

The STATE of South CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

Appeal From York County
Court of Common Pleas

MAY 11 2017
SC Court of Appeals

S. Jackson Kimball, III, Circuit Court Judge

CASE No.: 2016-CP-46-2414

Appellate Case No.: 2016-002534

Re: RALPH L. ERWIN V. SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT
OF PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES AND THE
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Appellant, RALPH L. ERWIN, in the above case received notice in the above case, of motion to strike appellants' final brief, by the attorney for the respondents. Respondents are making this argument based on Rule 211(b), SCACR. Rule 211(b)(1) SCACR. SCACR, Rule 211(b)(1) states:

The references in the initial brief shall be revised to indicate where the material appears in the Record on Appeal. It goes on to say: The revised references "may" be "in place of" "or in addition to the initial references." I believe the word "revised" means, to look over something written in order to correct or improve, or to make a new version of. If you check Appellant's Statement of Issues on Appeal in his Reply Brief, it has the very same issues that the Respondents have in their initial brief, which you will find on page one (1) of each brief. Furthermore, Respondents agreed that Appellant could supplement his Record on Appeal, naturally that would change things.

May 8, 2017


CC. Ms. Stephenie H. Burton,
Esquire

Sincerely,
Ralph L. Erwin
Ralph L. Erwin
140 W. Centennia St.
Apt. #38-B
Spartanburg, S.C. 29303
(864)-494-2269
Appellant

RALPH L. ERWIN
140 W. CENTENNIAL ST.
APT. #38-B
SPARTANBURG, S.C. 29303

GREENVILLE SC 296

08 MAY 2017 PM 2:11



RECEIVED

MAY 11 2017

SC Court of Appeals

Ms. Jenny A. Kirchings, Clerk
South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street

20201-371999
COLUMBIA SOUTH CAROLINA 29201