

8

FORM 4
NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM AN ORDER OPPOSED BY THE COURT
OF COMMON PLEAS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. Denton, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-957
Hon. Kristi (Harris) Hall
Judge Charleston County
Judicial Center

Respondent,

v.

Ryan David Van Lee
Appellant.

RECEIVED
MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals

NOTICE OF APPEAL

I, Ryan David Van Lee, do hereby place
this Notice of Appeal regarding the order by
Hon. Hon. Denton.

Date: 13th of Mar. 2017

sl. R. Van Lee
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
Charleston S.C 29406
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se

*The bracketed language should be added to the body of the Notice of Appeal when the appeal is taken from a written order deciding a post-trial motion under Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP.

FORM 18
PETITION FOR APPEAL TO THE
COURT OF APPEALS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Hon. Dredley, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-957

Hon. Kristi Haridhali
Judge Charleston County
Judicial Center

Respondent,

v.

City of Sumner and Fox

Appellant.

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

PETITION FOR APPEAL

Co. Val Fox
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 101-174
Ch. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Pro Se

Other Counsel of Record:

~~_____

_____~~

INDEX

Certificate of Counsel 1

Questions Presented 1

Statement of the Case..... 1

Arguments

 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS
 BARRED BY RES JUDICATA..... 2

 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER..... 2

Conclusion 2

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

Counsel for Petitioner is Himself Under *Pro Se*.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Should the Court of Appeals Hold that this action is barred by res judicata?
2. Did the Court of Appeals Receive This Appeal In A Timely Manner?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The issue in this case involves the entitlement
for *Ex parte* payee, and the ability to prove that
the *Ex parte* payee. The *Ex parte* payee is true and
should be entitled under due process or the S.C.
Constitution Article 2 Sec. 3 and the reciprocal due
process or the U.S. Constitution.

ARGUMENT

- 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS BARRED BY RES JUDICATA.

The Court of Appeals should hold that due process is provided for the same papers as Glynn v. ...


- 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER.

The petitioner filed it a timely motion under S.C. Code Ann. ...

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, petitioner asks the Court to grant the request for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 13 March 2017

Isl: G. Vard Fox
8983 University Blvd
Suite 104-124
N. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se

FORM 7
PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM Charleston County
Court of Common Pleas

Hon. Decker, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2017-CP-10-957

Hon. Kristi Harrington
Judge Charleston County
Judicial Center

Respondent,

v.

Glynn Howard vs for

Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on 14th Mar. 2017
by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on 14th Mar. 2017

~~Or by personally delivering a copy of it to me~~

13th of Mar. 2017

St. B. vs for
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
W. Charleston S.C. 29406
Tel. 843.370.7200
Pro Se