

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 COUNTY OF GREENVILLE
 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
 CASE NUMBER 2015CP2307297

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Feb 17 10:56 AM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE # 2015CP2307297

Lahitsha Hampton

George E. Willoughby,
 Richard Mann, and
 William Sherman

RECEIVED
 MAR 15 2017
 SC Court of Appeals

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by:

Attorney for: Plaintiff Defendant
 Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);
 Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other: _____
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j) SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other: _____
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other:

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order; (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court: The Court received this Motion For Reconsideration on November 17, 2016. Since that time, the Court carefully reviewed the present Motion For Reconsideration submitted by Defendant Sherman, as well as previously submitted documents in this case. The Court considered that Defendant Sherman asserted defenses already ruled foreclosed to him in an order by Judge Stilwell, as well as the injury that would occur to Plaintiff if not allowed to seek enforcement of the judgment against Defendant Sherman. As discussed in an order by Judge Miller, there is little case law in South Carolina regarding a stay in the proceedings in a situation like this. Thus, the Court also looked to public policy, which weighs in favor of the Plaintiff. Hence, the Court denies Defendant Sherman's Motion For Reconsideration, permitting Plaintiff to seek enforcement of the judgement against Defendant Sherman.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.
 Additional Information for the Clerk: _____

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may

be provided to the clerk. **Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.**

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Feb 17 10:56 AM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2015CP2307297

	2162	2/17/2017
Circuit Court Judge	Judge Code	Date
For Clerk of Court Office Use Only		

This judgment was entered on , and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on, to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Brian T. Smith 714 Pettigru St Greenville, SC 29601-3190

Matthew Clark LaFave PO Box 1149 Columbia, SC 29202

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

Court Reporter

Paul B. Wickensimer Greenville County Clerk Of Court - Clerk of Court

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Feb 17 10:56 AM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2015CP2307297



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Lahitsha Hampton vs. George Edward Willoughby , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2015CP2307297
Type: Order/Form 4

So Ordered

s/Letitia H. Verdin, SC Judge 2162