

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

The State, Respondent,

v.

Timothy Crosby, Petitioner.


Appellate Case No. 2017-001117

ORDER

The South Carolina Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal in this matter after conducting a review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967).¹ Petitioner has now filed a motion with this Court indicating that he desires to have counsel appointed for the purpose of seeking a writ of certiorari to review the decision of the Court of Appeals.

In *State v. Lyles*, 381 S.C. 442, 673 S.E.2d 811 (2009), this Court held that it “will no longer entertain petitions for writs of certiorari where the Court of Appeals has dismissed an appeal after conducting an *Anders* review.” Accordingly, the motion for the appointment of counsel is denied.


Columbia, South Carolina
May 16, 2017



FOR THE COURT C.J.

cc: Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Joshua Richard Underwood, Esquire
John Benjamin Aplin, Esquire
Mr. Timothy Crosby, 247353
The Honorable Jenny Kitchings

¹ Before the Court of Appeals, the Appellate Case Number was 2015-00325.