

5

FORM 4
NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM AN ORDER OPPOSED BY THE COURT
OF COMMON PLEAS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas
Hon. DuBois, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-1023

PM 9th Circuit Solicitor
Charles G. Patrick, III, Esq. Respondent,

v.

Blythe Annal Val Lee Appellant.

RECEIVED
MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals

NOTICE OF APPEAL

I, Blythe Annal Val Lee, place this
notice of appeal regarding the above-captioned
case.

Date: 14th Mar. 2017

sl. G. Val Lee
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-1747
N. Charleston S.C. 29406
Tel: 843-320-7200
Pro Se

*The bracketed language should be added to the body of the Notice of Appeal when the appeal is taken from a written order deciding a post-trial motion under Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP.

FORM 18
PETITION FOR APPEAL TO THE
COURT OF APPEALS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. D. Phillips, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-1023

Tom 9th Circuit
Solicitor & Charles
W. Patrick, III, Esq.

Respondent,

v.

Be. Van Fox

Appellant.

PETITION FOR APPEAL

Be. Van Fox
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
N. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Pro Se

Other Counsel of Record:

[Signature]

INDEX

Certificate of Counsel..... 1

Questions Presented..... 1

Statement of the Case..... 1

Arguments

 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS
 BARRED BY RES JUDICATA..... 2

 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER..... 2

Conclusion..... 2

1
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Counsel for Petitioner is Himself Under *Pro Se*.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Should the Court of Appeals Hold that this action is barred by res judicata?
2. Did the Court of Appeals Receive This Appeal In A Timely Manner?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The issue is that the Court should hold that the petitioner is entitled to a full and fair hearing and the ability to prove that he is a poor person. The petitioner is poor and should be entitled under due process of the S.C. Constitution Article 2, Sec. 3 and the reciprocal due process of the U.S. Constitution.

ARGUMENT

1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS BARRED BY RES JUDICATA.

The Court of Appeals should hold that due process is provided for the same parties as Glynn v. Glynn & Co. v. Glynn.

2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER.

The petitioner filed it a timely motion under S.C. Code Ann. § 14-1-10.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, petitioner asks the Court to grant the request for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 13 Mar 2017

Isl: G. Vard Rop
8583 University Blvd.
Suite 104-124
N. Charleston S.C 29406
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se

FORM 7
PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM Charleston County
Court of Common Pleas

Hon. Dushkin, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-1023

RECEIVED
MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals

7th Cir Circuit Solicitor
Charles W. Patrick, III,
Rsq

Respondent,

v.

Glynn David Von Fox

Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on 14th Mar. 2017
by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on 14th Mar, 2017

~~[] [Or by personally delivering a copy of it to _____
_____]~~

14th Mar. 2017

St. G. Von Fox
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
N. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel. 843.330.7200
Pro Se

Gen. Val Fox

St. James - Goose Creek Parish

8983 University Blvd.

Suite 104-174

N. Charleston, S.C. 29406



THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT 

© USPS 2013