

8

FORM 4
NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM AN ORDER OPPOSED BY THE COURT
OF COMMON PLEAS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. Doshier, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017 - CP - 10 - 1033
National Association for
the Advancement of
Colored People (NAACP)

Respondent,

v.

Glyndoré von Fas

Appellant.

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

NOTICE OF APPEAL

I, Glyndoré von Fas, do hereby
place this Notice of Appeal regarding the
above-captioned case.

Date: 14th of Mar. 2017

St. B. von Fas
888 University Blvd
Suite 104-174
Charleston S.C. 29406
Tel: 843.730.7200
Pro Se

*The bracketed language should be added to the body of the Notice of Appeal when the appeal is taken from a written order deciding a post-trial motion under Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP.

FORM 18
PETITION FOR APPEAL TO THE
COURT OF APPEALS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. Dennis, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-EP-10-1033

Luncheon Association
for the advancement
of Colored people (Luncheon)

Respondent,

v.

Glynnard Ford Fox

Appellant.

PETITION FOR APPEAL

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Be Ford Fox
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
N. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Pro Se

Other Counsel of Record:

~~None~~

INDEX

Certificate of Counsel..... 1

Questions Presented..... 1

Statement of the Case..... 1

Arguments

1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS
BARRED BY RES JUDICATA..... 2

2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER..... 2

Conclusion..... 2

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

Counsel for Petitioner is Himself Under *Pro Se*.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Should the Court of Appeals Hold that this action is barred by res judicata?
2. Did the Court of Appeals Receive This Appeal In A Timely Manner?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The issue in this case involves the allowance for *In forma pauperis* and the ability to prove that *In forma pauperis*. The *In forma pauperis* is how and should be allowed under due process or the S.C. Constitutional Article 2, Sec. 3 and the reciprocal due process or the U.S. Constitution.

ARGUMENT

1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS BARRED BY RES JUDICATA.

The Court on Appeals should hold that due process is granted for the former papers of Glynn County vs. [unclear] vs. [unclear]

2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER.

The petitioner filed it a timely motion under S.C. Code sec. 14-1-10.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, petitioner asks the Court to grant the request for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 13 June 2017

Isl: G. Venturo
883 University Blvd
Suite 104-124
N. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se

FORM 7
PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

APPEAL FROM Charleston County SC Court of Appeals
Court of Common Pleas

Hon. Dennis, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-6-1033

Association
for the Advancement
of Colored people,

Respondent,

v.

Glyndon
and Fox,

Appellant.




PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on 14th of Mar. 2017
by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on 14th of Mar. 2017

[Or by personally delivering a copy of it to NA

14th of Mar. 2017

St. G. and Fox
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
N. Charleston, S.C. 29604
Tel. 843.330.7200
Pro Se



MAR 14 2017

MAR 14 2017

~~ATTN: Secy~~ *Secy*
Prokuleper
S.C. Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29241

RECEIVED
MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals

C. Vol Fox

St Thomas - George Creek Parish

8983 University Blvd.

Suite 104-174

N. Charleston, S.C. 29406

