

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

EDWIN WRIGHT

Case No. _____

Petitioner **RECEIVED**

-vs-

APR 27 2017

RESPONSE TO LETTER FOR DE NOVO

SHARIFF HOLDINGS **SC** Court of Appeals
Respondent

RESPONSE TO LETTER FOR DE NOVO

I, Wright, Edwin am a Private American National citizen of the united States of America who privately resides in a private domicile outside of Federal District in a non-military private estate located outside of a Federal District not subject to the jurisdiction of the "United States".

In response to your letter dated April 21, 2017, I would like to confirm that I was not attempting to file an appeal. I was simply filing a De Novo on the case that is mentioned the Writ of De Novo. It would be improper for me to file an appeal wherein as, I would be bringing the jurisdiction in which the Plaintiff has already established over to the Appeals court, when in fact I have indicated on the record of the lower court, that I was in the wrong jurisdiction. As an American National the jurisdiction in which I am to bring into is exclusive equity wherein my equitable rights and defenses can be recognized. My cause for filing this De Novo is to establish proper jurisdiction for my nationality so that I can plead my equitable rights and defense. It is my responsibility that when I discover any rights that I was unaware of that I must act upon those rights immediately, as a maxims of equity, "equity aids the vigilant, not those who slumber on their rights". Furthermore, it is of necessity that this De Novo is granted because the current jurisdiction is that of statutes and codes and the taking away of property, in which a maxim of equity states, "Equity will not allow a statute to be used as a cloak for fraud" and "Equity abhors a forfeiture", and since I am the beneficiary of all private trusts, equity regards the beneficiary as the true owner.

IN CONCLUSION: This is not a special case but a special cause involving jurisdiction and trespassing of private trust in the current jurisdiction and needs the attention of a judge operating under exclusive equity in chamber.

To appeal this case will not be proper, because to appeal only means that I will be bringing the jurisdiction of the lower court to the appellate court when in fact, I have already established on the record that is the wrong jurisdiction for an American National. The appellate court has jurisdiction over this De Novo, which should be handled differently than an appeal. This De Novo requires a hearing of the facts in chamber under the exclusive rules of Equity to renew the evidence and special private priority matters. A brief will be filed within a few days.

Wright Edwin
Wright, Edwin Grantee/Beneficiary
219 Mayfield Drive
Goose Creek, South Carolina Republic [29445]

4/26/2017
Date

Edwin Wright
219 Mayfield dr
Goose Creek SC 29445


South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201

WIN WRIGHT
343) 730-0875
THE UPS STORE #3086
16 C
105 ST JAMES AVE
DOSE CREEK SC 29445-2997

1 LBS 1 OF 1
SHP WT: 1 LBS
DATE: 26 APR 2017

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS
1220 SENATE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29201-3769




SC 291 9-01



UPS GROUND

TRACKING #: 1Z A26 E83 03 3848 8401



BILLING: P/P **RECEIVED**

APR 27 2017

SC Court of Appeals

ISH 13.00N E2844 87.5V 04/2017

SEE NOTICE ON REVERSE regarding UPS Terms, and notice of limitation of liability. Where allowed by law, shipper authorizes UPS to act as forwarding agent for export control and

