



Goldie G. Reeves
48 McPrice Court 1213
Greenville, SC 29615

803-7341837

SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS ANNOTATED BY LEXISNEXIS(R)

*** This document is current through all Legislation enacted in the 2012 Session ***
*** The most current annotation is dated April 10, 2013 ***

TITLE 15. CIVIL REMEDIES AND PROCEDURES
CHAPTER 48. UNIFORM ARBITRATION ACT

RECEIVED

GO TO SOUTH CAROLINA STATUTES ARCHIVE DIRECTORY

MAR 27 2017

S.C. Code Ann. § 15-48-130 (2012)

SC Court of Appeals

§ 15-48-130. Vacating an award.

(a) Upon application of a party, the court shall vacate an award where:

(1) The award was procured by corruption, fraud or other undue means;

There was evident partiality by an arbitrator appointed as a neutral or corruption in any of the arbitrators or misconduct prejudicing the rights of any party;

(2) The arbitrators exceeded their powers;

(4) The arbitrators refused to postpone the hearing upon sufficient cause being shown therefor or refused to hear evidence material to the controversy or otherwise so conducted the hearing, contrary to the provisions of § 15-48-50, as to prejudice substantially the rights of a party; or

(5) There was no arbitration agreement and the issue was not adversely determined in proceedings under § 15-48-20 and the party did not participate in the arbitration hearing without raising the objection;

But the fact that the relief was such that it could not or would not be granted by a court of law or equity is not ground for vacating or refusing to confirm the award.

(b) An application under this section shall be made within ninety days after delivery of a copy of the award to the applicant, except that if predicated upon corruption, fraud or other undue means, it shall be made within ninety days after such grounds are known or should have been known.

(c) In vacating the award on grounds other than stated in item (3) of subsection (a) the court may order a rehearing before new arbitrators chosen as provided in the agreement, or in the absence

*I don't agree to
arbitrate. Decision was entered
by Rogers last in the past
and it's not my concern*

*transcript
not entered
been by arbitrator*

RECEIVED P 2/4

MAR 27 2017

To Mrs. Monica @ Court Room
Fredi Reve 803 734 1839

SC Court of Appeals

I have mentioned speaking with a young teacher in the holiday of 2016:

I was confused to the actual time, I felt it was near Thanksgiving. She also asked me to go to church with her or that I was welcome to visit.

My clothes are stored. I had nothing to wear appropriate until after I got my Christmas presents from my children. I had thanksgiving with Kelly and Scot, But I didn't go to church til after Christms.

I just was onused until I was reminded bymy kids.

She did pull up all the fraudon a computer but I hahve two "Black' Law dictionry..

And when I saw on therecord of the case finally, My Former attorney seem to be taking advantage of the period of time saying he was representing me, but he was not. I did not Need for him to reconsider the arbitration for Judge verdin. Iknew I did not want that. He and I were not speking much at all. I have letters from him and he was not fighting for me ~~at all~~ he believe in the selling over

Stleast not with my knowledge and consent.

In by ^{me}advicto al the "judges I could hear or read the truth was not there.

Mr; Lee daniel's is a mediating type a attorney, I needed a bulldog, which is what I hve used until he pased away with head and neck cancer, I hve quoted him in my Statement to th court alrady,

My mortgagē interest was with cuna and remained Adjustable at 2.5 per cent . Rogers Reves destroyed my hopes my dream ^{home} and My Planes by being in breach of Cntract

I can explain everything that is truthful when necessary I know the Arbitration can be brken .

Monica said nt to send Money as I already hve, but Just to be secure I'll send one mre money oe orde. I have sent \$100.00 in for ths (4x \$25.00)

This is copied and will be spced tomorrow by the typist.

I amd going back to work now.

Iam not a lwyer but I have a gift for Auditory Recall. And good ryhythm.



RECEIVED

MAR 27 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Goldie Grier Reves
48 Mc Price Court #1213
Greenville, South Carolina 29615

March 26, 2007

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11619
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE: Dr. Roggers C Feeve, Individually and as Trustee of
The Reeves Family Trust, Respondent

Case No. 2012-0020812

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

I spoke to Ms. Monica and mentioned how grue^d ill^y my
last week was at the complex where I reside.

It was originally a Wyndham Hotel, however the owners
are helping Greenville Housing Authority handle some of
their residence while they renovate their facilities.

For three days last week the water was shut off due to
the plumbing pipes in two-four unit building bursting.

We had no running water. The work has been completed
now.

Last Saturday, I went to my bank and faxed some of the
information regarding this Appeals Case.

I left the bank with a friend who mentioned, she thought it
would be nice to get a quick bite of food at the
oriental restaurant on Haywood Road.

I ordered sweet and sour soup and steamed vegetable. The
soup had a strong smell and taste when I tried it.

I believe since my digestive system has had to adapt to
my surgeries I asked the hostess if I could swap it for
a different product. I think it was the oily broth base of
both that has made me nauseous and sick. My friend drove
me back to the suite and I have been ill, even now.

I was told by one of the fill-in receptionist ^{at the court.} ~~want~~ ^{that}
if anything M Clire Allen needed from me; she was not
so familiar with the intake as Mary, she summed it up and
said it was alright.

3

I on the other hand was not perfectly sure. *show the dict*

I know there was a motion request about the deposition

When I started, Secretary Richard Riley said I was allowed procedural help" So I took a cab to spartan burg and met with Mr. Rhoades, He said only if it was a case about a judge it was probably not good to use.

However, I challenged the Arbitrator, and the other Judges originally Stillwell and Garrison Hill as the information they used was the same. That would be what is in the initial brief. They all knew the emails were not allowed because they were from negotiations and aren't allowed by Federal Rule and South Carolina rule No. 08.

They all heard fraudulent information from the defendant. It was always the same old untruthful Contived Story.

Mr. Rhoades said I could prepare my work against All *things* as *Open* they all heard the same thing falling under "judicial discretion." *showed a judge down*

I filed the information with Mr. Weisenheimer the the court *Concurs* court in Greenville following the South Carolina *Cover?* Title 15-48-130 and 15-140. Other from 2015 was seen on my list of Authorities. Basically the defendant began with and just kept embellishing the to form it all into a unique fabrication, which I can disprove with allowable evidence.

Because I did not participate on the record but by deposition, The judges all met in chambers without inviting me or at side bars. Everything started with the bite of falsehoods and misrepresentations..

Mr. Lee Daniel sent information to the court during the window of opportunity from the time I fired him on October 8, 2012, until the court released him.

He said I'm protecting my former client. But he was a part of the fraud. I understand he was supposed to be my helper and he writes good documents and for that I am appreciative..

I don't want to go further into my story here.

Daniel's and Chasteen wanted a quick hearing so they could just sell my home quickly *and the case*

(get married) because lawyer had right to counsel

Buyer lined up March 2013 per my DSS of wife

Miller Reese