

FORM 18
PETITION FOR APPEAL TO THE
COURT OF APPEALS

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM: Charleston County
Court of: Common Pleas

Har. Dardis, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2016-CP-10-0636

MUSC

Respondent,

v.

Glynnanvit Vex Fox

Appellant.

RECEIVED
MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals

PETITION FOR APPEAL

G. Vex Fox
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-124
Charleston, S.C. 29406
Pro Se

Other Counsel of Record:

[Signature]

INDEX

Certificate of Counsel..... 1

Questions Presented..... 1

Statement of the Case..... 1

Arguments

 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS
 BARRED BY RES JUDICATA..... 2

 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER..... 2

Conclusion..... 2

1
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

RECEIVED

Counsel for Petitioner is Himself Under *Pro Se*.

MAR 16 2017
SC Court of Appeals

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Should the Court of Appeals Hold that this action is barred by res judicata?
2. Did the Court of Appeals Receive This Appeal In A Timely Manner?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The issue in this case involves the issue of
Barrett through Statement of the Court of Appeals.
The South Carolina Code on Law Title 16, Chapter 17, Arts 3,
Sec. 16-17-10 (C) (b) clearly states that the Court
cannot be misled. The introduction of federal law
matters into a state court that have not been
determined by the Court or last resort, is misleading
as to final decision has been made in the federal
matters.

It is concluded, federal matters are for the Federal
Court and they must be heard under United States
Sedeke (USS) Federal requirements. States are subservient
to the Federal entity, and the state a District is
in Control of the Federal Republic.

ARGUMENT

- 1. THE COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HOLD THAT THIS ACTION IS BARRED BY RES JUDICATA.

The South Carolina Court on appeals should not allow federal law matters into its state court system, with its ability to remove to federal jurisdiction, and should hold this action to be precluded in state courts or otherwise, the U.S. Constitution.

- 2. PETITIONER DID TIMELY OBJECT TO THE TRIAL JUDGE'S ORDER.

The petitioner filed a timely appeal under South Carolina Code of Law.

3
CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, petitioner asks the Court to grant the request for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 13 Mar, 2017

Isl. Counsel for
8883 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
J. Charleston S.C. 29406
Tel: 843.330.7200
Pro Se

FORM 7
PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM Charleston County
Court of Common Pleas

Hon. Debra, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2016 - CP - 10 - 0636

NOTE

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Respondent,

v.

Glynnwood and Leo

Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on 14th of March 2017
by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on 14th of March, 2017

[Or by personally delivering a copy of the to _____
_____]

14th of March 2017

sl. G. Wood Leo
8983 University Blvd.
Suite 104-174
W. Charleston, S.C. 29406
Tel. 843.330.7200
Pro Se