



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA
29211

1231 GERVAIS STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080

FAX: (803) 734-1499

www.sccourts.org

May 12, 2017

The Honorable James C. Campbell
Sumter County Judicial Center
215 North Harvin Street
Sumter SC 29150-4974

REMITTITUR

Re: Maurice Glover v. State
Lower Court Case No. 2014CP4302204
Appellate Case No. 2015-001686

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

CLERK

cc:

Kathrine Haggard Hudgins, Esquire
Julie Amanda Coleman, Esquire
Maurice Shawntay Glover, #302711

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Supreme Court**

Maurice Glover, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2015-001686

Appeal From Sumter County
R. Ferrell Cothran, Plea Judge
George C. James, Jr., Post-Conviction Relief Judge

Memorandum Opinion No. 2017-MO-006
Submitted March 31, 2017 – Filed April 26, 2017

DISMISSED

Appellate Defender Kathrine Haggard Hudgins, of
Columbia, for Petitioner.

Attorney General Alan Wilson and Assistant Attorney
General Julie Amanda Coleman, both of Columbia, for
Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Petitioner seeks a writ of certiorari from the denial of his application for post-conviction relief (PCR).¹

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied on petitioner's Question 2. Because there is sufficient evidence to support the PCR judge's finding that petitioner did not knowingly and intelligently waive his right to a direct appeal, we grant certiorari on petitioner's Question 1, dispense with further briefing, and proceed with a review of the direct appeal issue pursuant to *Davis v. State*, 288 S.C. 290, 342 S.E.2d 60 (1986).

We dismiss this matter pursuant to Rule 220(b)(1), SCACR, after review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.

DISMISSED.

BEATTY, C.J., KITTREDGE, HEARN and FEW, JJ., concur. JAMES, J., not participating.

¹ Counsel for petitioner filed the petition for a writ of certiorari pursuant to *Johnson v. State*, 294 S.C. 310, 364 S.E.2d 201 (1988). We remind the Division of Appellate Defense, as we have previously, that a *Johnson* petition is improper where the PCR judge finds an applicant is entitled to a belated review of any direct appeal issues. Rule 243(i)(1), SCACR. Despite the erroneous filing, we have considered the merits of the petition.