

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

9th Judicial Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED

APR 10 2017

App. Case No. 2017 - 000266
Case No. 2007-CP-10-1444

SC Court of Appeals

C. Holmes,

Appellant,

v.


J. Y. Becker, Manton Grier,
and Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A.,
as successor to Sinkler & Boyd, P.A.,

Respondents.

AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Appellant appeals the attached orders of the 9th Judicial Circuit Judge entered February 9, 2017, and March 14, 2017. Pursuant to Rule 203 and controlling precedent, including but not limited to *Brooks v. SCCID and OID*, South Carolina Court of Appeals, decided February 15, 2017, App. Case No. 2014-002477 (Remittitur sent March 3, 2017), *Turner v. Rogers*, 564 U.S. 431 (2011), and *Hagood v. Sommerville*, 362 S.C. 191, 607 S.E.2d 707 (2005) (see *Toal et al, Appellate Practice in South Carolina*, 3rd edition, 2016), the Appellant timely files and serves Respondents. All parties required to be served have been served.

Dated 2.11.17


C. Holmes
POB 187
SI, SC 29482-0187
843.883.3010

Counsel of Record for Respondents:

Pro Se

Pro Se Defendants:

J. Becker

M. M. Caskey

1201 Main St. - 22nd flr.

Columbia, SC 29201

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

9th Judicial Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED

APR 10 2017

SC Court of Appeals

App. Case No. 2017 - 000266
Case No. 2007-CP-10-1444

C. Holmes,

Appellant,

J. Y. Becker, Manton Grier,
and Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A.,
as successor to Sinkler & Boyd, P.A.,

Respondents.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing on the Respondents by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to M.M. Caskey on this date at 1201 Main St., 22nd flr., Columbia, SC 29201.

Dated April 6, 2017



C. Holmes
PO Box 187
Sullivans Island, SC 29482-0187
843.883.3010

Fax: 803.734.1839

Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED

APR 10 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Re: Holmes v. Becker et al
App. Case No. 2017-000266

Dear Jenny:

Enclosed for filing please find the original with abeyance request in the above case. Also, enclosed are the following:

- 1) Proof of Service,
- 2) Two copies of the original with orders,
- 3) Filing fee, and
- 4) SASE for return.

Thank you for your kind attention to this matter. With best personal regards, I remain

Very truly yours,

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

FORM 4

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2007 CP-10-1444

RECEIVED

Holmes

Haynesworth Sinkler Boyd, et al.

APR 10 2017

SC Court of Appeals

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by:	Attorney for : <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant
	or <input type="checkbox"/> Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON): Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41, SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON): Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

2017 FEB -9 AM 9:39
 FILED
 JULIE L. ARNISTON
 CLERK OF COURT

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court: A supplemental proceedings hearing is scheduled to take place in this matter on March 10, 2017. The court is advised by the Clerk of Court's office that Cynthia Holmes, M.D., has filed several motions in this matter in violation of the Supreme Court's order filed December 3, 2009 directing the "Clerks of Court in this state to refuse to accept further filings from petitioner in actions related in any way to the revocation of her medical staff privileges at East Cooper Community Hospital unless they are filed by an attorney, other than petitioner, licensed to practice of law in this state." Given the broad language of this directive and the fact that the motions have been filed by Dr. Holmes, pro se, the court orders the Clerk of Court's office to strike all motions filed by Dr. Holmes in this matter as well as all future motions, if any.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk:

INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC INDEX		
Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate N/A in only one of the boxes below.		
Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount to be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)
NA	NA	\$NA
		\$
		\$
If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:		

RECEIVED

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT APR 10 2017
CASE NUMBER:

C Holmes

CC Court of Appeals

2007-CP-10-1444

-v-

SUPPLEMENTAL PROCEEDING ORDER

Haynesworth, Sinkler,
Boyd

A Hearing was held in the above captioned case on 3/10/17

2017 MAR 14 AM 8:30
JULIE L. ARISTARCO
CLERK OF COURT
517

FILED

- 1. No assets were discovered.
- 2. The Defendant agreed to pay _____
- 3. The hearing was not held because : _____
 - a. the Defendant was not served and the hearing has been rescheduled for _____
 - b. the Defendant did not appear and a RTSC has been scheduled for _____
 - c. the parties requested the hearing be rescheduled for _____
 - d. the Plaintiff elected not to proceed.

If not proceed, X e. Holmes has 30 days to provide all documentation on assets to Haynesworth, hereafter a receiver will be appointed to review the assets available to satisfy the judgment & to recommend to court on how to proceed.

- 4. The supplemental proceedings is held open until to include sale of her home.
- 5. The supplemental proceedings is dismissed and canceled without prejudice. All

Restraining Orders and Injunctions are hereby lifted, canceled, voided and dismissed.

** No funds shall be transferred / asset values diminished.*

[Signature]
Mikell R. Scarborough
Master in Equity for Charleston County

Charleston, SC
Dated: 3/10/17