University Blvd
Suite 104-174
Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel. 843.330.7200
Pro Se



Attn: Sec. Envelopes
S.C. Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11429
Columbia, S.C. 29211

RECEIVED
MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals

5

C. Ted Fox
St James - Goose Creek Parish
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-17d
S. Charleston, S.C. 29406



THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT



© USPS 2013